

MAR GREGORIOS COLLEGE OF ARTS & SCIENCE

Block No.8, College Road, Mogappair West, Chennai – 37

Affiliated to the University of Madras
Approved by the Government of Tamil Nadu
An ISO 9001:2015 Certified Institution



DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE (ACCOUNTING & FINANCE)

SUBJECT NAME: CORPORATE & BUSINESS LAW

SEMESTER: IV

PREPARED BY: PROF.R.SELVI

OBJECTIVES:

1. To highlight the provisions of law governing the General Contracts.
2. To help the students understand the significant provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

UNIT I:

Indian Contract Act Formation - Nature and Elements of Contract - Classification of Contract - Contract Vs Agreement

UNIT II:

Offer - Definition - Forms of offer - Requirements of Valid Offer. Acceptance - Meaning - Legal Rules as to a valid acceptance. Consideration - Definition - Essentials - Legal Rules relating to consideration - Contracts without consideration

UNIT III:

Capacity of parties. Definition - Persons Competent to contract. Free consent - Coercion - Undue Influence - Fraud — Misrepresentation — Mistake. Legality of object — void agreement - Unlawful agreements - performance of contracts

UNIT IV:

Company - Definition - Characteristics - Lifting of corporate veil - Advantages of Incorporation - Company Law Administration - NCLT & NCLAT - Classification of companies - Formation of a Company - Memorandum and Articles of Association.

UNIT V:

Prospectus - Definition - Registration - Contents - Shelf Prospectus - Misstatement and their consequences - Share capital - Meaning - kinds - alteration of share capital - Dividend - provisions for declaration of dividend - Meetings - Kinds of Company Meetings.

SUGGESTED READINGS

1. Kapoor, N.D. Business Laws, Sultan Chand and Sons.
2. Sreenivasan, M.R. Business Laws, Margam Publications
3. Dhandapani, M.V. Business Laws, Sultan Chand and Sons.
1. Badre Alam, S. & Saravanavel, P. Mercantile Law
1. Kapoor, N.D. Elements of Company Law, Sultan Chand and Sons

E-RESOURCES

www.cramerz.com www.digitalbusinesslawgroup.com <http://swcu.libguides.com/buslaw> <http://libguides.slu.edu/businesslaw>

UNIT- I

Introduction

LAW AND ITS ORIGIN

Some knowledge of law is necessary for all persons. The day-to-day survival of each member of our society must proceed to a greater extent in conformity with recognized rules and principles. Just as a game of football or cricket could not be played judiciously without rules and regulations to govern the players, life in general and the business world in particular could not survive without law to regulate and the conduct of people and to protect their property and rights. Without law, life and business would become a matter of the survival of the fittest. So, to protect the individuals from exploitation, sufficient amount of rules and regulations are necessary.

WHAT IS LAW?

It is not possible to give one complete accurate definition of law. In the legal sense 'Law' includes all the rules, regulations and principles which regular our relations with other individuals and with the State. The State regulates the conduct of its people by a set of rules. Such rules of conduct, if recognized by the State and enforced by it on people, are termed as 'Law'.

In this sense, *Holland*, a jurist/defines law as "rules of external human action enforced by the State."

According to Anson, rules regarding human conduct are necessary for peaceful living as well as for progress and development. Anson observes as follows:

"The object of law is order, and the result of order is that men are enabled to look ahead with some sort of security as to the future. Although human action cannot be reduced to the uniformities of nature, men have yet endeavoured to reproduce by law something approaching to this uniformity".

SOCIETY AND LAW

The term 'society' is used to mean a community or a group of persons living in any region, who are united together by some common interest (or) bond. The word common bond can otherwise be called as social rules (or) rules of social behavior. These rules are made by the members of the society. Disobedience of these rules is followed by punishment in the form of social disapproval.

According to Salmond, “Law is the body of principles recognized and applied by the state in the administration of justice”.

Woodrow Wilson has defined law as “that portion of the established habit and thought of mankind which has gained distinct and formal recognition in the shape of uniform rules backed by the authority and power of the government.” Law in this sense, is a bundle of rules and regulations and also a social machinery for securing justice in the community.

Law is not too rigid. As the conditions in a society change, laws are modified to fit the needs of the society. At any point of time, law prevailing in a society must be in conformity with the general feelings, customs, traditions and aspirations of its people.

In the changing economic scenario, the main object of law is considered to be “to establish socio-economic justice and remove the existing imbalance in the socio-economic structure”. Law, in this sense, has to play a crucial role in the task of achieving the various socio-economic objectives as enacted in our constitution.

In a social set up like ours, a great part of law is designed primarily to bring about all-round improvement and well-being of the public individually and collectively from material and cultural view points, But ‘Law’ unlike social rules is enforced by the State. The objective of law is to bring order in the society with a view to enable its members to progress and develop with some sort of security regarding the future.

The State makes laws. Disobedience of state laws involves penalty which is enforced by the government through the sovereignty of the State. Whatever is not enforceable is not law. Law of the state are applicable to all without exception in identical circumstances. There can be only one law within the State.

RULE OF LAW

In earlier times, certain classes and individuals possessed special privileges and were governed by special law. The modern view is to apply the same law over all persons in the State, and to give all persons equal rights and privileges for the protection of their human liberties. Democracy can remain only in a society of equals.

The concept of equality of all persons before law is the basis of what is called the Rules of laws.

The Rules are summarized as follows:

1. No one shall be punished except for definite breach of law.
2. No man is above law.
3. Rule of law is the result of statutes and judicial decisions determining the rights of private persons.

MEANING OF LEGAL PERSONALITY

A person in law means any entity which is accepted by law as having certain defined rights and obligations. Such persons may be natural (human beings) or artificial (companies or corporations).

Example: The Company can be sued in its own name and sometimes be prosecuted for criminal offences like tax evasion etc. Similarly it can sue others in its own name.

LAW AND FACT

Lawyers always distinguish between the law involved in a case and the facts which the court has to consider in reaching its decision.

Example: In a situation, the fact may be a murder. But the judge decides on points of law i.e. whether the conduct of the accused amounts to murder in the eyes of the law. Hence, it is the duty of the prosecutor to prove before the court such fact to show

- (i.) that a murder has been committed, and
- (ii.) That the accused was the person who committed the murder

MERCANTILE LAW OR COMMERCIAL LAW AND ITS SOURCES

Definition

The laws of a country relate to many subjects e.g. inheritance and transfer of property, relationship between persons, crimes and their punishment, as well as matters relating to industry, trade and commerce.

The term commercial law is used to include only the rules relating to industry, trade and commerce.

Commercial law or Mercantile law may therefore be defined as that part of law which regulates the transactions of the mercantile community.

The scope of commercial law is large. It includes the laws relating to contract, partnership, negotiable instruments, sale of goods, companies etc.

SOURCES OF INDIAN COMMERCIAL LAW

The commercial law of India is based upon statutes of the Indian legislature, English mercantile law and Indian maritime usages, modified and adapted judicial decisions.

We are stating below the sources from which the rules of commercial law of India have been derived.

1. Statutes of the Indian legislatures

The Statute law means Acts of Parliament. These are the most efficient and the most usual way of bringing about changes in law today. The legislature is the main source of law in modern times. In India, the Central and State legislatures possess law making powers and have exercised the powers extensively. The greater part of Indian Commercial Law is statutory. The Contract Act, 1872, the Sale of Goods Act, 1930, the Partnership Act, 1932, the Companies Act, 1956, are instances of the Statute law.

2. English Mercantile Law

Many rules of English Mercantile law have been incorporated into Indian Law through statutes and judicial decisions. Indian mercantile law is, in the main, an adaptation of the English Law. It is incorporated in a number of Acts, which follow to a considerable extent the English mercantile law with some reservations and modifications necessitated by the peculiar conditions prevailing in India. To ascertain the sources of Indian Mercantile law, we have, therefore, to trace the sources of the English Mercantile law. The

sources of English Mercantile law are (a) Common Law (b) Equity (c) The Law Merchant, and (d) The Statute Law.

(a) **The Common Law:** The common law consists of principles based on immemorial customs and principles enforced by courts. It is traditionally unwritten law, developed in English courts during the period beginning with the thirteenth century and brought to our country by the British rule of India. In simple, we can say Common Law is nothing but Rules developed by custom in England.

(b) **Equity:** Equity Law is also unwritten and grew as a system of Law supplementary to the Common Law. It is based upon concepts of justice developed by judges. As the Common Law was too stereotyped and very harsh the Law of Equity was developed in English courts. In a sense, Equity covered the deficiencies of Common Law, especially where the Common Law worked very rigidly.

(c) **The Law Merchant:** The Law Merchant or 'Les Mercatoria' was independent body of customs and usages governing commercial transaction of the Merchants and Traders of 14th and 15th centuries, which have been ratified by the decisions of the Courts of Law. During this period the body commercial usages was practically uniform throughout Europe. In its earliest stages, therefore, the Law Merchant was a kind of Private International Law administered by tribunals consisting principally of the merchants themselves. The Law Merchant is the origin of much of the law relating to negotiable instruments, trademarks, partnerships, contracts of Insurance etc. In India the Law Merchant is codified, and the courts are left only with the task of interpreting the language of the Acts. But where some principles of the Law Merchant (Indian trade customs and usages) are not covered by those Acts, the Indian courts generally apply the English Law on the subject.

(d) **Statute Law:** The Statute Law refers to the Law passed in the Parliament. It is superior to any rule of the Common Law of Equity. The authority of the Parliament being supreme. It can pass any law it pleases, and is not bound by any of its previous Acts.

The other sources of the English Mercantile Law are:

(i) **Roman Law:** If for any particular case the existing law fails to suit, a reference to Roman law is made.

(ii) **Case Law:** This law is built upon previous judicial decisions. i.e. on the principle that what has been decided in an earlier case is binding in a similar future case, unless there is a change in the circumstances.

THE INDIAN CONTRACT ACT

The Indian Contract Act which was passed on 25th April, 1872, came into effect from 1st Sep. 1872. It was passed with an object to define and amend certain portions of the laws relating to contracts. It lays down general principles of law relating to contracts. It applies to the whole of the country except the State of Jammu and Kashmir. It does not affect the provisions of any Statute, Act or Regulation. Originally, the Act contained provisions relating to sale of goods and partnership. In 1930, rules relating to sale of goods were taken out from this Act and incorporated in a new Act, namely "Sale of Goods Act". Similarly in 1932, provisions relating to partnership were codified as a separate Act and The Indian Partnership Act, 1932 was passed in the parliament. The Indian Contract Act in its present status contains the general principles of contracts, (Section 1 to 75) and special types of contract (Sec 124-238)

Object and Scope

Law of Contract constitutes the most important branch of mercantile law. It is the nerve centre of trade and commerce. It is not only the business community which is concerned with the law of contract, but it plays its role on every one's walks of life. Everyone of us enters into a number of contracts from dawn to dusk. When a person brings newspaper or rides a bus or goes to a hair-cutting saloon or purchases vegetables or borrows a loan from a friend etc., he enters into a contract through which he may not be conscious of it. Such contracts create legal rights and obligations.

The object of the law of contracts is to introduce definiteness in commercial and other transactions. How this is done can be illustrated by an example, X entered into a contract to deliver 10 tons of iron ore on a particular date. Since such a contract is enforceable by the courts, Y can plan his activities on the basis of getting iron ore on the fixed date. If the contract is broken, Y will get damages from the court and will not suffer any loss.

Sir William Anson observes in this regard that the law of contract is intended "to ensure that what a man has been led to expect shall come to pass and what has been promised to him shall be performed".

Agreement and Contract

The Law deals with agreements which can be enforced through court of law. A contract has been defined by Sir John Salmond as "an agreement creating and defining obligations between the Parties".

Sec. 2(h) of the Indian Contract Act, provides that "An agreement enforceable

bylaw is a contract. "An agreement is thus regarded as a contract only when it is enforceable by law. There are various social religious and moral obligations which are not enforceable by law as contracts.

Example: A husband promised to pay his wife a household allowance of \$30 every month. Later, the parties separated and the husband stopped the payment. The wife sued for the allowance, Held, agreements such as were termed, are mere social obligations and do not create legal relationship. As such they are not contracts. (Balfour Vs Balfour- 1919 ; 2K.B.571)

In the words of Lord Akin, "the most usual forms of agreements which do not constitute a contract are the agreements between husband and wife. They are not contracts because the parties do not intend that they should be attended by legal consequences".

A Contract must specify two conditions (1) there shall be an agreement and, (2) such an agreement should be enforceable by law which creates legal obligation.

An agreement is defined under Sec.2(e) as "every promise and every set of promises, forming consideration for each other". A promise is defined under Sec.2(b) thus: "When the person to whom the proposal is made signifies his assent thereto, the proposal is said to be accepted. A proposal when accepted, becomes promise" In a nutshell, an agreement is an accepted proposal. Therefore, to form an agreement, there must be a proposal or offer by one party and acceptance by the other.

Definitions

The word contract is derived from the Latin word *contractum* meaning "drawn together". It therefore denotes a drawing together of two or more minds to form a common intention giving rise to an agreement.

According to Sir John Salmond, a contract means "An agreement creating and defining obligations between parties".

Sir William Anson defines a contract as "A Legal binding agreement between two or more persons by which rights are acquired by one or more acts or forbearance on the part of the other or others".

According to *Pollock*, an "Every agreement and promise enforceable at law is contract". The Indian Contract Act 1872, Sec 2(h) defines a contract as follows:

"An agreement enforceable by law is a contract".

ESSENTIALS OF A VALID CONTRACT

We have seen that all agreements are not contracts. The law of contract is the law of those agreements which create legal relationships and not simply moral or social ones. An agreement, which creates legal obligations in order to be valid and binding must possess certain basic essentials.

Sec. 10 of the Contracts Act has laid down certain basic essentials for a valid contract. According to this Section, "All agreements are contracts if they are made by the free consent of parties, competent to contract, for a lawful consideration and with a lawful object and are not hereby expressly declared to be void".

From the rule stated in Sec. 10 the essential elements necessary to constitute a valid contract are the following:

- (i.) Free consent of Parties
(S. 13)
- (ii.) Competency of Parties
(S. 11, 12)
- (iii.) Lawful consideration
(S. 23, 24)
- (iv.) Lawful object
(S. 23, 24)
- (v.) Not declared to be void by any law (24, 30)
- (vi.)

They should also fulfill legal formalities presented by another law if any, viz., writing, registration etc.

According to English law, there is further requirement, namely "an intention to create legal obligation. This principle is followed in India also. This may be treated as the seventh requisite element.

Now we shall discuss in detail the various essential elements of a valid contract.

1. Proposal or offer by one party and acceptance of the proposal or offer by another party resulting in an agreement.

A contract is a legally binding agreement. This agreement results when one person, the offeror or promisor, makes a proposal or offer and a person to whom the offer is made, the offeree or promisee, accepts it. For an agreement to arise, there must be two or more parties to the transaction. As it is imperative that there be a concurrence of at least two minds, it is impossible for one person to make an agreement with himself.

Example: When a person in his official capacity as general manager of a company makes a promise to himself as an individual, no agreement is formed by an acceptance in the latter capacity. That there must be more than one person is an essential characteristic of an agreement. These persons must come to an understanding with a view to creating a right in one party and a corresponding duty on the other.

Consensus-Ad-Idem (or) Meeting of Minds: To constitute an agreement or a contract, there must be a meeting of the minds of the parties and both must agree to the same thing in the same sense. If in a particular agreement we find a meeting of minds or identity of wills of the parties in full and final then we can conclude that there must be consensus-ad-idem.

Example: Mr. Aravind who owns two Maruti cars of different colours namely red and white intends to sell his red car. But Miss, Athira thinks she is purchasing the white car. In this situation, there is no consensus-ad-idem and consequently there is no contract.

The terms of the offer and acceptance must be legal which means that they should conform to the rules laid down in the Contract Act regarding the valid offer and valid acceptance i.e. the terms of the offer must be definite and the acceptance of the offer must also be absolute and unconditional. The acceptance must also be according to the mode prescribed and must be communication to the promisor.

2. Intention to create Legal Relationship:

The parties to the agreement must intend to create legal relations between them. Mere social or domestic agreements are not contracts because they are not intended to be binding i.e., an agreement to have a cup of tea at a friend's house is simply a social obligation.

Example: "X" offers to play cards with "Y" for pleasure and "Y" accepts. If later on, "X" refuses to do so, "Y" cannot go to the court for enforcing the promise.

3. Lawful Consideration:

Subject to certain exceptions an agreement is legally enforceable only when each of the parties to it gives something and gets something. An agreement to do something for nothing is generally not enforceable at law. The something given or obtained is called consideration. The Consideration may be an act (doing something) (or) forbearance (not doing something) or a promise to do or not to do something. Consideration may be past, present or future. But it must be real and lawful.

Example:

"X" agrees to sell his car to "Y" for Rs. 1,00,000. For "X"'s promise, the consideration is

Rs.100,000.For“Y”’spromisetheconsideration isthe car.

4. CapacityofParties:

The parties to an agreement must be legally capable entering into an agreement; otherwiseit cannot be enforced by a court. Want of capacity arises from minority, lunacy, idiocy,drunkenness are similar other factors.If any of the parties to the agreements suffers fromanysuch disability,theagreement isnot enforceablebylaw, exceptin somespecialcases.

5. FreeConsent:

The two parties to a contract must have agreed as to the particular subject matter in thesame sense. By Section 13 “two or more sections are said to consent when they agree uponthe same thing in the same sense “Such a meeting of minds creating an identity of opinionor will is carried to by using the term ‘Consensus-ad-idem’. The consent of parties not beaffected by any flaw.The consent is said to be free when it is not used by coercion, undueinfluence,fraud, mistake or misrepresentation.

Example: 'A' threatens to beat “B” if he does not sell his land for a low price agrees to doso. Theagreement has been brought about bycoercion.

6. LegalityofObject:

An agreement is unlawful and therefore unenforceable when the object for which theagreement is made is forbidden by law, or if permitted would defeat the provisions of anyof the existing law or is fraudulent or involves an injury to the property of another or in theeyesof thecourt, is immoral, oropposed to public policy (Sec.23).

Thus an agreement will not become a contract or will remain unenforceable, if it is madeforan unlawful consideration and with an unlawful object.

Example: ‘A’, 'B' and 'C' enter into an agreement for the division among them of gainsacquired or to beacquired bythem byfraud.The agreement is void.

7. CertaintyoftheTermsofthecontract:

Thetermsoftheagreementmustbedefiniteandcertainanditmustnotcontainanyambiguousinformation.

Example:‘A’agreestosellto‘B’ahundredtonsofoil”. Thereisnothingwhatevertoshowwhat kindofoilwas intended. Theagreement isvoid forwant of certainty.

8. PossibilityofPerformance:

Thetermsoftheagreementmustalsobesuchasarecapableofperformance.Anagreementto do

an act which is impossible in practice cannot be enforced.

Example: When A agrees with B to find a treasure, the agreement is void as it is impossible of performance.

9. Void agreements:

The agreements must not have been expressly declared to be void. Following agreements are expressly declared to be void under the Indian Contract Act:

- a. Agreement in restraint to marriage (Sec.26)
- b. Agreement in restraint to trade (Sec.27)
- c. Agreement in restraint to legal proceedings (Sec.28)
- d. Agreement having uncertain meaning (Sec.29)
- e. Wagering agreement (Sec.30)

10. Legal formalities:

The agreement may either be oral or in writing. But there are certain agreements which are required to be in writing e.g., lease, gift, sale, mortgage of immovable property, negotiable instruments, certain matters under the Companies Act, 1956. Such agreements must be in writing, attested and registered, if so required by law. Registration of agreements or deeds is compulsory in cases of documents falling within the scope of Sec. 17 of the Indian Registration Act, 1980. If the agreement does not comply with these legal formalities it cannot be enforced by law.

CONTRACTUAL RIGHTS AND OBLIGATIONS

The law of contract consists of a number of limiting factors subject to which the parties may create rights and duties for themselves which the law will enforce.

It deals with two rights:

- (1) Rights in Personam
- (2) Rights in Rem

RIGHTS IN PERSONAM

Example: If 'X' has a right to get back a sum of Rs.5000 from 'Y' that right can be exercised only by 'X' but not by others because the right 'X' has against 'Y' is a right in personam. 'X' cannot enforce that right against anyone else except 'Y'.

RIGHTS IN REM

If 'A' owns a plot of land and 'B' is the adjacent owner, the right of 'A' to have uninterrupted possession and employment of that land is available not only against 'B' but against every member of the public. Similarly everyone except 'A' is under an

obligation not to interfere with 'A's possession or enjoyment, because the rights of 'A' in respect of that land are Rights in rem. The rights to property are all "Rights in Rem".

CLASSIFICATION OF CONTRACTS

For the sake of convenience we can classify contracts according to their (1) Validity, (2) Formation and (3) Performance. Let us examine them in detail.

1. Classification according to validity: When we closely analyse the definition of a contract, it is found that the contract is based on agreement. An agreement enforceable at law is a contract. To make the agreement enforceable at law, the essentials stipulated in Sec. 10 of the element is missing then the contract may either be void, voidable, illegal, or unenforceable.

void agreements: "An agreement not enforceable by law is said to be void" - Sec. 2(g).

A void agreement has no legal effect. It confers no rights on any person and creates no obligations.

Examples: An agreement made by a minor, agreements without consideration (except certain cases), certain agreements against public policy; etc., are void from the beginning.

Void Contract: There are certain agreements which are valid in the beginning and subsequently it becomes void due to impossibility of performance, change of law or other reasons. When it becomes void the agreement ceases to have legal effect. This we call a void contract as per Sec 2(j) Example: A contract to export coffee to USSR. It may subsequently become void if the exporting country bans the product from being exported.

Illegal agreement: An illegal agreement is one which is against a law in force in India.

Example: An agreement to commit murder, theft or cheating.

Voidable contract: A voidable contract is one which can be avoided by some of the parties to the agreement. Until it is avoided, it is a good contract. An agreement which is enforceable by law at the option of one or more of the parties thereto, but not at the option of the other or others, is a voidable contract as per Sec. 2(i) Examples of voidable contracts: Contracts brought about by coercion, under undue influence, misrepresentation etc.

Illustration: 'P' threatens 'Q' to enter into a contract for the sale of 'Q's landed property to 'P'. This contract can be avoided by 'Q'. 'P' cannot enforce the contract. But 'Q', if he so desires, can enforce it against 'P'.

Unenforceable agreement: The term unenforceable agreement is used in English law. It means an agreement which cannot be forced in a court of law by one or both of the parties, because of some technical defect. E.g. want of registration or non-payment to requisites stamp duty or for want of written form.

Difference between void and voidable contract

	Void	Voidable
1	Not enforceable by law	Enforceable by law at the option of one of the parties to the contract.
2	It has no legally binding effect	It continues to be legal unless avoided by the party.
3	In a void contract, the defects are incurable	In a voidable contract, the defect is curable
4	A third party who purchased goods which had been the subject of a void contract will not acquire good title	But in a voidable contract, a third party will acquire good title.

Difference between void contract and illegal contract

	Void	Illegal
1	All void contracts are not necessarily illegal	All illegal contracts are void
2	All collateral contracts to a void contract are not void	But all collateral contracts to an illegal contract are void
3	Ground for the voidness has to be proved.	Court will, of its own motion, in the case of an illegal contract, refuse to enforce it, even though the illegality has not been pleaded.

Valid contract: An agreement enforceable at law is a valid contract. An agreement becomes a contract when all the essentials of a valid contract stipulated in Sec. 10 are complied with.

2. Classification on the basis of Formation: A contract may be created in three different methods:

1. It may be in writing.
2. It may be made orally, and
3. It may be inferred from the circumstances of the case.

Contracts can be classified according to the mode of their formation as Express, Implied and Quasi contracts. Express contracts are those in which the fact of the agreement can be proved by words written or spoken which express the intention of the parties. Thus contracts in writing and oral (by spoken words) can be collectively called "express contracts."

In case of implied contracts or tacit or inferred contracts agreements would be inferred from conduct of the parties and the general circumstances of each case.

Examples: Mr. A takes a public bus or enters into a restaurant for a cup of coffee or obtains a ticket from an automatic machine.

Unlike other contracts, the quasi-contract does not fulfil such requirements and in that strict sense, is not a contract at all. It rests on the ground of equity that,

"A person shall not be allowed to enrich himself unjustly at the expense of another." In such a contract, rights and obligations arise not by any agreement between the parties but by operation of law.

Example: 'A' a shopkeeper supplied groceries to 'B' by mistake. 'B' used the items as his own. 'B' is bound to pay.

In the above case there is no consensus, no offer, no acceptance; still the law implies a contract. This is known as quasi-contract.

3. Classification according to performance: Contracts can again be classified depending upon the extent to which it has been performed i.e. Executed and Executory contracts. An executed contract is one wherein both the parties have performed their obligations under the contract.

Example: 'A' agrees to sell his motorbike to 'B' for Rs.20,000. In this situation 'A' has given the motorbike and got the money from 'B'. When both the parties perform their part of the obligation under the contract the contract is said to be executed.

An executor contract is one where both the parties are yet to perform their obligations. Thus in the above example, if 'A' has not yet delivered his motorbike and 'B' has not paid the price, the

contract is executed as to 'A' and executory as to 'B'. Another classification of contracts on the basis of performance is as follows:

Unilateral or one-sided and Bilateral or two sided contract: In case of a unilateral or one-sided contract, one party to the contract has performed his part even at the time of its formation and an obligation is outstanding only against the other.

Example: The promise to give a reward to the person who finds out a lost thing forms a unilateral contract when the thing is actually found out. It creates a one-sided obligation.

In the Bilateral contract at the time of its formation, there are two outstanding obligations.

Example: 'A' promises to paint a picture in one month in return for which 'B' promises to pay Rs. 1000. Here there are two promises and each party is a promisor in respect of one promise and a promisee in respect of the other and as such each can hold the other liable for the breach of his promise

DIFFERENCE BETWEEN CONTRACT AND AGREEMENT

No.	Agreements	Contracts
1.	Guarantees and commitments framing consideration for the parties to a similar assent are known as an agreement.	The agreement, which is lawfully enforceable is known as a contract.
2.	The definition of the agreement is characterized in Section 2 (e) .	The definition contract is characterized in Section 2 (h) of the Indian Contract Act, 1872.
3.	Each agreement isn't a contract.	Each contract is an agreement.
4.	The agreement doesn't legitimately head or bound any party for the exhibition of contract.	In the contract, the individuals are undoubtedly bound to execute their part.

5.	The extent of the agreement is more extensive than a contract since it covers a wide range of agreement just as a contract.	The extent of a contract is moderately smaller than an agreement since it covers just those agreements which have lawful enforceability.
----	---	--



UNIT II

OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE

As discussed earlier, a contract is defined as a promise or agreement enforceable by law. So, two elements namely, agreement and enforceability are essential for a valid contract. All contracts are made by the process of a lawful offer by one party and the lawful acceptance of the offer by the other party.

Example: If 'X' says to 'Y' "will you buy my house for Rs. 5,00,000"? It is an offer. If 'Y' says "Yes", the offer is accepted and a contract is formed.

An 'offer' involves the making of a proposal. The term proposal is defined under Sec 2(a) in the Contract Act as follows: "when one person signifies to another his willingness to do or to abstain from doing anything with a view to obtaining the assent of that other to such act or abstinence, he is said to make a proposal"

A proposal is also called an offer. The promisor or the person making the offer is called the offeror. The person to whom the offer is made is called the offeree.

Promise and Acceptance: Sec.2(b) of the Act defines promise as "when the person to whom the proposal is made signifies his assent thereto, the proposal is said to be accepted. A proposal when accepted becomes a promise" Promisor and promise are defined under Sec 2(c) as "The person making the proposal is called the 'promisor' and the person accepting the proposal is called the 'promisee' – Sec.2(c).

A proposal or acceptance may be made in any of the following manners:

- By express words spoken,
- In writing.
- By conduct.

Examples:

1. When A says to B: "will you buy this building for Rs.20 lakhs"? It is an express offer.
2. When A writes to B stating the above offer, then it is an express written offer.
3. When a transport company runs a bus on a particular route, it is termed as an implied offer or an offer by conduct.

RULES REGARDING VALID OFFER

1. An offer may be express or may be implied from the circumstances: In so far as the proposal or acceptance of any promise is made in words, the promise is said to be express. In so far as such proposal or acceptance is made otherwise in words, the promise is said to be implied (Sec. 9).
2. An offer may be made to a definite person; to some definite class of persons; or to the world at large: An offer made to a definite person or a definite class of person is called a specific offer. An offer sent to all persons (the world of public at large) is called a general offer or public offer.

Examples: Specific offer: 'X' offers to sell his motor cycle to 'Y' for Rs.10,000. This is a specific proposal. This proposal is specifically given to 'Y'. Only 'Y' can accept this proposal.

General offer: Carlill Vs Carbolic Smoke Ball & Co (1893) The patent medicine company advertises that it would give a reward of \$100 to anyone who contacted influenza after using the medicine namely smoke ball of the company for a certain period according to the specifications. Mrs. Carlill purchased the smoke ball and contacted influenza in spite of using it as per the specifications. She claimed the reward of \$100. The claim was refused by the company on the ground that the offer was not made to her and that in any case she had not communicated her acceptance of the offer. She filed a suit for the recovery of the reward.

It was held that she could recover the reward as she had accepted the general offer made by the company after complying with the terms of the offer.

3. Offer must be capable of creating legal relationship: The offer must be one which is capable of creating a legal relationship. An invitation to a birthday party or an invitation to play cards will not create a legal relationship. Therefore an offer for such social events will not constitute a contract.

Example: Balfour Vs Balfour (1919): A husband promised to send money to his wife, so long as she remained away from him. It was held that if the husband fails to pay, the wife

.could not sue for the amount on the ground that the promise made by the husband was never intended to give rise to legal consequences.

4. The terms of the offer must be definite and certain: The terms of the offer must be definite, unambiguous and certain and not loose and vague. To constitute a valid contract, it is essential that the proposal must be so certain, that the rights and obligations of the parties arising out of the contract can be exactly fixed. If the terms of an offer are uncertain, its acceptance cannot create any contractual relationship. According to Sec. 29 of the Act, agreements, the meaning of which is not certain or capable of being made certain are void.

Example: 'X' says to 'Y' "I will give you some money if you marry 'Z'". This is not an offer which can be accepted because the amount of money to be paid is not certain.

5. A mere statement of intention is not an offer: Every expression of willingness to enter into a contract may not amount to an offer in the legal sense. It may be only a first and preliminary step in the formation of a contract. Thus it becomes necessary to distinguish between the offer on the one hand and (i) a mere declaration of intention (ii) an invitation to make an offer, and (iii) auction sale, on the other hand.

A distinction is usually made between an 'offer' and "a statement of intention". Price lists and catalogues and enquiries from customers are merely statements of intention. They are not regarded as offers but as invitation to others to make offers.

Harvey Vs Facey: Harvey telegraphed to Facey asking to inform him whether he would sell Bumper Hall pen and if so at what price? Facey informed Harvey that the lowest price was \$900 but did not say that he was willing to sell at that price. Harvey telegraphed that he would buy at that price. Facey gave no reply to the telegram. Held, there was no contract because Facey did not say that he was willing to sell or not. Mere mentioning of price is not an offer.

Similarly, in an auction sale, articles displayed in auction sale are displayed with an intention that the bidders present during the auction sale may bid for them. i.e. may make an offer for them. In an auction sale, a bid is an offer. It can therefore, be taken back at any time before acceptance is made by the auctioneer is effected by the fall of the hammer.

6. An offer must be communicated to the offeree: A person cannot accept an offer unless he knows of the existence of the offer.

Example: 'P' offers a reward to anyone who finds his lost dog. 'Q' on finding the dog brings it to 'P' without having heard of the offer. Held he was not entitled to the reward.

Lalman Vs Gauri Dutt: 'G's' servant 'L' in search of his missing nephew, subsequently 'G' announced a reward for information concerning the boy. 'L' brought back the missing boy, without having the knowledge of the reward. Held, there was no contract between L and G and the reward cannot be claimed.

7. An offer may have certain conditions: A proposer is at liberty to make an offer subject to certain conditions. It is immaterial if the terms are hard or ridiculous. Conditions attached to the offer must clearly be communicated to the offeree. The offeree must fulfil all the conditions mentioned in the offer.

8. Offer must not thrust the burden of acceptance: Offer should not contain the term "the non-compliance of which may be assumed to amount to acceptance". Thus a man cannot say that if he fails to hear from the other party within a week he would consider the offer as being accepted. Similarly, if 'A' writes to 'B'. "I will sell you my house for Rs.5 lakhs. If you do not reply. I shall assume that you have accepted the same. There is no contract even if 'B' does not reply.

LEGAL RULES AS TO ACCEPTANCE

An offer unless accepted cannot become an agreement. Acceptance is essential to convert an offer into an agreement. The acceptance of an offer to be legally effective must satisfy the following requirements:

1. It must be absolute and unqualified: An acceptance to be effective must be absolute and unqualified of all the terms of the offer. A conditional acceptance is not an acceptance at all. If there is any variation, even of an unimportant point, there is no contract. An acceptance with a variation is no acceptance but is a mere "counter offer" which is for the original offeror to accept or not.

Example: Mr. 'X' informed 'Y' his willingness to buy 'Y's' car for Rs.75,000. On receipt of the offer 'Y' informed 'X' that he is willing to sell his car for Rs.1,00,000. In this

example, 'Y's' acceptance is not unconditional or unqualified. This is not an acceptance. It is only a counter offer. If 'X' accepts for Rs.1,00,000, then it will become a contract.

2. The mode of acceptance must be in some usual manner: Except where the offer prescribed a particular mode of acceptance, the acceptance must be made in such manner that it may come to the knowledge of the proposer. If the proposer prescribes a mode of acceptance, the acceptance must be given accordingly.

Example: If the proposer says "Telephonic reply" and the reply was sent by post, then there is no acceptance of the offer.

If the offeree fails to follow the prescribed mode of acceptance, the offeror may, within a reasonable time alter the acceptance as communicated to him, insist that the proposal be accepted in the prescribed manner. If he does not inform the offeree he is deemed to have accepted the acceptance although it is not in the desired manner [Sec.7.(2)].

3. Acceptance must be by the party named in the offer: An offer made to a particular person is to be accepted by him only. It cannot be assigned to anybody else. It cannot be accepted by another without the consent of the offeror. However, in case of general offer, any member of the public may accept it.

4. An acceptance must be communicated to the offeror: Just as the offer should be communicated to the acceptor should do something to inform his intention to accept. In certain cases the offeror may prescribe a particular mode of acceptance, then all that the acceptor has to do is to follow that mode.

5. Acceptance must be within a reasonable time: The acceptance must be made while offer is still in force. (i.e) before the offer lapses. Acceptance made after the offer has been withdrawn is invalid. If any time limit is prescribed in the offer, it should be accepted within that time. But if no time is prescribed it must be accepted within "a reasonable time". What is a 'reasonable time' depends upon the circumstances of each case.

1. Acceptance cannot be made in ignorance of the offer: Acceptance cannot precede the offer nor does an acceptance in total ignorance of an offer result in a contract.

2. Clarification: The seeking of clarification of offer neither amounts to the acceptance of the offer nor to the making of a counter offer.

3. Mental acceptance or uncommunicated assent does not result in a contract: No contract is formed if the offeree remains silent and does nothing to show that he has accepted the offer.

Example: F offered to buy 'B's horse for \$30 saying, "If I hear no more from you, I shall consider the horse as mine at \$30." 'B' did not reply. Held there was no contract because the other party was not informed (Felthouse Vs Bindley)

4. When acceptance is complete: Sec. 4 of the contract act lays down that the communication of an acceptance is complete as against the proposer, when it is put in a course of transmission to him; so as to be out of the power of the acceptor; and as against the acceptor, when it comes to the knowledge of the proposer.

Examples

- (i) 'A' proposes by letter to sell a house to 'B' at a certain price. The communication of the proposal is complete when 'B' receives the letter.
- (ii) 'B' accepts 'A's proposal by a letter sent by post. The communication of the acceptance is complete as against 'A'. When the letter is posted, as against 'B', when the letter is received by 'A'.

COMMUNICATION OF OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE

An offer may be communicated to the offeree or offerees by word of mouth, by writing or by conduct. A written offer may be contained in a letter or a telegram.

Sec. 4 states: "The communication of a proposal is complete when it comes to the knowledge of the person to whom it is made."

The acceptance must be expressed in some usual or reasonable manner. The offeree may express his acceptance by word of mouth, telephone, telegram or by post.

Mr. G applied for shares in a company. A letter of allotment was posted but the letter did not reach 'G'. Held there was a binding contract and 'G' was shareholder of the company (Household Fire Co. Vs. Grant)

REVOCAION OF OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE

Revocation of an offer: An offer comes to an end and is no longer open to acceptance under the following cases - Sec. 6

1. Lapse of time.
2. After expiry of reasonable time.
3. An offer lapses by the failure of the acceptor to fulfil a condition precedent to acceptance, where such a condition has been prescribed.
4. An offer lapses by the death or insanity of the proposer, if the fact of his death or insanity comes to the knowledge of the acceptor before acceptance.
5. When the counter-offer is given, the original offer lapses.
6. A proposal once refused is dead and cannot be revived by its subsequent acceptance.

Example: 'A' offers to sell his farm to 'B' for Rs.1,00,000. 'B' replies offering to pay Rs.90,000. 'A' refuses. Subsequently 'B' writes accepting the original offer. There is no contract because the original offer has lapsed.

7. By notice: If the offeror gives notice of revocation to the other party, an offer may be revoked any time before acceptance but not afterwards. Once an offer is accepted there is a binding contract.

The acceptance of an offer becomes binding on the offeror as soon as the acceptance is put in course of communication to the offeror so as to be out of the power of the acceptor. But anytime before this happens, the offer may be revoked.

Example: A proposal is sent by 'X' to 'Y' and accepted by 'Y' by letter. The proposal might have been revoked anytime before the letter of acceptance was posted but it cannot be revoked after the letter is posted.

The notice of revocation does not take effect until it comes within the knowledge of the offeror.

Mr. G applied for shares in a company. A letter of allotment was posted but the letter did not reach 'G'. held there was a binding contract and 'G' was shareholder of the company (Household Fire Co. Vs. Grant)

REVOCAION OF OFFER AND ACCEPTANCE

Revocation of an offer: An offer comes to an end and is no longer open to acceptance under the following cases - Sec. 6

- Lapse of time.
- After expiry of reasonable time.
- An offer lapses by the failure of the acceptor to fulfil a condition precedent to acceptance, where such a condition has been prescribed.
- An offer lapses by the death or insanity of the proposer, if the fact of his death or insanity comes to the knowledge of the acceptor before acceptance.
- When the counter-offer is given, the original offer lapses.
- A proposal once refused is dead and cannot be revived by its subsequent acceptance.

Example: 'A' offers to sell his farm to 'B' for Rs.1,00,000. 'B' replies offering to pay Rs.90,000. 'A' refuses. Subsequently 'B' writes accepting the original offer. There is no contract because the original offer has lapsed.

1. **By notice:** If the offeror gives notice of revocation to the other party, an offer may be revoked any time before acceptance but not afterwards. Once an offer is accepted there is a binding contract.

The acceptance of an offer becomes binding on the offeror as soon as the acceptance is put in course of communication to the offeror so as to be out of the power of the acceptor. But anytime before this happens, the offer may be revoked.

Example: A proposal is sent by 'X' to 'Y' and accepted by 'Y' by letter. The proposal might have been revoked anytime before the letter of acceptance was posted but it cannot be revoked after the letter is posted.

The notice of revocation does not take effect until it comes within the knowledge of the offeror.

Revocation of Acceptance: An acceptance may be revoked at any time before the communication of the acceptance is complete as against the acceptor but not afterwards.

Example: 'A' proposes by a letter sent by post to sell his house to 'B'. 'B' accepts the proposal by letter sent by post. 'A' may revoke his proposal at any time before or at the moment when 'B' posts his letter of acceptance but not afterwards. 'B' may revoke his acceptance at any time before or at the moment when the letter communicating it reaches "A" but not afterwards.

CONSIDERATION AND CAPACITY OF PARTIES

CONSIDERATION

Meaning

Consideration is an essential element in a contract. It is the sign and symbol of every bargain subject to certain exceptions. An agreement made without consideration is void. Consideration is the necessary evidence required by law of the intention of the parties to effect their legal relations. All contracts require consideration to support them. Consideration means the valuable considerations (i.e) the price paid for the other party's promise. Contract results where one party promises to do in exchange for something in return. Consideration is otherwise known as "something in return." In a nutshell, consideration is the price paid by the promisee for the obligation of the promisor.

Example

- (i) 'P' agrees to sell his land for Rs. 2,00,000 to 'Q'. For 'P's' promise, the consideration is Rs. 2,00,000. For 'Q's' promise, the consideration is the house.
- (ii) 'X' promises not to file a suit against 'Y' if 'Y' pays him Rs. 10,000 on a particular date. 'X's' act of not filing a case against 'Y' is the consideration for 'Y' and Rs. 10,000 is the consideration for 'X'. If there is no consideration there is no contract.

In an Allahabad case, a person subscribed Rs. 500 to rebuild a mosque. It was held that the promise was without consideration and the subscriber was not liable. (Abdul Aziz V. Masum Ali)

Definitions

Sec. 2(d) of contract act defines consideration as follows:

"when at the desire of the promisor, the promisee or any other person has done or abstained from doing, or does or abstains from doing, or promises to do or to abstain from doing, such act or abstinence or promise is called a consideration for the promise."

In the English case *Currie V. Misa* (1875) consideration was defined as, “some right, interest, profit or benefit accruing to one party for some forbearance, detriment, loss or responsibility given, suffered or undertaken by the other.”

Example: ‘X’ engages ‘Y’ as a steno in his office for Rs.2000 per month. The monthly wage is the consideration received by ‘Y’. The services of ‘Y’ is the consideration for ‘X’.

The consideration may consist of either:

- (i) An act (which one is legally bound to perform)
- (ii) An abstinence or forbearance from doing
- (iii) A return promise.

TYPES OF CONSIDERATION

Consideration may be classified as

1. Past consideration
2. Present consideration, and
3. Future consideration.

Past consideration: When the consideration of one party was given before the date of the promise, it is said to be past.

For Example, ‘X’ does some work for ‘Y’ in the month of January and ‘Y’ promised him to pay some money during February. The consideration of ‘X’ is past consideration. Under English law past consideration will make the contract invalid. But under Indian law a past consideration is good consideration because the definition of consideration in Sec.2(d) includes the words “has done or abstained from doing.”

Present consideration: Consideration which moves simultaneously with the promise is called present consideration or executed consideration.

Future Consideration: When the consideration is to move at a future date it is called future consideration or executory consideration.

ESSENTIALS OF VALID CONSIDERATION

1. Consideration Must Move at the Desire of the Promisor: The act done or loss suffered by the promisee must have been done or suffered at the desire of the promisor. An act done without any request is a voluntary act and does not come within the definition of consideration.

The collector of a district asked 'D' to spend money on the improvement of a market and he did so. 'D' cannot demand payment from the shopkeepers using the market for having improved the market. (Durga Prasad Vs Baldeo)

2. It must be a real consideration: The consideration must have some value in the eyes of law. It must not be illusory. The impossible acts or non-existing goods cannot support a contract. A contribution to charity is without consideration. A promise to pay an existing debt within due date if the creditor gives a discount is without consideration and the discount cannot be enforced.

3. Public Duty: "Where the promise is already under an existing public duty, an express promise to perform or performance of that duty will not amount to consideration.

Example: A contract to pay a sum to a witness who has already received some money to appear at a trial is invalid.

4. Promise to a stranger: A promise made to a stranger to perform an existing contract, is enforceable because the promisor undertakes a new obligation upon himself which can be enforced by the stranger.

'X' wrote to his nephew 'B', promising to pay him an annuity of £150 pounds in consideration of his marrying 'C'. 'B' was already engaged to marry 'C'. Held that the fulfilment of B's contract with 'C' was consideration to support X's promise to pay the annuity. (Shadwell Vs Shadwell)

5. Consideration need not be adequate: Explanation 2 under Sec. 25 provides that "An agreement to which the consent of the party is freely given is not void merely because the consideration is inadequate." Law requires the presence of consideration, but does not inquire into the adequacy.

Example: 'P' agrees to sell a house worth Rs.5,00,000 for Rs.1,00,000. P's consent to the agreement was freely given. The agreement is valid in spite of inadequate consideration.

6. The consideration must not be illegal, immoral or opposed to public policy:

If the consideration of the object of the agreement is illegal, immoral or opposed to public policy, the agreement to contract is invalid.

Example: 'X' agreed to pay Rs.50,000 to 'Y' if he kills 'C'.

7. The consideration may be past, present and future
In the past promise, consideration has already been taken place. In the present consideration, it simultaneously moves with promise. In the future consideration, it passes subsequently

it

8. The consideration may move from the promisee or from any other person:

A person has given some properties to his wife 'C' directing her at the same time to pay an annual allowance to his brother 'R'. 'C' also entered into an agreement with 'R' promising him to pay the allowance. This agreement can be enforced by 'R' even though no part of consideration received by 'C' moved from 'R'

“NO CONSIDERATION NO CONTRACT” – EXCEPTIONS TO THIS RULE

Consideration is essential for the validity of a contract. “A promise without consideration is a gift; one made for a consideration is a bargain” (Salmond and Windfield)

A promise without consideration is a gratuitous undertaking and cannot create a legal obligation. Under Roman law an agreement without consideration was called a 'nudum pactum' and was unenforceable. Under English law simple contracts must be supported

by consideration but special contracts require no consideration. Under Indian law, the presence of consideration is a rule essential to the validity of contracts.

Exceptions:

1. Natural love and affection: An agreement without consideration is invalid under Section 25(1) only if the following requirements are complied with:
 - (i) The agreement is made by a written document
 - (ii) The demand is registered according to the law relating to registration in force at that time.
 - (iii) The agreement is made on account of natural love and affection.
 - (iv) The parties to the agreement stand in a near relation to each other.

Examples: An agreement entered into by a husband with his wife during quarrels and disagreement, whereby the husband promised to give some property to his wife. The agreement is void because, under the circumstances, there is no natural love and affection between the parties. (Rajlukhy Debee V. Bhootnath; 1900)

1. Voluntary Compensation: Sec. 25(2) applies when there is a voluntary act by one party and there is a subsequent promise (by the party benefited) to pay compensation to the former. The term 'voluntary' signifies that the act was done, "otherwise than at the desire of the promisor." This kind of promise without any consideration is valid. Example: 'D' finds B's baggage and gives it to him. 'B' promises to give 'D' Rs. 100. This is a valid contract.
2. Time-barred debt: 'A's promise to pay, wholly or in part, a debt which is barred by the law of limitation can be enforced if the promise is in writing and is signed by the debtor or his authorised agent. [Sec. 25(3)] Example: 'D' owes 'B' Rs. 10,000 but the debt is barred by the limitation act. 'D' signs a written promise to pay 'B' Rs. 5000 on account of the debt. This is a contract.
3. Agency: No consideration is required to create an agency. (Sec. 185).
4. Completed Gift: According to Sec. 25 'No consideration no contract' rule does not apply to completed gifts.

If a person transfers certain property to another by a written and registered deed according to the provisions of Transfer of Property Act, he cannot subsequently claim back that property on the ground of lack of consideration.

Can a person who is stranger to consideration sue upon it? Normally, the rule is that the consideration must move from the promisee and the party to a contract can sue. In other words, a stranger to a consideration cannot sue. Under English law, a stranger to a consideration cannot sue.

Examples: Suppose 'A', a doctor, agrees to treat 'B', but as 'A' will not accept payment, 'B' promises 'C' (A's son) that he will pay him Rs. 5,000, 'C' cannot maintain a suit on the promise because he is a stranger to the consideration and the fact of C being the son of A will not alter the position.

Under Indian law consideration may move from the “promisee or any other person”. So it is clear that the consideration can move from any person.

There are certain differences between the rights of a stranger to a contract and stranger to consideration. A stranger to contract i.e. one who is not a party to it, cannot file a suit to enforce it. A contract between ‘P’ and ‘Q’ cannot be enforced by ‘R’.

But a stranger to consideration can sue to enforce it provided he is a party to the contract. A contract between ‘P’, ‘Q’ and ‘R’ whereby ‘P’ pays money to ‘Q’ for delivering goods to ‘R’ can be enforced by ‘R’ although he did not pay any part of the consideration.

UNIT III:

CAPACITY OF PARTIES

Capacity defined:

According to Sec .10, an agreement becomes a contract if it is entered into between the parties who are competent to contract. ‘capacity’ referred to here, means competence of the parties to enter into a valid contract. Capacity includes physical and mental capacity.

According to Sec.11: Every person is competent to contract who is of the age of majority, according to the law to which he is subject, and who is of sound mind, and is not disqualified from contracting by any law to which he is subject.

From this definition we come to the conclusion that the following are not competent to contract:

1. A person who has not attained the age of majority.
2. A person who is of unsound mind, e.g. lunatic or an insane person.
3. Any other person who has been disqualified from contracting under any law, e.g. a person who has been adjudicated an insolvent.

Minor: Under Section 3 of the Indian Majority Act, 1875, a minor is one who has not completed eighteenth year of age. It may be stated here that a minor whose property has been entrusted to a guardian by a court, attains the age of majority when he completes twenty one year of life. In England, minority continues up to the completion of 21st year.

THE LEGAL RULES REGARDING MINOR’S AGREEMENT

1. Minor’s agreement is Void-ab-initio: (void from the very beginning):

Today an agreement with or by minor is void and inoperative. Formerly, the position

was not clear. The Indian Contract Act, does not expressly state whether a contract made by a minor is void or voidable. Sec.11 of the Act simply states that a minor is not competent to contract. Following the English law, it was held formerly that a minor's contract was voidable but not void. The issue came up again in the case of *Mohori Bibee Vs Dharmadas Ghose* (1903)

In this case, a minor executed an agreement for Rs.20,000 and received Rs.8,000 from a mortgagee by way of earnest money. He sued for setting aside the mortgage. The lender wanted refund of the sum which he had actually paid. Held an agreement by a minor was absolutely void and therefore, the question of refunding the money did not arise. Had the agreement been only voidable, the benefit received would have been refunded under Sections 64 and 65 of the Act.

2. A Minor can be a Promisee or a Beneficiary: During his minority, a minor cannot bind himself by a contract, but there is nothing in the contract act which prevents him from making the other party to the contract to be bound to the minor. Thus, a minor is incapable of making mortgage, or a promissory note. But he is capable of becoming a mortgagee, a payee or endorsee. He can derive benefit under the contract.

3. A minor's Agreement cannot be Ratified by the Minor on his attaining Majority: A minor cannot ratify the agreement on attaining the age of majority as the original agreement is totally void from the beginning, and, therefore, validity cannot be given to it later on.

Example: *Indira Ramasamy V Anthiappa Chettiar*. 'A' a minor makes a promissory note in favour of 'B'. on attaining majority, he makes out a fresh promissory note in place of the old one. Neither the original nor the fresh promissory note is valid.

4. If a Minor has Received any Benefit Under a Void Contract he Cannot be Asked to Refund the same: We have already mentioned the facts in *Mohori Bibee's* case. In that case, the lender could not recover the money paid to the minor. Also the property mortgaged by the minor in favour of the lender could not be sold by the latter for the realisation of his loan.

5. A Minor is Always Allowed to plead Minority: He is not prevented from this right even where he had procured a loan or entered into some other contract by falsely representing that he was of full age. Thus, a minor who has deceived the other party to the agreement by representing himself as of full age is not prevented from later asserting that he was minor at the time he entered into agreement.

Examples: Leslie V Shiell (1914) In this case 'S', a minor, borrowed £ 400 from L, a money lender, by fraudulently misrepresenting that he was of full age. On default by 'S', 'L' sued for return of £ 400 and damages for the crime. Held, 'L' could not recover £ 400, and his claim for damages also failed. Even on equitable grounds, the minor could not be asked to refund £400, as the money was not traceable as the minor had already spent it.

In the case of a fraudulent misrepresentation of his age by the minor, inducing the other party to enter into a contract, if money could be traced. The court may award compensation to that other party under Sections 30 and 33 of the Specific Relief Act, 1963.

6. A Minor Cannot be a Partner in a Partnership Firm: He cannot become a partner but for the benefit of the partnership with the consent of all the partners he can be admitted as a partner. Other partners cannot file a case against the minor partner if the latter commits any offence.
7. A Minor's Estate is Liable to a Person Who Supplies Necessaries of Life to a Minor: However there is no personal liability on a minor for the necessaries of life supplied.

The term 'necessaries' is not defined in the Indian Contract Act, 1872. but the English Sale of Goods Act defines necessaries as "goods suitable to the condition in life of the minor and to his actual requirements at the time of sale and delivery".

From the above definition it is very clear that in order to entitle the supplier to be reimbursed from the minor's estate, the following conditions must be fulfilled:

- The goods are necessaries for that particular minor having regard to his status. For example, Purchase of a car may be a necessity for a particular minor and may not hold good for the other person.
- The minor needs the goods both at the time of sale and delivery.

Example: Nash V Inman (1908): A minor, was studying B.C.S., in a college. He ordered 11 fancy coats for about £ 45 with N, the tailor. The tailor sued him for the price. His father proved that his son had already number of coats and had clothes suitable to his condition in life when the clothes made by the tailor were delivered. Held, the coats supplied by the tailor were not necessities and therefore, tailor cannot get the price

The minor's estate is liable not only for the necessary goods but also for the necessary services rendered to him. The lending of money to a minor for the purpose of defending a suit on behalf of a minor in which his property is in jeopardy or for defending him in prosecution, or for saving his property from sale in execution of decree is deemed to be a service rendered to the minor. Other examples of necessary services rendered to a minor are: provision of education, medical and legal advice, provision of a house on rent to minor for the purpose of living and continuing his studies.

8. Minor's parents or guardians are not liable to a minor's creditors for the breach of contract by the minor, whether the contract is for necessities or not. But the parents are liable where the minor is acting as an agent of the parents or the guardian.
9. A minor can act as an agent and bind his principal by his acts without incurring any personal liability.
10. No specific performance: An agreement by a minor being void, the court can never direct specific performance of such an agreement by him.
11. No Insolvency: A minor cannot be declared insolvent even though there are dues payable from the properties of the minor.
12. Company' shares to a minor: A minor cannot apply for and be a member of a company. If a minor has, by mistake, been recorded as a member, the company can rescind the transaction and remove the name from the register. But where a minor was made a member and, after attaining majority, he received and accepted dividends, he will be stopped from denying that he is a member. (Fazalbhoy V The Credit Bank of India)

PERSONS OF UNSOUND MIND

Definition of “Sound Mind” for a valid agreement it is necessary that each party to it should have a sound mind. What is sound mind for the purpose of contracting is laid down in Sec. 12 of the Indian Contract Act.

Section 12: A person is said to be of sound mind for the purpose of making a contract if at the time when he makes it, he is capable of understanding it and of forming a rational judgement as to its effect upon his interests.

A person usually of sound mind, but occasionally of unsound mind, may not make a contract when he is of unsound mind. However, when he is of sound mind he is capable of becoming a party to a contract.

Illustrations

- (a) A patient in lunatic state of mind, who is at intervals of sound mind, may make a contract during these intervals.
- (b) A sane man who is delirious from fever, or who is so drunk that he cannot understand the terms of a contract, or form a rational judgement as to its effect on his interests, cannot contract whilst such delirium or drunkenness lasts. Unsoundness of mind may arise from insanity or lunacy, idiocy, drunkenness and similar factors.

Idiocy: The term idiot is applied to a person whose mental powers are completely absent. Idiocy is a congenital defect caused by lack of development of the brain.

Insanity or Lunacy: This is a disease of the brain. A lunatic is one whose mental powers are so deranged that he cannot form a rational judgement on any subject. Lunacy can sometimes be cured. Idiocy is incurable.

Drunkenness: Drunkenness produces temporary incapacity. The mental faculties are clouded for some time, so that no rational judgement can be formed.

Effect of Agreements Made by Persons of Unsound Mind

Agreements by persons of unsound mind are void. But an Agreement entered into by a lunatic or a person of unsound mind for the supply of necessaries for himself or for persons whom he is bound to support (e.g. his wife, children) is valid as a quasi-

contract under Section 68 of the Act. Only the estate of such a person is liable. There is no personal liability.

The guardian of a lunatic can bind the estate of the lunatic by contracts entered into on his behalf. The mode of appointments of such a guardian and his powers are laid down in the Lunacy Act.

Example: *Inder Singh V Parmeshwardhari Singh (1957)*

A person agreed to sell a property worth Rs.25,000 for Rs.7000. His mother proved that he was a congenital idiot and she pleaded for cancellation of the contract. The court held the agreement to be null and void.

DISQUALIFIED PERSONS

Aliens: An alien means a citizen of a foreign state. Contracts with aliens are valid. An alien living in India is free to enter into contracts with citizens of India. But the government may impose certain restrictions. Certain types of transactions with aliens may be prohibited. A contract with an alien becomes unenforceable if war breaks out with the country of which the alien concerned is a citizen.

Foreign Sovereigns: Foreign sovereigns or governments cannot be sued unless they voluntarily submit to the jurisdiction of the local court (*Mighell V Sultan of Johore*)

Professional Persons: In England, barristers and members of the Royal College of Physicians are prohibited by the etiquette of their profession from suing for their fees. But they can sue and be sued for all the claims other than their professional fees. In India, there is no such restriction on barristers and physicians.

FREE CONSENT

Definition of free consent

An agreement is valid only when it is the result of free consent of all the parties to it. Sec. 13 of the Act defines the meaning of the term 'consent' and Sec. 14 of the Act specifies under what circumstances consent is "free".

Sec. 13 "Two or more persons are said to consent when they agree upon the same thing in the same sense".

Consent involves a union of the wills and an accord in the minds of the parties. When the parties agree upon the same thing in the same sense, they have consensus-ad-idem. For a valid contract the parties must have "identity of mind".

Sec. 14 lays down that consent is not free if it is caused by

1. Coercion.
2. Undue influence,
3. Fraud,
4. Misrepresentation and
5. Mistake.

COERCION

Definition: Coercion is defined by Sec. 15 of the Act as follows: “Coercion is (1) the committing or threatening to commit, any act forbidden by the Indian penal code, or (2) Unlawful detaining, or threatening to detain, any property, to the prejudice of any person whatever, with the intention of causing any person to enter into an agreement.

Examples:

1. A Hindu widow is forced to adopt ‘X’ under threat that her husband’s dead body would not be allowed to be removed unless she adopts ‘X’. the adoption is voidable as having been induced by coercion. (Ranganayakamma Vs Alwarsetti)
2. ‘A’ threaten to kill ‘B’ if he does not transfer all his property in ‘A’’s favour for a very low price. The agreement is voidable for being the result of coercion.

It is not necessary that coercion must have been exercised against the promisor only, it may be directed at any person.

Examples:

1. ‘A’ threaten to beat ‘B’ (C’s son) if ‘C’ does not let his house to ‘A’ the agreement is caused by coercion.
2. ‘X’ threaten to kill ‘Y’ if he does not sell his house to ‘B’ at a very low price. The agreement is caused by coercion though ‘X’ is a stranger to the transaction.

Further, it is immaterial whether the Indian penal code is or is not in force in the place where the coercion is employed.

Example: ‘A’ on board an English ship on the high seas, causes ‘B’ to enter into an agreement by an act amounting to criminal intimidation under the Indian penal code. ‘A’ afterwards sues ‘B’ for breach of contract at Calcutta. ‘A’ has employed coercion, although his act is not an offence by the law of England, and although the Indian penal code was not in force at the time or place where the act was done.

Threat to commit suicide – is it coercion?

As per Section 15 “committing or threatening to commit any act forbidden by

the Indian Penal Code is coercion.” As the act of suicide is forbidden by the IPC, a threat to commit suicide must be treated as coercion.

Example: *Ammiraju Vs Seshamma*

In this case, ‘A’ obtained a release deed from his wife and son under a threat of committing suicide. The transaction was set aside on the ground of coercion.

Duress: The English equal of coercion is Duress. Duress has been defined as causing, or threatening to cause, bodily violence or imprisonment, with a view to obtain the consent of the other party to the contract. Duress differs from coercion on the following points:

1. ‘coercion’ can be employed against any person whereas ‘duress’ can be employed only against the other party to the contract or members of his family.
2. ‘Coercion’ may be employed by any person, and not necessarily by the promisee. ‘Duress’ can be employed only by the party to the contract or his agent.
3. ‘Coercion’ is wider in its scope and includes unlawful detention of goods also. ‘Duress’ on the other hand does not include unlawful detention of goods. Only bodily violence or imprisonment is duress.

Consequences of coercion: Sec.19: When consent to an agreement is caused by coercion the agreement is contract voidable at the option of the party whose consent was so obtained. In other words, the affected party can have the contract cancelled or if he so desires to insist on its performance by the other party.

Sec. 72: A person to whom money has been paid or anything delivered under coercion must repay or return it.

Example: A railway company refuses to deliver certain goods to the consignee, except upon the payment of an illegal charge for carriage. The consignee pays the sum charged in order to obtain the goods. He is entitled to recover so much of the charge as was illegally excessive.

UNDUE INFLUENCE

Definition: A contract is said to be induced by undue influence where,

- (i) One of the parties is in a position to dominate the will of the other, and
- (ii) He uses the position to obtain an unfair advantage over the other. Sec. 16(1) Sec. 16(2) provide that undue influence may be presumed to exist in the following cases:

ovidesthatundueinfluencemaybepresumedtoexistinthefollowingcases:

1. Where one party holds a real or apparent authority over the other or where he stands in a fiduciary relationship to the other. Fiduciary relationship means a relationship of mutual trust and confidence, such a relationship is supposed to exist in the following cases – father and son; guardian and ward; solicitor and client; doctor and patient; saint and disciple; trustee and beneficiary etc.
2. Where a party makes a contract with a person whose mental capacity is temporarily or permanently affected by reason of age, illness or mental or bodily distress.

Example: 'F' having advanced money to his son 'B' during his minority, upon B's coming of age obtains by misuse of parental influence, a bond from B for a greater amount than the sum advanced. 'F' employs undue influence.

Consequences of Undue influence: An agreement caused by undue influence is a contract voidable at the option of the party whose consent was obtained by undue influence (Sec. 19-A).

Example: a money-lender, advances Rs. 100 to 'B' an agriculturist, and by undue influence induces 'B' to execute a bond for Rs. 200 with interest at 6 percent per month. The court may set the bond aside, ordering 'B' to repay Rs. 100 with such interest as may seem just.

Burden of proof [Sec. 16(3)]: If a party is proved to be in a position to dominate the will of another and the transaction appears on the face of it or on the evidence adduced to be unconscionable, the burden of proving that the contract was not induced by undue influence, lies on the party who was in a position to dominate the will of the other.

Undue Influence is suspected in the following cases.

1. Inadequacy of consideration.
2. Fiduciary relationship between the parties.
3. Inequality between the parties as regards age, intelligence, social status, etc.
4. Absence of independent advisors for the weaker party.
5. Unconscionable bargains: Unconscionable bargain is one which is against the conscience of reasonable persons and what shocks the public. If excessive profit is made it will also fall within this term.

High rates of Interest: It is usual for money lenders to charge 'High rates of interest' from needy borrowers can the court presume the existence of undue influence in such cases?

Illustration: 'A' applies to a banker for a loan at a time when there is an acute shortage in the money market. The banker declines to sanction the loan at the prevailing rate of interest. 'A' accepts the loan for a very high interest rate. Held, this is a transaction in the ordinary course of business and the contract is not induced by undue influence.

So a transaction will not be set aside merely because the rate of interest is high. But if the rate is so high that the court feels it is unconscionable, the burden of proving that there was no undue influence lies on the creditor.

Pardanishin Women: Women, who observe the custom of Parda i.e. seclusion from contact with people outside her own family, are peculiarly susceptible to undue influence. Therefore, Indian courts have held that a contract made by or with a pardanishin lady may be set aside by her unless the other party to the contract satisfied the court that the terms of the contract were fully explained to her and that she understood their implications.

Difference between Undue Influence and Coercion: In both undue influence and coercion, one party is under the influence of another.

1. In coercion the influence arises from committing or threatening to commit an offence punishable under the IPC or detaining or threatening to detain property unlawfully. In undue influence, the influence arises from the domination of the will of one person over another.
2. Cases of coercion are mostly cases of the use of physical forces. But in undue influence it is a question of mental pressure.

MISREPRESENTATION

Representation is a statement or assertion, made by one party to the other, before or at the time of the contract, regarding some fact relating to it. Misrepresentation arises when the representation made is inaccurate but the inaccuracy is not due to any desire to defraud the other party. There is no intention to deceive.

Sec.18 of the Contract Act classifies cases of misrepresentation into three groups as follows:

1. The positive assertion, in a manner not warranted by the information of the person making it, of that which is not true, though he believes it to be true.

Example: 'X' learns from 'A' that 'Y' would be director of a company to be formed.

'X' tells this to B' in order to induce him to purchase shares of that company and 'B' does so. This is misrepresentation by 'X' though he believed in the truthiness of the statement and there was no intent to deceive as the information was derived not from 'Y' but from 'A' and was mere hearsay.

2. Any breach of duty which, without an intent to deceive, gives an advantage to the person committing it, (or anyone claiming under him) by misleading another to his prejudice or to the prejudice of anyone claiming under him. Under this heading would fall cases where a party is under a duty to disclose certain facts and does not do so and thereby misleads the other party. In English law such cases are known as cases of "constructive fraud".
3. Causing however, innocently, a party to an agreement to make a mistake as to the substance of a thing which is the subject of the agreement.

Consequence of Misrepresentation: In cases of misrepresentation the party aggrieved can,

1. Avoid the agreement, or
2. Insist that the contract be performed and that he be put in the position in which he would have been if the representation made had been true.

Example: 'A' informs 'B' that his estate is free from encumbrance. B' thereupon buys the estate in fact unknown to 'A', the estate is subject to mortgage. 'B' may either avoid the contract or may insist on its being carried out and the mortgaged debt be redeemed.

In case of misrepresentation the aggrieved party cannot claim compensation or damages from the other person. This however, is subject to certain exceptions.

These are:

1. Breach of Warranty of authority by an agent: Where an agent believes that he has the authority to represent his principal while in fact he has no such authority, the agent is liable for damages even though he is only guilty of innocent misrepresentation. (Collen V. Wright)
2. Misstatement in prospectus: The directors of a company are liable for damages under Sec. 62 of the Companies Act, 1956 for innocent misrepresentation made in the prospectus.
3. Negligent representation made by one person to another between whom confidential relationship exists. E.g. solicitor and client.

However, if the aggrieved party whose consent was caused by misrepresentation had the means of discovering the truth with ordinary diligence, he has no remedy.

FRAUD

Definition: The term 'fraud' includes all acts committed by a person with a view to deceive another person. To "deceive" means to "induce a man to believe that a thing is true which is false"

Sec. 17 of the contract act states that 'Fraud' means and includes any of the following acts committed by a party to a contract (or with his connivance or by his agent) with intent to deceive another party thereto or his agent; or to induce him to enter into a contract.

1. False statement: "The suggestion as to a fact, of that which is not true by one who does not believe it to be true." If a false statement is intentionally made it is fraud.
2. Active concealment: "The active concealment of a fact by one having knowledge or belief of the fact." Mere non-disclosure is not fraud where the party is not under any duty to disclose all facts. But active concealment is fraud.

Examples

- (i) 'B' having discovered a vein of ore on the estate of 'A' decided to conceal the existence of ore from 'A' with 'A's' ignorance, 'B' contracted with 'A' to buy the estate at an under value. The contract is voidable at the option of 'A'.
 - (ii) 'A' sells by auction to 'B' a horse which 'A' knows to be unsound. 'A' says nothing to 'B' about the horse's unsoundness. This is not because 'A' is under no duty to disclose the fact to 'B' but if between 'A' and 'B' there exists a fiduciary relationship (if 'B' is 'A's' daughter) here arises the duty to disclose and non-disclosure amounts to fraud.
3. Intentional non-performance: "A promise made without any intention of performing it" (e.g. purchase of goods without any intention of paying for them) is fraud.
 4. Any other act fitted to deceive
 5. Fraudulent act or omission: "Any such act or omission as the law specially declares to be fraudulent". This clause refers to provisions in certain acts which make it obligatory to disclose relevant facts. E.g. under Sec. 55 of the Transfer of Property Act, the seller of immovable property is bound to disclose to the buyer all material defects. Failure to do so amounts to fraud.

From the analysis of the above we can say that for fraud to exist there must be:

- (a) A representation or assertion, and it must be false.
- (b) The representation or assertion must be of a fact.

Example: 'A' a seller of a horse says that the horse is a 'Beauty' and is worth Rs. 5000. It is merely 'A's'

opinion. It is not a matter of fact.

- (c) The representation or statement must have been made with a knowledge of its falsity or without belief in its truth or recklessly.

Example: *Reese Rivers Silver Mining Co., Vs Smith*

A company issued a prospectus giving false information about the unbounded wealth of Nevada. A share broker who took shares on the faith of such information wanted to avoid the contract. Held he could do so since the false representation in the prospectus amounted to fraud.

Consequences of fraud

A party who has been induced to enter into an agreement by fraud has the following remedies open to him: (Section 19)

- i. He can avoid the performance of the contract.
- ii. He can insist that the contract shall be performed and that he shall be put in the position in which he would have been if the representation made had been true.
- iii. The aggrieved party can sue for damages.

Distinction between Fraud and Misrepresentation

1. In case of fraud the party making a false representation makes it with the intention to deceive the other party to enter into a contract. Misrepresentation on the other hand is innocent i.e., without any intention to deceive or to gain an advantage.
2. In case of fraud, the aggrieved party can sue the person who made the false statement, for damages. But in case of misrepresentation except in certain cases, the only remedy is rescission and restitution.
3. In case of fraud the person who made the false statement cannot argue that the aggrieved person had the means of discovering the truth or could have done so with ordinary diligence.

MISTAKE

Definition: Mistake may be defined as an erroneous belief concerning something.

Consent cannot be said to be 'free' when an agreement is entered into under a mistake.

Mistake is of two kinds:

1. Mistake of law
2. Mistake of Fact

Mistake of law: Mistake on a point of Indian law does not affect the contract. Mistake on a point of law in force in a foreign country is to be treated as mistake of fact

Example: 'A' and 'B' make a contract based on the erroneous belief that a particular debt is barred by the Indian law of limitation. This is a valid contract. The reason is that every man is presumed to know the law of his own country and if he does not he must suffer the consequence of such lack of knowledge. But if in the above case, the mistake is related to the law of limitation of a foreign country, the agreement could have been avoided (Sec. 20)

Mistake of Fact: An agreement induced by mistake of fact is void. Mistake of fact may be

1. a bilateral mistake
2. a unilateral mistake

Bilateral Mistake: When both the parties to the agreement are under a mistake of fact essential to the agreement, the mistake is called a bilateral mistake of fact and the agreement is void (Sec. 20). For the application of Sec. 20 the following two conditions are to be fulfilled.

- (i) The mistake must be mutual
- (ii) The mistake must relate to a matter of fact essential to the agreement.

Example: (1) and example (2)

1. 'A' agrees to buy from 'B' a horse. It turns out that, the horse was dead at the time of bargain, though neither party was aware of the fact, the agreement is void.
2. 'A' agrees to sell to 'B' a specific cargo of goods supposed to be on its way from England to Bombay. It turns out that, before the day of the bargain, the ship carrying the cargo has been washed away and the goods lost. Neither party was aware of the fact. The agreement is void.

Mistake so as to render the agreement void, must relate to some essential matter, some typical cases of mistake invalidating the agreement are given below:

1. Mistake as to the subject matter:

(a) Mistake as to the Existence of Subject-matter: If both the parties believe that the subject-matter of the contract to be in existence, which in fact at the time of the contract is non-existent, the contract is void.

Example: 'A' agreed to purchase 'B's car which was lying in 'B's garage. Unknown to either party the car and the garage were completely destroyed by fire a day earlier. The agreement is void.

(b) Mistake as to identity of the subject-matter: where the parties agree upon different things, i.e. one party intends to deal in one thing and the other intends to deal in another.

Example: 'A' who owns three Maruti cars of different colours, offers to sell his white colour car Rs.1,00,000. 'B' accepts the offer thinking 'A' is selling his green colour car. There is a mistake as to the identity of the subject-matter and hence no contract.

(c) Mistake as to Title to the Subject Matter: where the parties believe that the seller is the owner of the thing which he purports to sell, but in fact, he has no title to it, the contract is void on the ground of mistake.

Example: A person took a lease of a fishery which, unknown to either party already belonged to him. Held, the lease was void [Cooper v. Phibbs. (1861)]

(d) Mistake as to the quality of the Subject-matter: If the subject matter is something different from what the parties thought it to be, the agreement is void.

Example: Table napkins were sold at an auction by a description, "with the crest of Charles I and the authentic property of that monarch." In fact napkins were Georgian. Held, the agreement was void as there was a mistake as to the quality of the subject-matter [Nicholson v. Venn v. Smith Marriott].

(e) Mistake as to the quantity of the subject-matter

Example: Henkel v. Pape (1870)

'P' wrote to 'H' enquiring the price of rifles and

suggested that he might buy as many as 50. On receipt of the information, he telegraphed "Send three rifles". But because of the mistake of the telegraph authorities the message transmitted was "send the rifles". 'H' despatched 50 rifles. Held, there was no contract between the parties. However, 'P' could be held liable to pay for three rifles on the basis of an implied contract.

(f) Mistake as to the price of subject-matter: Where a contract of lease of a house was agreed to at a lease of \$230 but in written agreement, the figure \$130 was inserted by mistake, the contract was held to be void.

But an erroneous opinion as to the value of the subject matter of the agreement is not to be deemed a mistake as to a matter of fact.

Example: 'A' buys an article thinking it is worth Rs.10,000 while it is actually worth Rs.500 only. The agreement cannot be voided on the ground of mistake.

2. Mistake as to the possibility of performing the contract:

If both the parties believe that an agreement is capable of being performed when in fact this is not the case. The agreement, in such a case is void on the ground of impossibility.

Impossibility may be

(i) Physical impossibility: Example: A contract for the hire of a room for witnessing the coronation procession of Edward VII was held to be void because, unknown to the parties the procession had already been cancelled [Griffith v. Braymer (1903)].

(ii) Legal Impossibility: A contract is void if it provides that something shall be done which cannot, as a matter of law, be done.

Unilateral Mistake: In case of unilateral mistake i.e. where only one party to a contract is under a mistake, the contract, generally speaking is not invalid. Sec. 22 reads, "A contract is not voidable merely because it was caused by one of the parties to it being under a mistake as to a matter of fact."

Exception: To the above rule, there are certain exceptions.

(a) Where the unilateral mistake is as to the nature of the contract: A contract is void when one of the parties to it does not intend to enter into it, but through the fault of another and without any fault of his own, makes a mistake as to the nature of the contract. Example: *Foster Vs V. Mackinnon (1869)* An old illiterate man was made to sign a bill of exchange by means of a false representation that it was a guarantee. Held, the contract was void.

(b) Mistake as to quality of the promise: In *Scriven Vs Hindley* case an auction was held for the sale of some lots of hemp (quality natural fibre) and some lots of tow

(broken inferior fibre). Mr. B thinking that hemp was being sold, bid for a lot of tow for an amount which was not of property to it, and was only fair price for hemp. Held, contract could be voided.

- (c) Mistake as to the identity of the person contracted with: where 'A' intends to contract with 'B' but by mistake enters into a contract with 'C' believing him to be 'B' the contract is void on the grounds of mistake. *Example: Cundy Vs Lindsay & Co (1878)*: Mr. 'X' of Blenkarn, by imitating the signature of a reputed firm called Blenkiron and Co, induced another firm 'Y' to supply goods to him on credit. The goods were then sold to 'X' of Blenkarn. Held, there was no contract between 'X' of Blenkarn and 'Y' because 'Y' never intended to supply to Blenkarn. Therefore 'X' of Blenkarn obtained no title to the goods. But if the goods are sold for cash then that is a valid contract.

Consequences of Mistake: Mistake renders a contract void and as such in case of a contract which is yet to be performed the party complaining of the mistake may avoid it, i.e. need not perform it. If the contract is executed, the party who received any advantage must restore it or make compensation for it, as soon as the contract is discovered to be void.

Legality of object Introduction

“No polluted hand shall touch the pure fountains of justice.”

Section 23 of the Indian Contract Act, 1872 (“Act”), specifies three issues, for example, consideration for the agreement, the object of the agreement and the agreement in essence. Section 23 makes a restriction on the freedom of an individual in connection to going into agreements and subjects the privileges of such individual to the overriding contemplations of public policy and the other provisions articulated under it. Section 23 additionally discovers its bearing from Section 264.

The word “Object” used in Section 23 indicates and signifies “purpose” and doesn’t imply importance in a similar sense as “consideration”. Therefore, despite the fact that the consideration of an agreement might be legal and genuine, that won’t stop the agreement from being unlawful if the purpose (object) of the agreement is illicit. Section 23 limits the courts since the section isn’t guided by the thought or motive, to the object of the exchange or transaction fundamentally and not to the reasons which lead to the equivalent.

Section 23 of The Indian Contract Act states that for a contract to be valid, there must be the legality of object and consideration. The object is the purpose for which the parties enter into a contract. The fulfilment of the object leads to the transfer of the consideration agreed from one party to the other. Let's look into the parameters under the legal object contract law that define what is a lawful object and consideration.

Lawful Object and Lawful Consideration

The legality of the object in contract law stipulates that the consideration and the object of a contract are considered legal except when:

- They are specifically forbidden by law.
- They are fraudulent in nature.
- The nature of the object and the consideration is such that it defeats the purpose of the law.
- They involve injury or harm to a person(s) or property.
- Are considered immoral by the court of law.
- Are against the public policy.

1. Forbidden by the Law

An object and/or a consideration prohibited by law are not considered legal and render a contract void. Unlawful consideration of the object means unlawful acts that are punishable by the law. The acts disallowed by the appropriate authority by means of their rules and regulations are also considered for determining the legality. However, if these rules and regulations are not in tandem with the law, they are not applicable.

Forbidden by law provision renders a contract void but all void contracts may not be illegal.

2. Fraudulent in Nature

The object and the consideration of the contract must not be fraudulent as then, the contract will become void.

Example - A enters into a contract with B where he agrees to pay B if he embezzles money from C. This is considered a fraudulent object and the contract is not valid.

3. Defeats the Purpose of the Law

If the purpose of entering into the contract is to go against any provisions of law, the contract will be deemed void. The contract is void if:

- The object of the contract is to perform an illegal act.
- The object of the contract is explicitly or in an implied manner prohibited by law.
- The completion of the contract is impossible without going against the provisions of the law.

Example - A enters into a contract with B whereby B promises to not pursue legal proceeding against A if A commits a robbery in B's house. This contract is against the provisions of the IPC law.

4. Involves Injury or Harm to Another Person or Property

The object of the contract must not cause any destruction to property or cause injury to another person.

Examples:

- Publishing a book on the life of a person without his consent.
- Destruction of a property.
- Violation of licenses.
- Violation of copyrights.

A enters into a contract with B whereby he agrees to pay a sum of money to B if he

Example - A lends money to B on the condition that B will divorce C, and later get married to A. If B does not divorce C, then A cannot pursue legal proceedings against B to recover the money. The basic premise of this contract is immoral so it will be deemed void.

6. Against the Public Policy

A lawful object in business law means that it should not be against public policy. The purpose of public policy is not to curtail any individual's rights but to maintain and protect the general welfare of the community. Let's see what kind of contracts are considered to be against the public policy:

1. Entering into an agreement with a party that belongs to a country with which India does not have peaceful relations, makes the agreement void.
2. Restraining from prosecution: A contract that prohibits a person from pursuing legal recourse is considered void.
3. Maintenance and Champerty: In a maintenance agreement, a person promises to maintain a lawsuit in which he has no vested interest. Champerty is when a person agrees to assist another party in litigation in return for a portion of the damages or proceeds received.
4. An agreement to indulge in trafficking in public offices.
5. Agreements to create monopolies.
6. An agreement to brokerage marriage as rewards.
7. An agreement to induce judiciary or state officials to act in a corrupt manner and interferes with legal proceedings.

Solved Questions on the Legality of the Object in Business Law

Q1. L lends some money to P to help him buy some goods from X who belongs to a country with which India is at war. Can L recover his money from P?

Ans. Any agreement for the purchase of goods between P and X will be considered void since entering into trade with the enemy is against public policy. Any agreement between L and P will also be void since it is collateral to the main agreement. So L cannot recover his money from P. However, If L did not know the reasons for P borrowing the money, he can enforce the contract for recovering money.

Void Agreement Definition:

A void agreement definition would be an agreement or contract with no legal value. Legally, a void agreement means the contract or agreement is no longer enforceable. While precise definitions vary by jurisdiction, void agreements are generally categorized as being void from the beginning and were never valid at any point. On the other hand, void contracts are generally defined to have been valid at one time, but are now invalid. However, despite those precise definitions existing, the terms are most often used interchangeably.

A Void Agreement Never Valid

An agreement that was void from the beginning is said to be ab-initio. In order to be valid, the agreement must contain all of the elements listed in the Indian Contract Act of 1872, Section 10. Ab-initio agreements violated the Indian Contract Act from the beginning and are not valid. Examples of an agreement that would never be valid include those that:

- Cannot be executed, such as a street vendor selling the Brooklyn Bridge to a tourist
- Were made without consideration
- Require breaking the law
- Go against current public policy
- Include a party that is a minor, intoxicated, or legally insane at the time of signing

Essentially these agreements have no legal effects and in the eyes of the law they never existed.

A Void Contract Once Valid But No Longer

A void contract is a contract or agreement that ceases to have a legal effect. Unlike an ab-initio, these contracts did at one point contain the elements listed in the Indian Contract Act, and therefore at least initially are considered valid legal agreements binding to both parties. A few ways a contract could become legally void are:

- The contract becomes impossible to fulfill due to external circumstances
- Laws change since the initial agreement, and the agreement now requires breaking the law
- Fulfilling the contract will result in something unlawful
- The contract was contingent on circumstances that cannot come to pass
- One party failed to disclose key information or provided inaccurate information

Technically speaking, a fulfilled contract is also a void contract, as the parties involved are no longer bound by the contract and therefore it has no legal effect.

Examples of Void Agreements

The most straightforward type of void agreement is one that requires breaking the law. A gang of thieves may make an agreement to steal a valuable painting and split the proceeds evenly. But if one party in the agreement does not receive a fair share, he cannot take the others to court for not fulfilling the contract, since the contract is considered legally void.

A common example of a void contract is one in which a performer agrees to a set of shows, but then becomes injured and cannot perform after all. In these circumstances, the contract was valid initially, but is now impossible to fulfill.

Agreements Void Through Uncertainty

Another way agreements can be void is through uncertainty. If an agreement is uncertain in meaning, and cannot be clarified through legal or business proceedings, the agreement is void. Part of what makes a legally binding contract is the obligation being clear and therefore able to be fulfilled. If the language used cannot be interpreted by the parties involved or a third party, the contract has no legal effect.

An example of a void agreement through uncertainty is one that is vaguely worded: "X agrees to purchase fruit from Y." If there is no way to determine which type of fruit was agreed upon or intended, then the agreement is void. However, if party Y in the above agreement is a grapefruit farmer, then there is a clear indication of what type of fruit was intended and X would still be liable to make the purchase.

Void Agreements in the Future

Agreements that do not currently exist but are agreed to potentially exist in the future are also legally void, unless all items in the agreement are actually agreed. As an example: if X agrees to purchase grapefruit from Y at a price to be determined by market value at date C, then the market value at date C can be made certain. However, an agreement for X to purchase some kind of fruit from Y at some point in the future at a price to be determined would both be uncertain and entirely in the future, thus void.

Unlawful Agreements-Law Of Contract

According to the Indian Contract Act, "The consideration or object of an agreement is lawful, unless it is forbidden by law; or is of such a nature that, if permitted, it would defeat the provisions of any law; or is fraudulent; or involves or implies injury to the person or property of another; or the court regards it as immoral, or opposed to public policy.

Unlawful Agreements

According to the Indian Contract Act (Sec. 23), "The consideration or object of an agreement is lawful, unless it is forbidden by law; or is of such a nature that, if permitted, it would defeat the provisions of any law; or is fraudulent; or involves or implies injury to the person or property of another; or the court regards it as immoral, or opposed to public policy.

Let us see the provisions of Sec. 23 which make an agreement unlawful.

1. Forbidden by law: If the object of the agreement or the consideration of the agreement is the doing of an act which is forbidden by law, the agreement is void.
3. If it is of such a nature that, if permitted, it would defeat the provisions of any law: i.e. it would indirectly lead to a violation of the law.
4. If it is fraudulent: Any agreement whose object is to defraud others is void.
5. If it involves or implies injury to the person or property of another
6. If the Court regards it as immoral.
7. If the Court regards it as opposed to public policy: The following agreements have been held to be against public policy
8. Trading with Enemy:

- b. Agreements for stifling prosecution: An agreement to suppress criminal charge is void because if a person has committed a crime, public policy requires that he should be prosecuted.
- c. Agreements interfering with the Course of Justice: An agreement entered into with the object of exercising improper influence on judges or officers of justice is bad in law as opposed to public policy.
- d. Agreements tending to an abuse of legal process: There may be two types of agreements under this head, one is Maintenance and the other is Champerty.
- e. Agreement to vary the period of limitation: An agreement that reduces or increases the period of limitation as laid down by the law of limitation is opposed to public policy.
- f. Traffic in Public Offices: An agreement whereby an appointment to a public office is procured for monetary consideration is against public policy because it would cause corruption in administration of the State.
- g. Agreement creating an interest opposed to duty
- h. Agreements restraining personal freedom
- i. Agreements opposed to parental rights and duties: Father is supposed to be the guardian of his children and in the absence of the father their mother acquires this right as well as responsibility and this right cannot be bartered away.
- j. Marriage Brokerage Agreements: Agreement to pay reward to a person for negotiating marriage is opposed to public policy.

The following agreements are also opposed to public policy.

- i. Agreements in restraint of marriage.
- ii. Agreements in restraint of trade.
- iii. Agreements in restraint of legal proceedings.

PERFORMANCE OF CONTRACTS

What is Performance of Contract?

The term 'Performance of contract' means that both, the promisor, and the promisee have fulfilled their respective obligations, which the contract placed upon them. For instance, A visits a stationery shop to buy a calculator. The shopkeeper delivers the calculator and A pays the price. The contract is said to have been discharged by mutual performance.

Section 27 of Indian contract Act says that

The parties to a contract must either perform, or offer to perform, their respective promises, unless such performance is dispensed with or excused under the provisions of this Act, or any other law.

Promises bind the representatives of the promisor in case of the death of the latter before performance, unless a contrary intention appears in the contract.

Thus, it is the primary duty of each contracting party to either perform or offer to perform its promise. For performance to be effective, the courts expect it to be exact and complete, i.e., the same must match the contractual obligations. However, where under the provisions of the Contract Act or any other law, the performance can be dispensed with or excused, a party is absolved from such a responsibility.

Example

A promises to deliver goods to B on a certain day on payment of Rs 1,000. A expires before the contracted date. A's representatives are bound to deliver the goods to B, and B is bound to pay Rs 1,000 to A's representatives.

Types of Performance

Performance, as an action of the performing may be actual or attempted.

Actual Performance

When a promisor to a contract has fulfilled his obligation in accordance with the terms of the contract, the promise is said to have been actually performed. Actual performance gives a discharge to the contract and the liability of the promisor ceases to exist. For example, A agrees to deliver 10 bags of cement at B's factory and B promises to pay the price on delivery. A delivers the cement on the due date and B makes the payment. This is actual performance.

Actual performance can further be subdivided into substantial performance, and partial Performance

Substantial Performance

This is where the work agreed upon is almost finished. The court then orders that the money must be paid, but deducts the amount needed to correct minor existing defect. Substantial performance is applicable only if the contract is not an entire contract and is severable. The rationale behind creating the doctrine of substantial performance is to avoid the possibility of one party evading his liabilities by claiming that the contract has not been completely performed. However, what is deemed to be substantial performance is a question of fact to be decided in both the case. It will largely depend on what remains undone and its value in comparison to the contract as a whole.

Partial Performance

This is where one of the parties has performed the contract, but not completely, and the other side has shown willingness to accept the part performed. Partial performance may occur where there is shortfall on delivery of goods or where a service is not fully carried out.

There is a thin line of difference between substantial and partial performance. The two following points would help in distinguishing the two types of performance.

Partial performance must be accepted by the other party. In other words, the party who is at the receiving end of the partial performance has a genuine choice whether to accept or reject. Substantial performance, on the other hand, is legally enforceable against the other party.

Payment is made on a different basis from that for substantial performance. It is made on quantum *meruit*, which literally means as much as is deserved. So, for example, if half of the work has been completed, half of the negotiated money would be payable. In case of substantial performance, the party that has performed can recover the amount appropriate to what has been done under the contract, provided that the contract is not an entire contract. The price is thus, often payable in such circumstances, and the sum deducted represents the cost of repairing defective workmanship.

Attempted Performance

When the performance has become due, it is sometimes sufficient if the promisor offers to perform his obligation under the contract. This offer is known as attempted performance or more commonly as tender. Thus, tender is an offer of performance, which of course, complies with the terms of the contract. If goods are tendered by the seller but refused by the buyer, the seller is discharged from further liability, given that the goods are in accordance with the contract as to quantity and quality, and he may sue the buyer for breach of contract if he so desires. The rationale being that when a person offers to perform, he is ready, willing and capable to perform. Accordingly, a tender of performance may operate as a substitute for actual performance, and can effect a complete discharge.

In this regard, Section 38 of Indian Contract Act says:

‘Where a promisor has made an offer of performance to the promisee, and the offer has not been accepted, the promisor is not responsible for non-performance, nor does he thereby lose his rights under the contract. For example, A contracts to deliver to B, 100 tons of basmati rice at his warehouse, on 6 December 2015. A takes the goods to B’s place on the due date during business hours, but B, without assigning any good reason, refuses to take the delivery. Here, A has performed what he was required to perform under the contract. It is a case of attempted performance and A is not responsible for non-performance of B, nor does he thereby lose his rights under the contract.’

DISCHARGE OF CONTRACT

When the obligation created by a contract comes to an end, the contract is said to be discharged or terminated.

A contract may be discharged in any of the following ways:

1. By performance of the promise or tender: The common mode of discharge of a contract is by performance i.e. where the parties have done whatever was expected under the contract, the contract comes to an end. Thus where ‘A’ contracts to sell his car to ‘B’ for Rs.75, 000 as soon as the car is delivered to ‘B’. As soon as he delivered the car he received the price from ‘B’. The contract comes to an end by performance.

The offer of performance or tender has the same effect as performance. If a promisor tenders performance of his promise but the other party refuses to accept, the promisor stands discharged of his obligations.

2. By Mutual Consent cancelling the agreement or substituting a new agreement in place of the old: By agreement of all parties, a contract may be cancelled or its terms altered or a new agreement substituted for it. Whenever any of these things happen, the old contract is terminated.

“If the parties to a contract agree to substitute a new contract for it, or to rescind or alter it, the original contract need not be performed” (Sec. 62)

Termination by mutual agreement may occur in any one of the following ways:

a. Novation: Novation occurs when a new contract is substituted for an existing contract, either between the same parties or between different parties. Novation may occur by two ways, i.e., change of parties and a substitution of a new contract in place of the existing one.

Example

- 1) 'A' is indebted to 'B' and 'B' is indebted to 'C'. by mutual agreement B's debt to 'C' and A's debt to 'B' are cancelled and 'C' accepts 'A' as his debtor. It is Novation.
- 2) 'P' lent 'D' Rs.20,000. afterwards the parties agreed that 'D' will repay to 'P' Rs.10,000 and certain grams of gold at a particular date. The former agreement is replaced by the latter. There is Novation.

b. Rescission: Rescission means cancellation of all or some of the terms of the contract. Where parties mutually decide to cancel the terms of the contract. The obligations of the parties thereunder terminate.

c. Alteration: If the parties mutually agree to change certain terms of the contract, it has the effect of terminating the original contract. There is, however, no change in the parties.

d. Remission Sec. 63 deals with remission: Remission is the acceptance of a lesser sum than what was contracted for or a lesser fulfilment of the promise made. Example: 'A' owes 'B' Rs.5000. 'A' pays to 'B' who accepts in satisfaction of the whole debt Rs.2000 paid at the time and place at which the Rs.5000 were payable. The whole debt is discharged.

e. Waiver: Waiver means relinquishment or abandonment of a right. Where a party waives his right under the contract, the other party is released of his obligations. Example: 'A' promises to paint a picture for 'B'. 'B' afterwards forbids him to do so. 'A' is no longer bound to perform the promise.

f. Merger: A contract is said to have been discharged by way of 'Merger' where an inferior right possessed by a person coincides with a superior right of the same person. Example: A man, who is holding certain property under a lease, buys it. His rights as a lessee vanish.

3. By subsequent impossibility (Sec. 56): Impossibility in a contract may either be inherent in the transaction or it may happen later by the change of certain circumstances

material to the contract. If it happens at a later stage we call it subsequent impossibility. In England this is referred to as 'Doctrine of Frustration'. A contract is deemed to have become impossible of performance and thus void under the following circumstances:

- i. Destruction of subject-matter of the contract.
- ii. By death or disablement of the parties.
- iii. Subsequent illegality (e.g.) 'A' contracts to supply 'B' 100 bottles of wine. Before the contract is executed, dealings in all sorts of liquor are declared prohibited by the Government; the contract becomes void.
- iv. Declaration of war.
- v. Non-existence or Non-occurrence of particular state of things. When certain things necessary for performance cease to exist, the contract becomes void on the grounds of impossibility.

Example: 'A' and 'B' contract to marry each other. Before the marriage, 'A' goes mad. The contract gets discharged.

Exceptions:

- a) difficulty of performance does not amount to impossibility.
- b) Commercial impossibility does not render a contract void.
- c) Strikes, lock-outs and civil disturbances do not terminate contracts unless provided for in the contract.
- d) Failure of one of the objects does not terminate the contract.
- e) Non-performance of third party does not exonerate the promisor from his liability.

4. By Operation of Law: *Discharge under this head may take place as follows:*

- a) The death of the promisor results in termination of the contract in cases involving personal skill and ability.
- b) The insolvency Act provides for discharge of contracts whenever the promisor becomes insolvent.
- c) By merger.
- d) By material alteration without seeking the consent of the other party

BY BREACH OF CONTRACT

A contract terminates by breach of contract. Breach of contract may arise in two ways:

- a) Anticipatory Breach
- b) Actual Breach.

Anticipatory breach of contract occurs when a party repudiates it before the time fixed for performance has happened or when a party by his own act disables himself from performing the contract. Example: 'A' Contracts to marry 'B'. Before the agreed date of marriage he marries 'C'. 'B' is entitled to sue 'A' for breach of promise.

Consequences of Anticipatory Breach: In case of anticipatory breach, the promisee may either;

- a) rescind the contract and treat the contract as at an end, and at once sue for damages, or
- b) he may elect not to rescind but to treat the contract operative and wait for the time of performance and then hold the other party liable for the consequences of non-performance.

Example:

'A' agreed to load a cargo of wheat on 'B's ship at Odessa by a particular date but when the ship arrived 'A' refused to load the cargo. 'B' did not accept the refusal and continued to demand the cargo. Before the last date of the loading had expired the Crimean war broke out, rendering the performance of contract illegal. Held, the contract was discharged and 'B' cannot sue for damages. [Avery V. Bowen]

b) Actual Breach of Contract: Actual breach of contract occurs when during the performance of the contract or at the time when the performance of the contract is due; one party either fails or refuses to perform his obligations under the contract. The refusal of performance may be express or implied. Example: 'D' agrees to deliver to 'B' 50 kilos of Ghee on 1st June. He fails to do so on 1st June.

Cort V. Ambergate Railway Co. (1851)

'A' contracted with a Railway Co., to supply it certain quantity of railway chairs at a certain price. The delivery was to be made in instalments. After four instalments had been supplied, the railway company asked 'A' to deliver no more. Held, A could sue for breach of contract.

REMEDIES FOR BREACH OF CONTRACT

When a breach of contract occurs, the aggrieved party or the injured party becomes entitled to the following remedies or reliefs:

1. Rescission of the Contract: When a breach of contract is committed by one party, the aggrieved party is relieved from all his obligations under the contract. Example: 'A' promises 'B' to supply 10 bags of sugar on a certain date and 'B' promises to pay the price

on receipt of the goods. 'A' does not deliver the goods on the appointed day. 'B' needs not pay the price.

Party Rightfully Rescinding the Contract Entitled to Compensation (Sec. 75): A person who rightfully rescinds the contract is entitled to compensation for any damage which he has sustained through the non-fulfilment of the contract.

2. Damages for the Loss Suffered: Damages, generally speaking, are of four kinds.

- i. **Ordinary damages:** Ordinary damages are those which naturally arise in the usual course of things from such breach. The measure of ordinary damages is the difference between the contract price and the market price on the date of breach. Example: 'A' contracts to deliver 100 bags of wheat at Rs. 800 a bag on a future date. On the due date he refuses to deliver; the price on that day is Rs. 900 per bag. The measure of damages is the difference between the market price on the date of breach and the contract price i.e. Rs. 10,000.

The ordinary damages shall be available for any loss or damage which arises naturally in the usual course of things from the breach and as such compensation cannot be claimed for any indirect loss by reason of breach.

Example: A railway passenger's wife caught cold and fell ill due to her being asked to get down at a place other than the railway station. In a suit by the plaintiff or affected person against the railway company, held, that damages for the personal inconvenience of the plaintiff alone could be granted, but not for the sickness of the plaintiff's wife, because it was a very indirect consequence.

- ii. **Special Damages:** Special damages are claimed in case of loss of profit etc. when there are certain special or extraordinary circumstances present and their existence is communicated to the promisor, the non-performance of the promise entitles the promisee to not only the ordinary damages but also damages that may result from it.

Example: 'A' a builder, contracts to erect and finish a house by the 1st of January so that 'B' may give possession of it at that time to 'C'. To whom 'B' has contracted to let it. 'A' is informed of the contract between 'B' and 'C'. 'A' builds the house so badly that, before the 1st January, it falls down and has to be rebuilt by 'B' who in consequence loses rent which he was to have received from 'C', and is obliged to make compensation to 'C' for

the breach of his contract. 'A' must make compensation to 'B' for the cost of rebuilding the house, for the rent lost, and for the compensation made to 'C'.

Notice of the Communication of the Special Circumstances is a Pre-requisite to the Claim for Special Damages.

Example: Hadley v. Baxendale (1854)

'X's Mill was stopped due to the breakdown of a shaft. He delivered the shaft to 'Y' a common carrier, to be taken to a manufacturer to copy it and make a new one. 'X' did not make known to 'Y' that delay would result in a loss of profits. By some negligence on the part of 'Y' the delivery of the shaft was delayed in transit beyond a reasonable time. As a result the mill remained idle for a longer time than otherwise would have been, had the shaft been delivered in time.

Held: 'Y' was not liable for loss of profits during the period of delay as the circumstances communicated to 'Y' did not show that a delay in the delivery of shaft would entail loss of profit to the mill.

c) Vindictive (or) Punitive or Exemplary Damages: These damages are awarded to punish the defaulter than to really compensate the plaintiff and have no place in the law of contracts. But in the following cases vindictive damages are awarded.

- i) Breach of a contract to marry and
- ii) Wrongful dishonour of a cheque by a banker.

d) Nominal Damages: This kind of damages is awarded when the injured party does not suffer any damages. Yet this damage consisting of a very small amount, say, a rupee or two, is awarded for violation of a legal right.

3. Specific Performance: Where damages are not an adequate remedy, the court may direct the party in breach to carry out his promise according to the terms of the contract. This is called 'Specific performance' of the contract. Some of the instances where court can direct specific performance are: a contract for the sale of a particular house or some rare article or another thing for which monetary compensation is not enough because the injured party will not be able to get an exact substitute in the market.

Specific performance will not be granted where:

- a. Monetary compensation is an adequate relief.

b. The contract is of personal nature, e.g. a contract to marry



- c. Where it is not possible for the court to supervise the performance of the contract, e.g. a building contract.
- d. The contract is made by a company beyond its objective as laid down in its Memorandum of Association.

4. Injunction: Injunction means an order of the court. Where a party is in breach of a negative term of a contract (i.e. where he does something which he promised not to do) the court may, by issuing an order, prohibit him from doing.

Example: (i) Metropolitan Electric Supply Company V. Ginder (1901)

G agreed to buy the whole of the electricity required for his house from a certain company. He was therefore, restrained by an injunction from buying electricity from any other person.

(ii) N, a film star, agreed to act exclusively for a particular producer, for one year. During the year she contracted to act for some other producers. Held, she could be restrained by an injunction.

5. Quantum Meruit: The phrase 'Quantum Meruit' means as much as merited' or 'as much as earned'. The general rule of law is that unless a person has performed his obligations in full, he cannot claim performance from the other. But in certain cases, when a person has done some work under a contract, and the other party repudiated the contract, or some event happened which makes the further performance of the contract impossible, then the party who has performed the work can claim remuneration for the work he has already done.

Example: 'A' contracts with 'B' to deliver to him 500 kilos of butter before 1st May. 'A' delivers 200 kilos only before that date and none after. 'B' retains the 200 kilos after the 1st May. 'B' is bound to pay 'A' for them

UNIT IV

Company

Introduction

The origin of Indian company law can be traced back to the year 1850, when the first Indian Companies Act providing for the registration of joint stock companies was passed in the year 1850, on the lines of English Companies Act of 1844. During 1857 another Act was passed on the lines of the English Companies Act of 1856. The principle of limited liability was first introduced in England by the Limited Liability Act of 1855 under which a company was entitled to obtain certificate of registration with limited liability. The English Companies Act, 1856 replaced both the Acts of 1844 and 1855. The concept of limited liability is not alien to India.

The growth of trading, commercial and later industrial activities in India in the latter half of the nineteenth century led to several changes in company legislation. The first Comprehensive Act providing for the incorporation, regulation and winding up of companies was passed in India in 1866, in the lines of English Companies Act, 1862. This Act was recast in 1882 to bring the Indian Company Law in conformity with the various amending Acts which were passed in 1887, 1891, 1895 and 1910, till we had a consolidating Act – the Indian Companies Act 1913 – which brought our law almost at par with the English Companies for the first time. Thereafter, some minor amendments to the Act were carried out in 1914, 1915, 1920, 1926, 1930 and 1932. Extensive amendments were made by the ‘Indian Companies (Amendment) Act of 1936’ which not only brought our law at par with the English Companies Act of 1929, but which went a step further. This amending Act, for the first time, introduced various provisions relating to the powers and limitations of Managing Agents and devoted a separate chapter for Banking Companies. This chapter was later taken out and incorporated in a separate Banking Companies Act. From 1936 to 1946, the Act was amended several times with minor changes arising out of specific needs.

After the independence, some formal amendments were made by the Adaptation of laws order, 1950 which came into force on the 26th January, 1950, the date on which the constitutional status of India was changed into Sovereign Democratic Republic.

The growth of industrial activity during and after the second world war brought about a spurt in the formation of companies in India. Many changes had taken place in the organization and management of joint stock companies. Need was felt for a thorough revision of the existing Act and enactment of a Comprehensive Companies Act and provide for the changed situation. In the meantime, the English Companies Act, 1948 was passed providing far-reaching changes recommended by the Cohen Committee. The government of India appointed on 25.10.1950, a committee of 12 members representing various interests under the chairmanship of Mr. H.C. Bhalha. The Bhalha committee reported in 1952, made a thorough enquiry into the development of joint stock companies in the country, the abuses of the Managing agency system, the loopholes in the existing legislation and the social and economic requirements of the fast changing society after the independence. A bill based mainly on the recommendations of the Bhalha Committee was introduced in Parliament in 1953 and after consideration by a joint select committee of parliament, was passed in 1955. The Companies Act, 1956 came into force with effect from April 1, 1956.

THE COMPANIES ACT, 1956

The Companies Act, 1956 is a consolidating and amending act. It contains 658 sections, 12 schedules and numerous forms. While Schedule XIII has been later added by the Amendment Act of 1974, Schedule XIV has been inserted by the Companies (Amendment) Act, 1988.

This Act is based largely on the report of the company law committee, 1952. The Act applies to the whole of India, except Jammu and Kashmir where it applies only in respect of banking, insurance and financial corporations. As regards Nagaland, it applies subject to modifications, if any, notified by the Central Government. The Act was amended first in 1960 and later in 1963, 1965 and 1969. The Amendment Act of 1969 brought a far-reaching change in that the managing agency system and the institution of Secretaries and treasurers was abolished altogether with effect from April 3, 1970. The 1974 amendment brought about far-reaching changes in the 1956 Act to plug the loopholes left over, to give

greater power to the Government to regulate and control company activities in public interest. Since then the Act has been further amended in 1977, 1985, 1988, 2000 and 2006.

The main objectives underlying the Companies Act, 1956 may be briefly stated as under:

- (1) To protect the interests of a large number of shareholders as there exists separation of ownership from management in a joint stock company.
- (2) To safeguard the interests of creditors in view of the limited liability feature of a joint stock company.
- (3) To help the growth of companies on healthy business lines.
- (4) To help the attainment of the ultimate ends of the social and economic policy of the Government, namely, establishing a socialistic pattern of society.
- (5) To equip the Government with necessary powers to intervene directly in the affairs of a company in the public interest so that the interests of consumers, laborers and suppliers of raw materials may be protected from unscrupulous management.

Machinery for the Administration of the Companies Act, 1956

The Central Government is charged with the overall responsibility for administration and enforcement of the Companies Act. It acts through the Department of Company Affairs. Till recently the task of looking after the Department of Company Affairs was entrusted to the Ministry of Law, Justice and Company Affairs. On reshuffling of the Ministry in 1985, the Department of Company Affairs now forms a part of the Ministry of Industry and Company Affairs.

THE COMPANY

Literally the word 'Company' means a group of business, charity, sports, research etc. a company, in common parlance, means a group of persons associated together for the attainment of a common end, social or economic. The dictionary meaning of the word, "Company", includes a number of persons united for performing or carrying on anything jointly. In this sense the word is applicable to ordinary partnerships. However, when the word is used in connection with 'Company Law' it has a somewhat different meaning. The word then refers to an association of persons who have formed themselves into a

corporation or a body corporate. Quite often the word, “company” is used as part of partnership firm name, but that does not make the firm a company in the sense in which the word is used in Company Law.

In the ordinary sense, ‘Company’ means a voluntary association of persons for some common purpose. Such an association of persons may be registered or incorporated or it may be unincorporated. If it is incorporated under the Companies Act, it acquires a legal personality of its own distinct from the individuals comprising the association.

After incorporation or registration, a company becomes a ‘body corporate’. Corporation or body corporate means an association of persons formed and authorised by law to act as a single person. One of the most important conceptions of jurisprudence is the corporation, a legally recognized person. Consisting of a group of persons acting in combination. The type of corporation or company may be a corporation aggregate as distinguished from a corporation sole.

A corporation sole is comprised of one person, who is the holder for the time being of a perpetual office, or such an individual has a dual personality, one corporate and the other human or natural. The rights and liabilities which attach to him in his corporate capacity are entirely distinct from those which attach to him in his capacity of a natural person. A corporation aggregate consists of a number of individuals who become contemporaneously associated in a group, so that in the eyes of law they enjoy the status of a single person, e.g., a limited company, municipality, municipal corporation.

Company–Definition

Section 3(1)(i) of the Companies Act defines a company as, “a company formed and registered under this Act or an existing company”. As per Sec 3(1)(ii) an existing company means a company formed and registered under any of the former Companies Acts.

Section 2(7) of the Indian Companies Act, 1956 defines a body corporate to include a company incorporated outside India, but does not include (a) a corporation sole
(b) a co-operative society registered under any law relating to co-operative societies and
(c) any other body corporate (not being a company as defined in this Act) which the Central Government may, by notification, specify in this behalf.

In the words of Linsly, L.J. a company is defined as, “an association of many persons who contribute money or money’s worth to a common stock and employ it in some common trade or business and who share the profit or loss arising there from. The common stock so contributed is denoted in money and is the capital of the company. The persons who contribute it or to whom it belongs, are members. The proportion of capital to which each member is entitled is his share. Shares are always transferable although the right to transfer them is often more or less restricted”.

According to Prof. Haney “a company is an artificial person created by law, having separate entity, with a perpetual succession and a common seal”.

It is thus quite obvious from the aforesaid definitions that a company comes into existence only when it is registered under the Act, An unregistered company has no such separate legal existence. A company which is created by law, will be dissolved only by law.

Characteristic features of A Company

A careful scrutiny of the aforesaid definitions would reveal the following essential characteristics of a company:

1. Incorporated Association: A company must necessarily be incorporated and registered under the companies Act. Registration creates a joint stock company and it is compulsory for all associations or partnerships, having a membership of more than 10 in banking and more than 20 in any other trading activity, formed for carrying on a business with the object of earnings profits.

2. Corporate Personality: A company is in law different from its members. It has an independent corporate existence. It has a legal personality of its own. It can make contracts, open a bank account, can sue and be sued by others: it can own property in its own name. Unlike a partnership firm, which has no existence apart from its member, a company is a juristic person independent of its members.

The law has recognized that even if a person holds virtually all the shares, the rights and obligations of the company shall be different from its members. The company’s

money and property belong to the company and not to the shareholders. The member's personal property cannot be held liable to pay the creditors of the company. In *Solomon V. Solomon & Co. Ltd.*, it was held that company is a different person altogether from its members. It is this feature of corporate personality that distinguishes it from other forms of business organizations.

3. Perpetual Succession: Section 34(2) of the Act states that an incorporated company has perpetual succession. The life of a company is not related to the life of its members. Law creates the company and the law alone can dissolve it. The existence of a company is not affected by death, insolvency, retirement or transfer of shares of members. Members may come and members may go, the company continues until it is dissolved. Gower, L.C.B. in his book has given an interesting example. He says "During the war all the members of one private company, while in general meeting, were killed by a hydrogen bomb. But the company survived, not even a hydrogen bomb could have destroyed it."

4. Limited Liability: It is the most important advantage of a corporate form of business organization. It means that the liability of a member shall be limited to the nominal value of the shares held by him. Once he has paid the full amount on the shares held by him, he cannot be called upon to bear the loss from his personal property. In the case of a company limited by guarantee, the liability of members is limited up to the amount guaranteed by a member. In case of partnership the liability of members is unlimited. It may, however, be noted that the benefit of limited liability accrues only to members and not to the company as such. A company, in fact, incurs unlimited liability.

5. Transferability of Shares: The shares of a joint stock company are freely transferable except in the case of a private company. A shareholder can transfer his shares to any person without the consent of other members. A company cannot impose absolute restrictions on the rights of members to transfer their shares. However, the articles shall lay down the procedure of transfer of shares and it may also contain bonafide and reasonable restrictions on the rights of members to transfer their shares.

6. Separate Property: Because of its corporate personality, a company can own and transfer property in its own name. Although the shareholders have contributed to the capital of the company, they do not become the part owners of its property. Property of the

company should not be treated as members' property or vice versa. The property of the company should be used for the company's business and not for the personal benefit of any shareholders. In *Gramophone & Typewriter Co. Ltd., V. Stanley*, it was held that the property of the company is not the property of the shareholders; it is the property of the company. Also in *Bacha F. Guzdar V. The commissioner of Income Tax Bombay and imperumal V. John Deau* in the court held that "no member can claim himself to be the owner of the company's property during its existence or on its winding up."

7. Capacity to Sue: A company being a juristic person, it can sue in its own name and be sued by others. In *Abdul Haq V. Das Mal*, an employee was not paid his salary for several months. He filed a suit against the directors of the company for the recovery of the amount of salary due to him. It was held that he will not succeed because the remedy lies against the company and not against the directors or members of the company.

Advantages of incorporation

- 1. Perpetual succession:** A company is a legal person having perpetual succession (Section 34). The death or insolvency of individual members does not in any way affect its existence or continuity.
- 2. Limited liability:** In a limited company the liability of the members is limited. No member is bound to contribute anything more than the nominal value of the shares held by him.
- 3. Transferable shares:** The shares in a company as per Section 82 of the Act, are movable property transferable in the manner provided by the Articles of the company, shares of public company can be listed on stock exchanges which make the selling or purchasing of shares extremely easy. This encourages investment of funds in shares.
- 4. Public participation in growth:** There is no upper limit on the number of shareholders in a company. Thus by making large number of shareholders, the company can grow to the size of a giant as in the case of, say Hindustan Lever Ltd. And can contribute a lot to the country's growth.

5. *Funds can be arranged from public:* Funds can also be arranged from public by issue of debentures or by way of fixed deposits by a public company.
6. *Capacity to sue:* Being a legal person a company can sue and be sued in its name. The directors or shareholders cannot be sued for the dues against a company.
7. *Flexibility and autonomy:* A company has an autonomy and independence to form its own policies. This form of organization dislocates the ownership from the control of business and thus helps promote professional management and efficiency.
8. *Separate property:* A company as a legal entity is capable of owning its funds and other assets. Even a member holding majority shares or a managing director of a company is liable for criminal misappropriation of the funds of the company or its property.
9. *Preference by creditors:* Persons who want to deal with the company can have full information about its set-up, directors, shareholders, working result etc. by inspecting the file of the concerned company in the office of the Registrar of Companies by paying a nominal fee of Rs. 10. Thus a company is preferred over a firm in this regard. Even banks and financial institutions prefer a company while giving credit.

Corporate Veil

A company has a separate legal personality quite distinct from its members. The famous *Solomon* case well established the existence of the 'veil of corporate personality' through which the identity of the members cannot be perceived. However, there are exceptions to the fundamental principle of separate corporate personality where the veil is lifted or pierced and the identity of the members is revealed. Thus, where the law disregards the corporate entity and pays regard instead to the individual members behind the legal façade. It is known as lifting the veil of corporate personality. The decisions on which the law will lift the corporate veil may be broadly studied under the following heads.

- A. Under Judicial interpretation
- B. Under statutory provisions

A. Under Judicial Interpretation

(1) *For determining the character/status of a company:* When it is suspected that the company is owned or controlled by enemies of the country. The courts may lift the corporate veil and examine the character of persons in the real control of the company [*Daimler Co. Ltd., Vs. Continental Tyre & Rubber Co. Ltd.*].

(2) *For the protection of revenue:* when a company is used as a means to evade tax, the courts may disregard the corporate veil. In *Commissioner of Income tax Vs Sri Meenakshi Mills, Madurai*, it has been held that the court is empowered to lift the corporate veil if a company is used as a means to circumvent the obligations.

(3) *To prevent fraud/improper conduct:* The court may also lift the corporate veil of a company where it appears that the company was formed only for some fraudulent purpose to defraud creditors or to avoid legal obligations [*Tata Engg. & Locomotive Co. Ltd., Vs. State of Bihar*].

(4) *Company acting as agent of the shareholders:* Where a company is acting as the agent of the shareholders under an express or implied agreement. The corporate entity of the company will be disregarded and shareholders will be held liable for the acts of the company. [*Smith, Store & Knight Ltd., Vs Birmingham Corporation*].

(5) *Where the doctrine conflicts with public policy:* where the corporate veil conflicts with public policy, the court lifts the veil for protecting the public policy. [*Connors Bros. Vs. Coonors*].

B. Under Statutory Provisions

The Companies Act, 1956 itself has provided for certain cases making the members or directors personally liable.

(1) *Reduction in membership [Sec. 45]:* If a company carries on business for more than 6 months after the number of members has been reduced below 7 in the case of a public company and 2 in the case of private company, every person who was a member during that time and knew of this fact. Shall be held severally liable for the debts of the company contracted after 6 months.

(2) *Misdescription of the company*: If any officer of a company or any other person acts on its behalf and enters into a contract or signs a negotiable instrument without fully writing the name of the company. Then such officer or person shall be personally liable. [Sec.147].

(3) *Failure to refund application money [Sec. 69]*: If the application money of those applicants to whom shares have been allotted is not repaid within 130 days of the date of issue of the prospectus, then the directors shall be jointly and severally liable to repay that money with interest @ 15% p.a. as per the guidelines issued by SEBI.

(4) *Fraudulent trading [Sec. 542]*: Where it appears that in the course of winding up of a company that it had carried on business with the intent to defraud the creditors, the court may declare that persons who were knowledgeable parties to such fraud will be personally liable for the debts of the company.

(5) *Ultra vires acts*: Directors of a company shall be personally liable for all such acts which they have done on behalf of the company. If they are ultra vires the company or ultra vires the directors and the company does not ratify their acts.

CLASSIFICATION OF COMPANIES

Joint stock companies may be of various kinds of the most common type is company limited by shares. On the basis of incorporation, there are three types of companies:

- (i) *Chartered Companies*
- (ii) *Statutory Companies*
- (iii) *Registered Companies*

(1) Chartered Companies

A chartered company is one which is incorporated under a special charter granted by the King or Queen of England. The East India Company and the Bank of England are examples of chartered companies incorporated in England. The powers and nature of business of chartered company are defined by the charter which incorporated it. After independence such companies find no place in India.

(2) Statutory Companies

These companies are incorporated by a special Act of Legislature (i.e., by the Act of

Parliament or State Legislature). Reserve Bank of India, Life Insurance Corporation of India, Union Trust of India, Food Corporation of India, MMTC are examples of such companies. The special enactment contains its constitution, powers and scope of its activities. Such companies do not have any Memorandum or Articles of Association. They derive their powers from the Acts which constitute them, change in its structure or powers is possible only by a legislative amendment. Such companies are generally formed to carry on the works of some special public importance. The main objective of such companies is to serve public interest.

(3) Registered Companies

Companies registered under the Indian Companies Act, 1956 or under any of the previous Companies Acts are called 'registered companies'. A registered company comes into existence when it is registered under the Companies Act and a certificate of incorporation is issued by the Registrar of Companies. Such companies derive their powers from the Companies Act and from the Memorandum of Association. These are the companies commonly found in India. A registered company may either be a private company or a public company.

These companies may be:

- (a) Companies limited by shares;
- (b) Companies limited by guarantee; or
- (c) Unlimited companies.

(a) Companies limited by shares: Where the liability of the members of a company is limited by the Memorandum to the amount, if any, unpaid on the shares, such a company is known as a company limited by shares [Sec. 12(2) (a)]. If the shares are fully paid, then the liability of the member is nil. On the other hand, in case of partly paid-up shares, his liability will extend to the amount unpaid on shares held by him. The liability of the members to pay the unpaid amount can be enforced during the existence of the company founded in India.

(b) Companies limited by guarantee: Where the liability of the members of a company is limited by the Memorandum to a fixed amount which the members undertake to contribute to the assets of the company in case of its winding up, the company is called a company limited by guarantee [Sec. 12(2)(b)].

Such companies are generally non-trading companies, and they are not formed for the purpose of earning profits, rather they are formed for the promotion of art, science, sports, culture etc. Such companies may be registered with or without a share capital. The Articles of such a company must state the number of members with the company is to be registered [Sec. 27(2).]

(c) Unlimited companies: A company not having any limit on the liability of its members is termed as an unlimited company [Sec. 12(2) (c)]. The members are personally liable for the debts of the company. It should, however, be noted that because of separate legal entity of the company. The creditors of an unlimited company cannot sue the members directly. The creditors will have to ask the court for the winding up of the company and then the members have to contribute their property and then the Liquidator shall use the funds in the discharge of the debts of the company. Such companies may or may not have share capital.

The Articles of an unlimited company must state the number of members with which the company is to be registered and if the company has a share capital, the amount of share capital with which the company is to be registered [Sec. 27(1)]. The unlimited companies may also be either 'private' or 'public' companies.

Sec. 32 (1) (a) says that a company registered as unlimited may register under this Act as a limited company. However, a special resolution must be passed to this effect. There-
registrations shall not affect any debts, liabilities, obligations or contracts of the company before or at the time of re-registration [Sec. 32(3)].

Such Companies are very few. Besides the above, the companies may also be classified as:

- (a) Associations not for profit having license under Section 25 of the Act; or licensed companies;
- (b) Government companies;
- (c) Foreign Companies;
- (d) Holding and subsidiary companies.

Some other types of companies which are referred to under the Companies Act are as follows:

1. Licensed Companies

Popularly known as Section 25 companies, these companies are also registered under the Companies Act like any other Company but before they are registered, a license may be obtained from the Central Government. Any association formed for promoting commerce, art, science, religion, charity or any other useful object and which has no intention to distribute dividends to members but instead to apply its income in promoting its objects, can obtain a license from the Government and can get itself registered as a company with limited liability. On registration, it enjoys certain exemptions and privileges as compared to an ordinary limited company, such companies may exclude the words 'limited' or 'private limited' from their names. They are registered without paying any stamp duty on their memorandum and articles. These companies are also exempted from complying with the provisions of Sections 147, 160(1)(aa), 166(2), 171(1), 209(4)(a), 257, 264(1), 285, 287, 299, 301 and 302(2) of the Companies Act either wholly or in part, as per

Government of India Notification No. S.O.1578 dated 8 July 1961. The license may at anytime be revoked by the Central Government, if the fundamental conditions of the license are contravened; such companies may be public or private companies and may or may not have share capital.

It is worth nothing that a partnership firm may be a member in a licensed company in its firm name and it is only on the dissolution of the firm that its membership shall cease [Sec.25(4)].

2. One-man Company or Family Company

Where one man holds Practically the whole of the share capital of a company and takes a few more dummy members (usually family members) simply to meet the statutory requirement of the minimum number of persons (6 more persons in case of a public company), such a company is known as “one-man company”, such a company is perfectly in order in the eyes of law and is regarded to have a separate entity, as distinct from the majority shareholder (Salomon vs. Salomon & Co. Ltd.).

3. Foreign Company

A foreign company means a company incorporated outside India but having a place of business in India [Sec. 591(1)].

Within 30 days of the establishment of the business in India, a foreign company has to furnish to the Registrar, the following documents as per Section 592;

- (1) A certified copy of the Charter, Statute, Memorandum and Articles of the company, containing the constitution of the company. If the instrument is not in English language, a certified translation thereof.
- (2) The full address of the Registered, or Principle Office of the company.
- (3) A list of directors and secretary of the Company giving name in full, usual residential address, nationality of origin, his business and particulars of other directorship held by him.
- (4) The names and address of any person or persons resident in India, authorized to accept service of legal process and notices on behalf of the company.
- (5) The full address of that office of the company in India which is to be deemed as its principal place of business in India.

When any change occurs in the above particulars the Registrar must be notified accordingly within the prescribed time (Sec. 593).

Obligation regarding accounts: The obligations of a foreign company in respect of accounts are almost the same as those of a company registered under the Indian Companies Act. Section 594 provides that every foreign company, unless exempted by the central Government, is required to file with Registrar every year three copies of its Balance Sheet and profit and Loss A/c and other documents, required under the Act. Along with these documents, it must also send to the Registrar three copies of a list in the prescribed form of all the places of its business in India [Sec. 594(3)].

Other obligations [Sec. 595]: Every foreign company shall:

- (i) State the name of the country of its incorporation in every prospectus inviting subscriptions in India for its shares or debentures. It may be noted, however, that a foreign company may issue a prospectus even if it has no place of business in India (Sec. 603).
- (ii) Conspicuously exhibit on the outside of every office or place of business, its name and the country of incorporation in English and in the regional language;
- (iii) Give the name of company and the country of incorporation in English language in all business letters, bill heads and letter paper and in all notices and other official publications of the company; and
- (iv) State in every prospectus and in all official publications and exhibit outside every office or place of business, whether the liability of the members is limited.

Office where Documents to be delivered [Sec. 597]; Any document which any foreign company is required to deliver to the Registrar of Companies shall be delivered to the Registrar having jurisdiction over New Delhi and also to the Registrar of the State in which the principle place of business of the company is situated. If any foreign company ceases to have a place of business in India, it must forthwith give notice of the fact to the Registrars referred to above, and as from the date on which notice is so given, the obligation of the company to deliver any document to the Registrars shall cease.

Penalties: If any foreign company fails to comply with any of the foregoing provisions, the company and every officer or agent of the company, who is in default, shall be punishable with fine extending up to Rs. 1,000 and in the case of continuing offence with

an additional fine which may extend to Rs. 100 for every day during which the default continues (Sec. 598). Further, any such defiant foreign company shall not be entitled to enforce any contract by way of a suit or set-off for counterclaim though it will be liable to be sued in respect of any contract it may have entered into (Sec. 599).

Application of other provisions of the Companies Act: The provisions of Section 124 to 145 relating to the registration of charges will apply to foreign companies in respect of charges on property created in India, The provisions of Section 118 relating to the rights of members and debenture – holders to have a copy of the ‘trust deed’ for securing any issue of debentures of the company will also apply to foreign companies to the extent of requiring them to keep at their principle place of business in India the books of account with respect to moneys received and expended, sales and purchases made, and assets and liabilities in relation to their business in India. (Sec. 600).

The Companies (Amendment) Act, 1974 has made several other Sections of Act applicable to foreign companies. Accordingly:

- (i) The provisions of Section 159 relating to the filing of Annual Returns with the Registrar shall, subject to such modifications or adaptations as may be made therein by the rules made under this Act, apply to a foreign company [Sec. 600(3)(b)(i)].
- (ii) The provisions of Section 209A (inspection of books of account, etc.), Section 233A (power of Central Government to direct special audit in certain cases), Section 234 to 246 (power of Registrar to call for information or explanation and investigation of affairs of company by Central Government) shall, so far as may be, apply only to a company incorporated in India [Sec. 600(3)(b)(ii) and (iii)] in respect of foreign companies, in which fifty per cent or more of the paid-up share capital (whether equity or preference or partly equity and partly preference) is held by Indian citizens and/or companies incorporated in India, such other provisions of the Act as may be notified by the Central Government with regard to business carried on by them in India, will become applicable to such foreign companies as they apply to a company incorporated in India. [Sec. 591 (2)].

It may be inferred from the above mentioned provisions that the Companies (Amendment) Act, 1974 intend to bring foreign companies into the ambit of the provisions applicable to Indian companies.

4. Government Company

A Government company is defined in Section 617 as “any company in which not less than 51 percent of paid-up share capital is held by the Central Government or partly by the Central Government and partly by one or more State governments and includes a company which is subsidiary of a Government company as thus defined”.

The special provisions of the Companies Act relating to Government companies are as follows:

(1) *Audit:* (a) The auditor of a Government company shall be appointed or reappointed by the Central Government on the advice of the Comptroller and Auditor General of India, provided that the auditor so appointed or reappointed does not hold appointment as the auditor in more than twenty companies, of which not more than ten could be companies with paid-up share capital of Rs. 25 lakhs or more. In the case of an audit firm so appointed the ceiling of twenty companies shall be per partner of the firm who is not in full-time employment elsewhere. The Auditor General will have the power to direct the company's auditor relating to the manner of audit and the performance of his duties. He shall also have the power to conduct a supplementary test audit of the company's accounts by persons appointed by him; and (b) The auditor is required to submit a copy of his audit report to the Comptroller and Auditor General, who shall have the right to comment upon the report. Any such comments shall be placed before the annual general meeting of the company along with the audit report (Sec. 619). Thus, it may be seen that the general provisions contained in Sections 224 to 233 of the Act relating to audit and appointment of auditors do not apply to a Government company.

(2) *Annual report:* (a) Where the Central Government is a member of a Government company; the Central Government shall prepare an annual report on the working and affairs of the company within three months of its annual general meeting before which the audit report is placed. The annual report is to be laid before both Houses of Parliament together with a copy of the audit report and any comments thereupon, made by the Comptroller and Auditor General of India.

(b) Where in addition to the Central Government, any State Government is also a member of Government company, that State Government shall place a copy of the annual report (prepared by Central Government) together with a copy of the audit report and the comments (referred to earlier) before the House or both Houses of the State Legislature.

(c) Where the Central Government is not a member of Government company, every State Government which is a member shall cause an annual report on the working and affairs of the company to be prepared within the same time (as referred to above), and then soon after lay it before the House or both Houses of the State Legislature with a copy of the audit report and comments thereupon.

(3) Application of the Companies Act: A Government company is to be registered under the Companies Act. It may be incorporated as a 'public' or 'private' company. The Central Government may, however, by notification in the Official Gazette, direct that any of the provisions of this Act shall not apply to any Government company or shall apply only with such exceptions, modifications shall be effective to the extent to which it is approved by Parliament (Sec. 620). Subject to such notification, such companies are governed by the Companies Act like any other limited company without any discrimination. The Central Government has issued notifications (published in the Gazette of India, dated 11 February and 4 March 1978) granting certain exemptions from complying with the provisions of Sections 198, 259, 268, 269, 309, 310, 311, 387 and 388 relating to the appointment of them. Similarly, Section 255, 256 and 257 pertaining to appointment and retirement of directors, and Section 370 relating to making of loans, etc., to companies under the same management shall not apply to such Government companies which are wholly owned by the Central or/and State Government(s).

In a bid to streamline the functioning of Government companies and to cut down delays, the Central Government has again issued five notifications (published in the Gazette of India, dated 16-7-85) granting exemption to Government companies from the application of the following Sections of the Companies Act:

(i) Section 165, 187D, 294, 294AA(2) and (3).

(ii) Section 108 in respect of shares held by nominees of government,

it has further been notified that Sections 43A, 149 (2A), 205A, 205B, 263, 264, 265, 266, 307, 308, 316, 317 and 386 of the Companies Act shall not apply to Government companies wholly owned by Central or/and State Government(s).

A Government company, no doubt, has certain special features but it should not be placed on the same footing as a State or Government, it basically remains a company in the ordinary sense, having a legal entity of its own, separate from that of its shareholders whoever they may be. It makes no difference whether the entirety of the capital is subscribed by the Government or the Government holds only 51 per cent of the share capital. In no case a Government company is identified with the State and its employees do not become Government servants, holders of civil posts under the Union or State Governments.

5. Investment Companies

An investment company is a company, the main business of which consists in acquiring, holding and dealing in shares and securities. However, legal opinions as well as statutory definitions differ as to the exact meaning and scope of the term, "Investment company, While one view is that an investment company acquires and holds shares and securities only for earning an income therefrom by holding them, the other view is that it is one which acquires and holds shares and securities both for earning an income for dealing in them for making a profit.

With regard to statutory definition, the provision to Sec. 372(10) of the Companies Act 1956 defines an investment company as, "a company whose principal business is the acquisition of shares, stocks, debentures or other securities. Section 2(10A) of the Insurance Act 1938 also defines an investment company similarly. However, Section 109(ii) of the Income tax Act 1961, has defined an investment company as one whose business consists wholly or mainly in dealing in or holding of securities. As a general rule it can be said that an investment company should acquire shares and securities etc. and hold them for a considerable period of time with the intention of making profit therefrom.

6. Finance Companies

The Companies Act, 1956 does not define a 'Finance Company' although it does not preclude the formation and registration of a company under the Act with the object of carrying on the business of financing. However, the Companies (Acceptance of Deposits) Rules 1975 define a financial company. Accordingly a 'financial company' is defined as a

non-banking company which is a financial institution under the provisions of the RBI Act. In other words, financial institution means any non-banking institution which carries on as its business or part of its business any of the following:

- (i) Financing business whether by way of making loans or advances or otherwise.
- (ii) The purchase of shares, stock, bonds, debentures or securities issued by a Government or local authority.
- (iii) The letting of goods on hire under a hire-purchase agreement.
- (iv) The carrying on of any type of insurance business.
- (v) Managing or conducting or supervising or in any other capacity, of chits or kuries.
- (vi) Collecting monies in lump sum or otherwise, by ways of subscription or by sale of units or other instruments or in any other manner and awarding prizes, gifts, whether in cash or in kind or disbursing money in any other way to persons from whom monies are collected.

Public Companies and Private Companies

Companies limited by shares or guarantee may be divided into two categories, depending upon the interest of the general public in the companies; (i) Public Companies and (ii) Private Companies.

Private Company

According to Sec. 3(1)(iii) of the Companies Act, a private company is one which by its Articles of Association

- (a) restricts the right to transfer its shares.
- (b) limits the maximum number of its members to fifty (excluding the present and past employees of the company), and
- (c) Prohibits any invitation to the public to subscribe for any shares or debentures of the company. It is further provided that where two or more persons hold one or more shares in a company jointly; they shall for the purpose of this definition be treated as a single member. A private company must include the words 'Private Limited' or abbreviations like 'Pvt. Ltd.', as the last words of its name. Private companies may again be (i) independent private companies (ii) private companies which are subsidiaries of Public companies.

Public Company

As per Sec. 3(1) (iv) a Public company means a company which is not a private company. Thus the maximum number of members in the public company is unlimited, the shares of such companies are freely transferable and they can issue invitation to the

general public to subscribe to their share capital. As the public is substantially interested in the affairs of such companies they are also subject to a somewhat strict legal control.

Difference between Private and Public Companies:

1. The minimum number of members to form a public company is seven. It is two in case of a private company.
2. The maximum number of members cannot exceed fifty in case of a private company, but there is no restriction on maximum number of members for a public company.
3. There should be at least three directors for a public company. A private company must have two directors.
4. For taking up directorship of a public company a director has to file a consent to act as such to the Registrar. Whereas, the directors of a private company need not do so.
5. Subscribe for the shares and debentures: A private company cannot make invitation to the public.
6. Members of a public company can transfer their shares freely, whereas the members of a private company cannot transfer their shares.
7. The quorum for meeting of the members is five in case of public company and two in case of a private company.
8. Total managerial remuneration in a public company cannot exceed 11% of the net profits. No such restriction applies to a private company.

Special Privileges of a Private Company:

A Private Company enjoys some special privileges which may be discussed under two heads:

1. Exemptions available to all Private Companies

1. A Private Company may have only two members.
2. It can allot shares before the minimum subscription is paid.
3. A Private company may allot shares without issuing a prospectus or delivering a copy of statement in lieu of prospectus.
4. When a public company issues new shares, it has first to offer these shares to the existing equity shareholders pro rata, unless the members in general meeting decide otherwise. There is no such provision in case of private companies.

5. A private company may issue share capital of such kinds, in such forms, and with such voting rights, as it may think fit.
6. It can commence business immediately on incorporation.
7. A private company need not keep an index of members.
8. It need not hold statutory meeting or file statutory report with the Registrar.
9. If the members personally present do not exceed seven, even one member can demand poll, and if the members personally present exceed seven two members can demand poll.
10. Managerial remuneration paid by a private company can exceed 11% of net profits of the company.
11. Two directors are enough for a private company
12. The directors of a private company enjoy more rights when compared to the directors of a public company.
 - a. Consent of a director to act as such need not be filed with the Registrar.
 - b. A director is not required to hold qualification shares.
 - c. An interested director can vote on a contract.

2. Exemptions and privileges available to an independent private company (i.e. one which is not a subsidiary of a public company)

1. An independent private company may give financial assistance for purchase of or subscription for shares in the company itself (Sec.77 (2)).
2. It can offer new shares to any person as it may think fit.
3. The provisions as to kinds of share capital (Sec.85), new issues of share capital (Sec.86), voting rights (Sec.87), issues of shares with disproportionately excessive
4. Rights (Sec.89) do not apply to an independent private company. An appeal cannot be made before the Company Law Board against refusal by the
5. Company to register a transfer of its shares (Sec.111 (3)). Provisions relating to general meeting are not applicable to an independent private company.
6. An independent private company is not governed by the restrictions imposed by Sec. 204 as regards appointment of a firm or body corporate to an office or place or profit.
7. The members of such companies are entitled to inspect the profit and loss account of the company filed with the Registrar.

8. The restriction as to the number of Companies of which a person may be appointed managing director and prohibition of such appointment for more than five years at a time do not apply to it.
9. It can make any amount of loan to other companies.
10. Under Sec. 372, it can subscribe for shares or debentures of other companies in the same group.
11. The Company Law Board cannot prevent the change in the Board of directors even if it is prejudicial to the interest of the Company (Sec. 409).
12. The provisions not applicable in the relation to directors are:
 - a) It need not have more than two directors.
 - b) The directors need not retire by rotation.
 - c) Without Central Government approval, such companies can raise their number of directors beyond the limit fixed by Articles.
 - d) The provision requiring the giving of fourteen days notice by new candidates seeking election as directors is not applicable.
 - e) The provisions relating to the manner of filling up casual vacancies among directors, and the requirements that the appointment of directors should be voted on individually and that the consent of each director should be filed with Registrar, do not apply to it.
 - f) The directors need not hold the qualification shares.
 - h) It may provide special disqualification and ground for vacation of office of a director.
 - i) An interested director may participate in Board's proceedings and vote.

When does a private company become a public company?

1. Conversion by default (Sec. 43): Where a private company permits free transfer of its shares, or invites the public for subscription to its shares or the number of members exceed fifty, then that private company will be deemed to be a public company. The Company Law Board may relieve the company, if it is of opinion that the non-compliance was accidental or due to inadvertence or other sufficient cause.

2. Conversion by operation of law: A private becomes a public company:

- a. Where not less than 25 per cent of its paid-up share capital is held by one or more bodies corporate.

b. Where its annual turnover at any time is not less than Rs.10 crores for three consecutive financial years.

c. Where it holds not less than 25 percent of the paid-up share capital of a public company, having a share capital.

d. Where it invites, accepts or renews deposits from the public, Acceptance of deposits by a private company from its members, directors, or their relatives is excluded from the purview of this provision.

Privilege to Companies deemed to be public: The Articles of Association of a private company, which has become public by virtue of Sec. 43-A, may continue to have the essential requirements (viz., restriction on transfer of shares, limitation of the number of members to fifty and prohibition to the public to buy shares or debentures) which make it a private company.

A private company which becomes a public company by virtue of Sec. 43-A continues to be a public company until it has with the approval of the Central Government again become a private company.

3. Conversion by Choice (Sec.44): A private company may deliberately choose to become a public company. The requirement of Section 3(1)(iii) may be deleted by passing a special resolution within 30 days of its becoming a public company, it shall file with the Registrar a prospectus of a statement in lieu of prospectus along with a copy of the special resolution.

Conversion of public company into a private company

A public company may be converted into a private company without resorting to winding up of the company. There is no statutory bar on the conversion of a public company into a private company. According to Sec. 31 of the Companies Act, no alteration made in the Articles which has the effect of converting a public company into a private company into a private company shall have effect unless such alteration has been approved by the Central Government. The company must amend its articles by a special resolution so as to include therein the necessary restrictions and file with the Registrar within thirty days.

Illegal Associations

The term “illegal association” means an association which is not formed according to the provisions of any law and wherein the maximum number of members exceeds the statutory limit. According to Sec 11, no company, association or partnership consisting of more than 20 persons (10 in the case of banking business) can be formed to carry on any business for profit unless it is registered under the Companies Act, 1956. However, Sec.11 does not apply to foreign Companies, members of chit fund, charitable associations etc.,. It should be noted that once an association becomes illegal, it remains illegal until it is registered under the Companies Act, or formed under some other law. This Section does not apply to a HUF even though the number of adult members may be more than twenty. But if two or more joint families carry on business with more than 20 adults, it will be illegal.

Illegal Association—Consequences:

- (i) It cannot enter into any contract.
- (ii) The liability of the members becomes unlimited.
- (iii) The law does not recognize such existence.
- (iv) Every member shall be liable to fine upto Rs.1000.
- (v) It cannot sue any of the members / outsiders.
- (vi) It cannot be wound up under the provisions of the Companies Act,

1956 Holding company and Subsidiary company

On the basis of control, companies may be classified into:

- (i) Holding companies, and
- (ii) Subsidiary companies

Where one company controls the management of another company the former is called the ‘Holding Company’ and the latter over which the control is exercised is termed as a ‘Subsidiary Company’. The Act defines these terms as follows:

Holding company: “A company shall be deemed to be the holding company of another, if that other is its subsidiary” [Sec. 4(4)].

Subsidiary company: A company shall be deemed to be a subsidiary of another [Sec.4(1)].

- (a) If that other company controls the majority composition of its Board of Directors with the sole object of controlling its management; or
- (b) If that other company holds more than half in nominal value of its equity share capital; or

(c) In the case of private company in respect whereof the preference shareholders and equity similar voting rights, if that other company is itself an independent private company and holds more than half of its total voting power; or

(d) Where a company is subsidiary of another company, which is itself subsidiary of the controlling company, the former becomes the subsidiary of the controlling company.

Thus a subsidiary company is one whose composition of Board of Directors is controlled by another company or whose more than half of the nominal value of the equity capital is held by another company.

Advantages and Disadvantages of Incorporation of Company

Introduction – Incorporation of the Company

- Company, in general sense, can be defined as an association of persons coming together to carry out some business and earning profit or some income out of it.
- According to Section 2(20) of the Companies Act 2013, Company is defined as “a company which is formed & registered under the Companies Act 2013 or any previous company law.”
- Incorporation of the Company alludes to the lawful procedure that is utilized to frame a corporate entity or a company. It turns into a corporate lawful entity totally separate from its owners.

Advantages of Incorporation of Company

1. Creates a Separate Legal Entity
 2. Company has Perpetual Succession
 3. Can Own Separate Property
 4. Capacity to Sue and be sued
 5. Capacity to raise finance
- *Creates a Separate Legal Entity:* It means a company is independent and separate from its members, and the members cannot be held liable for the acts of the company, even when a specific member owns majority of shares.

Case Law – *Salomon vs. Salomon & Co. Ltd.* [(1897) AC 22][1]

Salomon moved his business of boot making, at first run as a sole proprietorship, to a company (Salomon Ltd.), fused with members including himself and his family. The price for such transfer was paid to Salomon by way of shares, and debentures having a gliding charge (security against debt) on the assets of the company. Afterwards, when the company’s business fizzled and it went into liquidation, Salomon’s right of recuperation (secured through floating charge) against the debentures remain before the claims of unsecured creditors, who would, thus, have recovered nothing from the liquidation proceeds.

The claims of certain unsecured creditors in the liquidation process of Salomon Ltd., where Salomon was the majority shareholder, was looked to be made personally liable for the company’s debt. Subsequently, the issue was whether, regardless of the separate legal identity of a company, a shareholder/controller could be held liable for its debt, over and above the capital contribution, to open such member to unlimited personal liability. The House of Lords held that, as the company was duly incorporated, it is an independent person with its rights and liabilities appropriate to itself, thus, making Salomon & Co. Ltd liable, and not Salomon.

- *Company has Perpetual Succession:* Perpetual succession means continuous existence, which means that a company never dies, even if the members cease to exist. The membership of a company changes from time to time, but that has no effect on the existence of the company. The company only comes to an end, when it is ended up as indicated by law, according to the provisions of the Companies Act, 2013.

Case Law – *Re: Noel Tedman Holdings Pvt. Ltd.* [(1967) Qd R 56][2]

- *Can Own Separate Property:* Since a company is termed as a separate legal entity in the eyes of law, it can hold property in its own name and the members cannot claim to be the owner of the company's property.

Case Law – *Bacha F. Guzdar vs. CIT Bombay* [AIR 740 (1955)][3]

The Supreme Court held that a company being a legal person, in which all its property is vested and by which it is controlled, managed and disposed of a member cannot, ensure the companies property on its own name.

Case Law – *Macaura vs. Northern Assurance Co. Ltd.* [(1925) AC 619][4]

A shareholder of a timber company, held all shares of the company however one. He likewise insured the timber (asset of the company) on his own name, which was pulverized in fire. At the point when he looked for compensation, it was held that they were not subject to pay any money to the shareholder, in lieu of the timber since he did not own the timber and that timber, which the company possessed was not insured.

- *Capacity to Sue and be sued:* The company has the capacity of suing a person or being sued by another person in its own name. A company, however, can be sued or sue in its own name, it must be spoken by a natural person and any grumbling which is not represented by a natural person is liable to be dismissed similarly in which an individual complaint is liable to be dismissed in the absence of the complainant.

Case Law – *Aspro Travel Ltd. vs. Owners Abroad plc.* [(1996) 1 WLR 132][5]

It was held that just as a person has a right to his reputation, a company has also right to protect its name from being tarnished and can sue the third party for a defamatory statement made by him/her against the company.

- *Capacity to raise finance:* A company is in much better position to raise the finance for capital than any other form of business entity, since a company can issue shares or debentures to the public. It is facile for the company to get loans from banks and financial institutions. This enables the company the capacity to raise larger finances. Additionally, the company can create a floating charge on its assets as security for the money borrowed by it.

Disadvantages of Incorporation of Company

- Cost
 - Double Taxation
 - Loss of Personal Ownership
 - Required Structure
 - Ongoing Paperwork
 - Difficulty Dissolving
 - Lifting of Corporate Veil
1. *Cost* – The starting cost of incorporation comprises the fee needed to document our articles of incorporation, potential attorney or accountant fees, or the cost of using an incorporation

administration to help us with completion and documenting the paperwork. There are additionally ongoing fees for keeping a corporation.

2. *Double Taxation* – Some types of corporations such as a C Corporation, have the potential to turn into “double taxation.” Double taxation happens when a company is taxed once on profits, and again on the dividends paid to shareholders.
3. *Loss of Personal Ownership* – If a corporation is a stock corporation, an individual doesn’t sustain full control of the entity. The corporation is controlled by a board of directors who are nominated by the shareholders.
4. *Required Structure* – When we structure a corporation, we are needed to follow all of the rules stated by the state in which we filed. This incorporates the management of the corporation, operational requirements and the corporation’s bookkeeping rehearses.
5. *Ongoing Paperwork* – Most corporations are needed to document annual reports on the financial status of the company. The ongoing administrative work additionally incorporates tax returns, bookkeeping records, meeting minutes and any necessary licenses and allows for conducting business.
6. *Difficulty Dissolving* – While perpetual existence is an advantage of incorporating, it can also be a disadvantage because it can need significant time and money to fulfill the necessary procedures for dissolution.
7. *Lifting of Corporate Veil* – From the juristic viewpoint, a company is a lawful person separated from its members. This principle may be alluded to as the ‘Veil of incorporation’. The courts, in general, see themselves limited by this principle. The impact of this Principle is that there is an anecdotal veil between the company and its members. That is, the company has a corporate character that is distinct from its members. However, in various circumstances, the Court will penetrate the corporate veil or will disregard the corporate veil to reach the person behind the veil or to uncover the genuine structure and character of the concerned company. The reasoning behind this is mostly like that the law won’t permit the corporate structure to be misused or abused. In those conditions wherein the Court feels that the corporate structure is being misused, it will tear through the corporate veil and uncover its genuine character and nature.

The **National Company Law Tribunal** is a quasi-judicial body in India that adjudicates issues relating to Indian companies.^[1] The tribunal was established under the Companies Act 2013 and was constituted on 1 June 2016 by the government of India and is based on the recommendation of the V. BalakrishnaEradi committee on law relating to the insolvency and the winding up of companies.^[2]

All proceedings under the Companies Act, including proceedings relating to arbitration, compromise, arrangements, reconstructions and the winding up of companies shall be disposed off by the National Company Law Tribunal. The NCLT bench is chaired by a Judicial member who is supposed to be a retired or a serving High Court Judge and a Technical member who must be from the Indian Corporate Law Service, ICLS Cadre.

The National Company Law Tribunal is the adjudicating authority for the insolvency resolution process of companies and limited liability partnerships under the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016.

No criminal court shall have jurisdiction to entertain any suit or proceeding in respect of any matter which the Tribunal or the Appellate Tribunal is empowered to determine by or under this Act or any other law for the time being in force and no injunction shall be granted by any court or other authority in respect of any action taken or to be taken in pursuance of any power conferred by or under this Act or any other law for the time being in force, by the Tribunal or the Appellate Tribunal.

The tribunal has sixteen benches, six at New Delhi (one being the principal bench) and two at Ahmedabad, one at Allahabad, one at Bengaluru, one at Chandigarh, two at Chennai, one at Cuttack, one at Guwahati, three at Hyderabad of which one is at Amaravathi,^[3] one at Jaipur, one at Kochi, two

at Kolkata and five at Mumbai.^[4] Of the two new benches approved to be set up, one each in Indore^[5] and Amaravathi,^[5] the Indore bench is yet to be notified. Except the Bench at Amaravathi, all the benches have been notified as division benches. Justice M.M. Kumar, a retired Chief Justice of the Jammu & Kashmir High Court has been appointed president of the tribunal.^[11]

The National Company Law Tribunal has the power under the Companies Act to adjudicate proceedings:

1. Initiated before the Company Law Board under the previous act (the Companies Act 1956);
2. Pending before the Board for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction, including those pending under the Sick Industrial Companies (Special Provisions) Act, 1985;
3. Pending before the Appellate Authority for Industrial and Financial Reconstruction; and
4. Pertaining to claims of oppression and mismanagement of a company, winding up of companies and all other powers prescribed under the Companies Act.

Appeals^[edit]

Decisions of the tribunal may be appealed to the National Company Law Appellate Tribunal, the decisions of which may further be appealed to the Supreme Court of India on a point of law. The Supreme Court of India has upheld the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code in its entirety.^[6]

Meaning of NCLT & NCLAT

The NCLT or “Tribunal” is a quasi-judicial authority created under the *Companies Act, 2013* to handle corporate civil disputes arising under the Act. It is an entity that has powers and procedures like those vested in a court of law or judge. NCLT is obliged to objectively determine facts, decide cases in accordance with the principles of natural justice and draw conclusions from them in the form of orders. Such orders can remedy a situation, correct a wrong or impose legal penalties/costs and may affect the legal rights, duties or privileges of the specific parties. The Tribunal is not bound by the strict judicial rules of evidence and procedure. It can decide cases by following the principles of natural justice.

NCLAT or “Appellate Tribunal” is an authority provided for dealing with appeals arising out of the decisions of the Tribunal. It is formed for correcting the errors made by the Tribunal. It is an intermediate appellate forum where the appeals lie after order of the Tribunal. The decisions of Appellate Tribunal can further be challenged in the Supreme Court. Any party dissatisfied by any order of the Tribunal may bring an appeal to contest that decision. The Appellate Tribunal reviews the decisions of the Tribunal and has power to set aside, modify or confirm it.

Difference between NCLT and NCLAT

The NCLT has primary jurisdiction whereas NCLAT has appellate jurisdiction. NCLAT is a higher forum than NCLT. Evidence and witnesses are generally presented before NCLT for taking the decisions and NCLAT generally reviews decisions of NCLT and checks it on a point of law or fact. Fact finding and evidence collection is primarily a task of Tribunal whereas the Appellate Tribunal decide cases based on already collected evidences and witnesses.

Background of NCLT

NCLT was conceptualized by Eradi Committee. It was initially introduced in Companies Act, 1956 in 2002 but the provisions of Companies (Second Amendment) Act, 2002 were never notified as they got mired in litigation surrounding constitutionality of NCLT. 2013 Act was enacted and the concept of NCLT was retained. However, the powers and functions of NCLT under 1956 Act and 2013 Act are different. The constitutionality of NCLT related provisions were again challenged and this case was

finally decided in May 2015. The Apex Court upheld the constitutionality of the concept of NCLT but some of the provisions on constitution and selection process were found defective and unconstitutional.

Notification of NCLT:

Provisions for constitution of NCLT and NCLAT were notified on 1st June 2016. In the first phase powers of CLB are transferred to NCLT. In the next stage the government will move for second set of notifications by which powers of High Courts and BIFR will also be vested with NCLT. Along with transfer of powers to NCLT, new powers and functions are also vested in NCLT.

Transition from CLB to NCLT

The Act has set out in detail the procedure to deal with cases which are pending in various forums in Section 434. The Government has notified 1st June 2016 for transfer of matters from CLB to NCLT. On that date, all the pending proceedings before CLB will be transferred to NCLT and Tribunal will dispose of such matters in accordance with the provisions of law. Tribunal has discretion to take up the pending CLB proceeding from any stage. At its discretion, it can take up the matter at stage where it was left by CLB or start the proceedings afresh or from any stage it deems fit.

Powers vested in NCLT

Some of the important powers that are presently vested with NCLT are as follows:

1. Class Action:

Protection of the interest of various stakeholders, especially non-promoter shareholders and depositors, has always been the concern of company law. There were several frauds and improprieties that were noticed where the key losers were the shareholders and depositors. The shareholders who invested in listed companies saw their investments and savings drying up when the companies that they invested in cheated the investors.

The *Companies Act, 2013* has provided a very good combination of remedies where the offender will be punished and the people who are involved (whether it is the company or directors or auditor or experts or consultants) will be liable even for a civil action (namely class action), wherein they have to compensate the shareholders and depositors for the losses caused to them on account of the fraudulent practices or improprieties.

A class action is a procedural device that permits one or more plaintiffs to file and prosecute a lawsuit on behalf of a larger group, or “class”. It is in the nature of a representative suit where the interest of a class is represented by a few of them. A huge number of geographically dispersed shareholders/depositors are affected by the wrongdoings. It is a useful tool where a few may sue for the benefit of the whole or where the parties form a part of a voluntary association for public or private purposes, and may be fairly supposed to represent the rights and interests of the whole.

Section 245 has been introduced in the new company law to provide relief to the investors against a large set of wrongful actions committed by the company management or other consultants and advisors who are associated with the company.

Class action can be filed against any type of companies, whether in the public sector or in the private. It can be filed against any company which is incorporated under the *Companies Act, 2013* or any previous *Companies Act*. The Act provides only one exemption i.e. banking companies.

2. Deregistration of Companies:

The procedural errors at the time of registration can now be questioned at any time. The Tribunal is empowered to take several steps, including cancellation of registration and dissolving the company. The Tribunal can even declare the liability of members unlimited. Sec 7(7) provides this new way for de-registration of companies in certain circumstances when there is registration of companies is obtained in an illegal or wrongful manner. Deregistration is a remedy that is distinct from winding up and striking off.

3. Oppression and Mismanagement:

The remedy of oppression and mismanagement is retained in 2013 Act. The nature of this remedy has however changed to certain extent and it needs to be seen in light of the changes made to the Companies Act, 2013. The 2013 Act has reset the bar for oppression to a little lower level but has set the bar of mismanagement a little higher by applying the test “winding up on just and equitable grounds” even to mismanagement matters. The Act permits dilution of the eligibility criteria with the permission of Tribunal, where a member below the eligibility criteria can apply in deserving cases.

4. Refusal to Transfer shares:

The power to hear grievance of refusal of companies to transfer securities and rectification of register of members under Section 58 and 59 of the new Act were already notified and were being taken up by CLB. Now. The same are transferred to NCLT. The remedy for refusal to transfer or transmission were restricted only to shares and debentures under 1956 Act. The provisions for refusal to transfer and transmit under *Companies Act, 2013* Act extends to all securities. These sections gives express recognition to contracts or arrangements for transfer of securities entered into between two or more persons with respect to shares of a public company and thus clears any doubts about the enforceability of these contracts.

5. Deposits:

Chapter V dealing with deposits was notified in phases in 2014 and powers to deal with the cases under it were assigned in CLB. Now the said powers will be vested in NCLT. The law on deposits is quite distinct under the *Companies Act, 2013* as compared to the *Companies Act, 1956*. The provision for deposits under 2013 Act were already notified. Aggrieved depositors also have the remedy of class actions for seeking redressal for the acts/omissions of the company which hurt their rights as depositors.

6. Reopening of Accounts & Revision of Financial Statements:

Several instances of falsification of books of accounts were noticed under the *Companies Act, 1956*. To counter this menace, several measures have been provided in the *Companies Act, 2013*. One such measure is the insertion of Section 130 and 131 read with sec 447, 448 in the new Act. Section 130 read with sec 131 are newly inserted provisions that prohibit the company from *suomotu* opening its accounts or revising its financial statements. This can be done only in the manner provided in the Act. Section 130 and 131 provides the instances where financial statements can be revised/reopened. Section 130 is mandatory, where the Tribunal or Court may direct the company to reopen its accounts when certain circumstances are shown. Section 131 allows company to revise its financial statement but do not permit reopening of accounts. The company can itself approach the Tribunal under sec 131, through its director for revision of its financial statement.

7. Tribunal Ordered Investigations:

Chapter XIV provides several powers to the Tribunal in connection with investigations. The most important powers that are conferred to the Tribunal are:

a) power to order investigation: Under the *Companies Act, 2013*, only 100 members (as against 200 members required under the *Companies Act, 1956*) are required to apply for an investigation into the affairs of a company. Further, the power to apply for an investigation is given to any person who is able to convince the Tribunal that circumstances exist for initiating investigation proceedings. An investigation can be conducted even abroad. Provisions are made to take as well as provide assistance to investigation agencies and courts of other countries with respect to investigation proceedings.

b) power to investigate into the ownership of the company

c) power to impose restriction on securities: The restriction earlier could be imposed only on shares. Now, the Tribunal can impose restrictions on any security of the company.

d) power to freeze assets of the company: The Tribunal is given the power to freeze assets of the company which can not only be used when the company is under investigation, but can also be initiated at the insistence of a wide variety of persons in certain situations.

8. Conversion of public company into private company

Sections 13, 14, 15 and 18 of the *Companies Act, 2013* read with rules regulate the conversion of public limited company into private limited company. It requires approval from the NCLT. Approval of the Tribunal is required for such conversion. The Tribunal may at its discretion impose certain conditions subject to which approvals may be granted (sec 459).

9. Tribunal Convened AGM:

General meetings are required to assess the opinion of shareholders from time to time. The Act mandatorily requires one meeting to be called, which is termed as the “annual general meeting” or ‘AGM’. Any other general meeting is termed as “extra ordinary general meeting” or ‘EOGM’. If the AGM or EOGM cannot be held, called or convened in the manner provided under the Act or the Rules by the Board or the Member due to certain extraordinary circumstances, then the Tribunal is empowered under Section 97 and 98 of 2013 Act to convene general meetings under the *Companies Act, 2013*. The provisions for convening an annual general meeting and extra ordinary general meeting in the *Companies Act, 2013* are almost similar to the provision provided in the *Companies Act, 1956*. However, the draft rules have inserted an additional provisions that require intimation of such cases to be given to ROC.

10. Compounding of Offence:

Provisions of compounding under the 2013 Act were notified before the constitution of NCLT and were assigned to CLB. This power will now be vested with NCLT, and all compounding matters which are above the prescribed monetary limit will be approved by NCLT.

11. Change in Financial Year:

Section 2 (41) also has been already notified on 1 April 2014. The Act requires that every company or body corporate, new or existing, must have a uniform financial year ending on 31 March. It provides an exception where certain companies can apply to the Tribunal to have a different financial year. A company or a body corporate can make an application to the Tribunal. As the Tribunal was not notified at the time when this section was notified, the power to alter the financial year on application was granted to the CLB. The regulation provides the manner for making the application to CLB. The same has notified on the site of CLB vide order dated 28 January 2015. All the application that are not disposed of at the time when NCLT provisions are notified, will also be transferred to the Tribunal.

National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT) was constituted under Section 410 of the Companies Act, 2013 for hearing appeals against the orders of National Company Law Tribunal(s) (NCLT), with effect from 1st June, 2016.

NCLAT is also the Appellate Tribunal for hearing appeals against the orders passed by NCLT(s) under Section 61 of the Insolvency and Bankruptcy Code, 2016 (IBC), with effect from 1st December, 2016. NCLAT is also the Appellate Tribunal for hearing appeals against the orders passed by Insolvency and Bankruptcy Board of India under Section 202 and Section 211 of IBC.

NCLAT is also the Appellate Tribunal to hear and dispose of appeals against any direction issued or decision made or order passed by the Competition Commission of India (CCI) – as per the amendment brought to Section 410 of the Companies Act, 2013 by Section 172 of the Finance Act, 2017, with effect from 26th May, 2017.

Formation of company

INCORPORATION OF COMPANY

A Company is said to have been formed when it has been registered under the Companies Act. However, there are several stages in the formation of a company. The various stages in the formation of a company are:

- (i) Promotion
- (ii) Incorporation or Registration
- (iii) Capital subscription
- (iv) Commencement of Business

While a private company can commence company business as soon as it is registered or incorporated, a public company cannot commence its business unless it has obtained a Certificate of Commencement of Business. The various stages of formation of a company are dealt under the following paragraphs:

PROMOTION

Promotion is the first stage in the formation of a company. According to Gestenberg, “promotion refers to the discovery of business opportunities and the subsequent organization of funds properly managerial ability into business concern for the purpose of making profits therefrom”. A promoter may be an individual, a firm or association of persons or even a company. In simple words, a promoter is a person with expertise in the line of developing of a business proposition and carries out all the preliminary work for the formation of a company to run the business. According to C. Cockburn, C.J in *Twycorss vs Grant*, a promoter is one who undertakes to form a company with reference to a given project and to set it going project and to set it going, and who takes the necessary steps to accomplish that purpose. However, everyone who is connected with the formation of a company may not be a promoter. For instance, under the companies

Act, persons acting in a professional capacity to assist persons engaged in procuring the / formation of a company (solicitors, values, chartered Accountants) are not liable as promoters under the companies Act.

Promoter–functions

The functions of a promoter may be divided into four stages:

(i) Discovery (ii) Investigation (iii) Assembly (iv) Incorporation.

The promoter after conceiving an idea of starting a business and having carried out a detailed investigation as to the possibility and profitability of formation of a company will do the following functions:



- (i) Instructs and directs the solicitors to draft the Memorandum, Articles and other documents necessary for the registration of the company.
- (ii) Arrange for the printing and filing of these documents with the Registrar of Companies.
- (iv) decides about the name, location of its registered office, the bankers, auditors, legal advisers, brokers etc and arranges for minimum subscription to be raised (in case of public company) and obtains the certificate of commencement of business.

Promoter–Legal position

The promoter's legal position is that he is neither an agent nor a trustee of the company he promotes, as his functions as a promoter are performed at a time when the company has not yet come into existence and there is neither a principal nor a fiduciary trust. However, the Companies Act, impose on him certain obligations which are fiduciary in nature. Fiduciary position indicates a position full of trust and confidence. However, it must be noted that although the fiduciary relation of the promoter begins only when the company is actually formed, the fiduciary obligation of the promoter begins as soon as he sets out to act as a promoter of that company. To conclude, a promoter is not entitled to retain any profit made directly or indirectly out of the promotion, whether made at the expense of the company or otherwise, unless the company consents after full disclosure of all the facts.

Duties of Promoter

1. To disclose secret profits: Being in a fiduciary position, the promoter must not make any secret profit at the expense of the company he promotes. If he has made any secret profit, it is his duty to disclose all the money secretly obtained by way of profit. If he fails to do so, the company may recover such profits from him.

A promoter is not forbidden to make profit but to make secret profit. In *Gluckstein V. Barnes*, the 'Old Olympia Co', was in difficulties and the debentures were worth very little. A Syndicate of persons was formed to purchase it for 1, 80,000. The Syndicate first bought the debentures of the old Olympia Company at a discount. Then they bought the company itself for 1, 40,000. Out of this money provided by themselves the debentures were repaid in full and a profit of 20,000 made thereon. They promoted a new company and sold Olympia to it for 1, 80,000. The profit of 40,000 was revealed in the prospectus

but not the profit of 20,000. It was held that 20,000 was a secret profit and since there was no sufficient disclosure, the promoters were bound to pay it to the company.

2. *To disclose all material facts:* The promoter should make full disclosure of all the material facts regarding the formation of a company. The promoter is not allowed to derive a profit from the sale of his own property to the company unless all material facts are disclosed. If a promoter contracts to sell to the company, a property without making a full disclosure, and the property was acquired by him at a time when he stood in a fiduciary position towards the company, the company may either rescind or affirm the contract and then recover the secret profits from the promoter. The material fact may be disclosed to an independent and competent board of directors or to the whole body of shareholders.

3. *To make good profits obtained as a trustee:* The promoter must make good to the company what he has obtained as a trustee. A promoter stands in a fiduciary position towards the company. It is the duty of the promoter to make good to the company what he has obtained as trustee and not what he may get at any time.

4. *Must not make an unfair use of his position:* The promoter must make a fair and reasonable use of his power and position. He must act honestly.

5. *To act diligently:* The promoter is under an obligation to discharge his duties diligently right from the point of the conception of the idea to the stage when the company receives the certificate to commence business. He must disclose all the private arrangements resulting in profit by the formation of the company.

Liabilities of Promoters

A promoter has the following liabilities:

1. *Liability to account for the profits:* The promoter stands in a fiduciary position to the company. He is liable to the company for all secret profits made by him. When he does not make full disclosure to the company, the company may either

- (a) Rescind the contract and recover the purchase price where he sold his own property to the company, or
- (b) Sue the promoter for the amount of profit and recover the same with interest, or

(c) Claim damages for breach of fiduciary duties. The measure of damages will be the difference between the market value of the property and the contract price.

2. *Liability for mis-statements in the prospectus:* Promoter is liable to the original allottees of shares for the untrue statements in the prospectus. Thus, it is clear that his liability does not extend to subsequent allottees. The allottees may sue him for compensation for loss or damage suffered by them. The promoter may also be punished with fine up to Rs.5,000 for such untrue statement in the prospectus.

3. *Personal liability:* The promoter is personally liable for all preliminary contracts made by him for the company made by him for the company after its incorporation, adopts these contracts by entering into new contracts containing the same terms as in the original contracts. The death of a promoter does not relieve him from liabilities. The property of the deceased promoter shall be liable in an action by a company for fraud or breach of trust. Where there are more than one promoter, they are jointly and severally liable in any action against one of them.

4. *Liability in course of winding up:* In the course of winding up of the company, on an application made by the official liquidator, the court may make a promoter liable for misfeasance or breach of trust. Further, where fraud has been alleged by the liquidator against the promoter, the court may order for his public examination.

5 *Curbs on promoters:* Where a promoter is convicted of any offence in connection with the promotion of the company, or in the course of winding up of the company, it is found that he is guilty of misfeasance or breach of trust, the court may debar him from being a director or forbid him from taking part in the promotion, formation or management of a company for a period not exceeding five years without the sanction of the court.

Remuneration to Promoters

The promoters have to incur various expenses in connection with the formation of a company; therefore, it is quite reasonable that they should get suitable remuneration for their services. But it is interesting to note that the promoters cannot claim any remuneration from the company as a matter of right. They are entitled to remuneration for their services only if there is a contract to that effect. In *Re. National Motor Mail Coach*

Co. it has been held that, in the absence of an agreement. a company is not bound to reimburse a promoter in respect of registration fees and stamp duty paid by him.

In the absence of any agreement with the company after its incorporation, a promoter cannot sue the company for the recovery of his remuneration and preliminary expenses. However, the Articles of Association of the company generally empower the directors to pay a specified amount to the promoters for their services but this does not give the promoters any contractual right to sue the company. This is simply an authority vested in the directors of the company.

However, the promoters usually become the directors, so that in practice, the promoters are paid their remuneration.

The remuneration may be paid in any of the following ways:

- (i) A commission on the purchase price of the business or property taken over by the company through him.
- (ii) The promoter may be paid a certain lump sum.
- (iii) He may be given fully or partly paid shares in consideration of his services rendered.
- (iv) He may be given a commission at a fixed rate on the shares sold.
- (v) He may sell his own property to the company at a higher price and make profits. However, he must make full disclosure of this fact.
- (vi) He may be given an option to buy the shares of the company at par when their market price is higher.
- (vii) He may be appointed as chairman of the Board of Directors of the company.

Whatever be the nature of remuneration, it must be disclosed in the prospectus if it is paid within the preceding two years from the date of the prospectus. This is to enable prospective members to know about all such payments.

Pre-Incorporation Contracts

Contracts which are made by promoters with parties to acquire some property or right for and on behalf of a company yet to be formed are termed as 'pre-incorporation' or 'preliminary' contracts. Such contracts are not legally binding on the company even after its incorporation, because two consenting parties are necessary to a contract whereas the

company is a non-entity before its incorporation. The company has no legal existence until it is incorporated. Thus, a company cannot sue or be sued for pre-incorporation contracts.

Effects of Pre-incorporation Contracts

1. Not binding on company: A company, when registered, is not bound by pre-incorporation contracts, the reason being that at the time of making the contract the company was not in existence. This is so even if the company has taken some benefit from the contract. In *Re. English and Colonial Produce Co. Ltd.* a solicitor prepared the Memorandum and Articles of Association and paid the necessary registration fees on the instructions of persons who later became directors. He claimed his fees and expenses on the liquidation of the company. It was held, that the company was not liable to pay the solicitor's costs though it had taken the benefit of his work.

2. Cannot ratify the agreement: A company when registered cannot ratify or adopt the pre-incorporation agreements, because a contract can be ratified only when it is made by an agent for a principal who is in existence and is competent to contract at the time when the contract is made. Since company was not in existence, therefore, ratification is not possible. However, after incorporation a company may enter into a new contract to carry into effect the contract made by the promoters before incorporation.

3. Promoters' personal liability: If the promoters undertook any liability under the agreement, they would be personally liable notwithstanding that they are described in the agreement as agent. In *Kelner V. Baxter*, an agreement was made between K and B; B was acting on behalf of the proposed hotel company. Wine supplied under the contract was used by the company which had ratified the agreement after incorporation. The company went into liquidation before paying the debt. It was held that B was personally liable and no ratification could release him from his liability.

4. Company cannot sue: The Company is also not entitled to enforce the preliminary agreements. In *Natal Lani & Colourisation Co. Pauline Colliery Syndicate*, it was held that a company cannot benefit from a contract purporting to have been made on its behalf before the company came into existence. Thus, a company is neither bound by, nor can have the benefit of pre-Incorporation contracts.

5. Position under Specific Relief Act: Until the passing of the Specific Relief Act 1963, the promoters found it very difficult to carry out the work of incorporation. Since contracts prior to incorporation were void and also could not be ratified, people hesitated to either supply any goods or work for the cause of incorporation. Promoters also felt shy of accepting personal responsibility. The Specific Relief Act, 1963 came as a big sigh of relief to the promoters of a company who have, before its incorporation, entered into contracts for the purposes of the company and such contracts are warranted by terms of incorporation. The contract may be specifically enforced by or against the company, if the company has accepted the contract and communicated such acceptance to the other party to the contract.

Section 19 of the Specific Relief Act provides that the other party can also enforce the contract if the company has adopted it after incorporation and the contract is within the terms of incorporation.

INCORPORATION OF COMPANY

Incorporation of a company is the second stage of the company formation. It is effected by registration with the Registrar of Companies. The promoters will choose a few appropriate names and apply to the ROC to ascertain as to which of the names is available for adoption. In the meantime, they will also have to decide the objects which the company is to carry out, the place where the business is to be carried on, the extent of the responsibility of each member for losses and the amount of funds considered necessary to carry on the business properly. They will embody their decisions on these matters in a document called the Memorandum of Association. The rules and regulations for the company's

internal management will be embodied in Articles of Association.

The formalities to be gone through in registering a new company under the Act are enumerated below:

1. An application in the prescribed form (Form IA) is to be made to the Registrar of Companies of the State in which the registered office of the proposed company is to be situated for information as to whether the intended name is available for adoption. A fee of Rs. 500 is payable with the application [Rule 4A of the Companies Act (Central Government's General Rules and Forms 1956)].

2. Arrangement must be made for the preparation and printing of the Memorandum and Articles of Association and for having them stamped according to the Indian Stamp Act.

3. The Memorandum and Articles are to be signed by at least 7 or 2 subscribers depending upon the nature of the company and each subscriber should add his address, description and occupation and the number of shares subscribed for; the documents should also be dated.

4. The promoters of the company should make arrangements for filing the following documents with the Registrar of Companies and paying the necessary fees for the incorporation of the company.

(a) Memorandum of Association

(b) Articles of Association

(c) Agreement if any, which the company proposes to enter into with any individual for appointment as its managing or whole time director or manager.

(d) Name availability letter received from the Registrar

(e) In case the name of first directors are given in the Articles or in the Prospectus, then the written consent of the directors to act as such in Form No. 29. Such persons shall have to give a written undertaking to take up and pay for their qualification shares.

(f) A statutory declaration that all the requirements of the Act and the rules thereunder in respect of registration have been complied with. The declaration may be signed by any of the following:

(i) An advocate of the Supreme Court or of a High Court

(ii) An attorney or pleader entitled to appear before a High Court

(iii) A chartered accountant in whole time practice in India

(iv) A company secretary in whole time practice in India

(v) A person named in the Articles as a director, managing director, manager or Secretary of the Company.

This declaration should be on a non-Judicial stamp paper of appropriate value.

(g) The prescribed fees should be paid along with application. The amount of fees depends on the nominal capital of the company to be incorporated. The fee can be

paid to the Registrar of Companies in cash or by postal order or by money order or by demand draft or by cheque.

Once the required documents have been delivered and the prescribed fees paid, the Registrar will scrutinise the documents and if satisfied that all the formalities have been duly complied with, he will issue a certificate of incorporation. On receiving the certificate, the company becomes a body corporate with perpetual succession and a common seal. The address of the registered office of the company has to be filed in Form No. 18 within 30 days after the date of incorporation.

Certificate of Incorporation: If the Registrar is satisfied with the contents of the documents, he will register them and issue a certificate of incorporation, and under Section 34 the company becomes a body corporate with perpetual succession and a common seal, from the date on the certificate, even if that is not in fact the date when it was issued.

Effect of certificate of incorporation: Section 35 provides that a certificate of incorporation given by the Registrar in respect of any association shall be conclusive evidence that all the requirements of the Act have been complied with in respect of registration and matters precedent and incidental thereto, and that the association is a company authorised to be registered under the Act. If there has been any procedural irregularity in the incorporation of the company, it is immaterial and will not invalidate the registration of the Company.

A company comes into existence as a legal person upon the issue of the date of incorporation. Sec. 34(2) provides that from the date of incorporation, such of the subscribers of the Memorandum and other persons be the members of the company. The company shall be a body corporate by the name contained in the Memorandum, capable forthwith of exercising all the functions of an incorporated company.

According to Section 36 of the Act, on registration of the Company, the memorandum and articles of the company bind the company and its members to the same extent as if they respectively had been signed by the company and by the members and the contained covenants on its and their part to observe all the provisions contained in the Memorandum and Articles of Association.

INVITING SUBSCRIPTIONS

According to Sec. 69(1) of the Companies Act, no allotment shall be made of any share capital of a company offered to the public for subscription, unless the amount stated in the prospectus as the minimum subscription has been raised by the issue of share capital in order to provide for the matters specified in clause 5 of Schedule II has been subscribed, and the sum payable on application for the amount so stated has been paid to and received by the company, whether in cash or by cheque or other instrument which has been paid. The amount so stated in the prospectus shall be reckoned exclusively of any amount payable other than in money and it is known as minimum subscription. Besides the amount payable on each share shall not be less than 5% of the nominal amount of the share. According to SEBI's Guidelines on disclosure and investors' protection, if the company fails to receive 90% of issued amount from public subscription plus accepted devolvement from underwriters within 120 days from the date of opening of the issue, the company shall refund the amount of subscription.

COMMENCEMENT OF BUSINESS

A private company may commence its business immediately on incorporation but a public company cannot commence business immediately after incorporation unless it has obtained a certificate of commencement of business from the Registrar.

If the company has a share capital and has issued a prospectus inviting the public to subscribe to its shares or debentures, it cannot commence business until:

- a. Shares payable in cash have been allotted to the extent of the minimum subscriptions;
- b. Every director has paid in cash the application and allotment money on the shares taken by him.
- c. No money is liable to be repaid to the applicants for failure to apply or obtain permission for the shares or debentures to be dealt in on any recognised stock exchange.
- d. A statutory declaration duly verified by one of the directors or the secretary or where the company has not appointed a secretary, a secretary engaged in whole

time practice in the prescribed form that the above conditions have been complied with has been filed with the Registrar [Section 149 (1)].

If the company has a share capital but has not issued a prospectus to the public, it shall not commence the business unless:

- a. Statement in lieu of prospectus has been filed with the Registrar.
- b. Every director has paid in cash the application and allotment money on the shares taken by him.
- c. A statutory declaration duly verified by one of the directors or the secretary or where the company has not appointed a secretary, a secretary in whole time practice in the prescribed form that the above conditions have been complied with has been filed with the Registrar (Section 149(2)).

On the above requirements being duly fulfilled, the Registrar, shall certify that the company is entitled to commence business. The certificate is a conclusive evidence that the company is so entitled (Section 149(3)).

The Companies Amendment Act, 1965, has introduced certain new conditions for the commencement of business by a company. It has added two new Sub-Sections to Section 149. These conditions are mentioned below:

1. If a company (formed after the commencement of the Amendment Act 1965) having a share capital, whether or not it has issued a prospectus inviting the public to subscribe for its shares, wants to start a business included in the 'other objects' it shall have to obtain the authority of a special resolution of its shareholders.
2. If an existing company (i.e. a company in existence before the commencement of the Amendment Act, 1965) wants to commence any business connected with the objects stated in its memorandum, it shall have to obtain the authority of a special resolution.
3. In both the above cases a declaration has to be filed by one director or the secretary or, where the company has not appointed a secretary, a secretary in whole time practice, with the Registrar that the requirement as to resolution has been complied with.

4. Where in cases (1) and (2) referred above, a special resolution has not been passed but the votes cast in favour of the resolution exceed the votes cast against it, the Central Government may, on an application by the board of directors allow the company to commence such business. In this case also, declaration has to be filed with the Registrar (Section 149 (2B)).
5. If a company commences business in contravention of Section 149 (2A), every person who is responsible for the contravention is liable to a fine which may extend to Rs.500/- for every day during which the contravention continues. Any contract made by the company before it has obtained the certificate of commencement is provisional only and does not become binding on the company until it has become entitled to commence business.

Where for any reason, the company cannot obtain the certificate of commencement and is not entitled to commence its business, the contract entered into after incorporation cannot be enforced against the directors or the company.

A company is bound to commence business within a year of its incorporation or else it is liable to be wound up by the court.

Where a company commences business or exercises borrowing powers in contravention of Section 149, every person who is responsible for contravention is liable to a fine up to Rs. 5000 for every day during which the contravention continues. This is in addition to any other liability (Section 149 (6)).

The provision of Section 149 does not apply to a private company even if it is a subsidiary of a public company.

MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION

Introduction

In law, the word ‘Memorandum’ means “document recording terms of contract, agreement, establishment of company, etc.”. Every company must have a memorandum of association. A company’s memorandum of association is its most important

document because it is the memorandum that determines the powers of the company. The memorandum may be described as the company's charter, defining and limiting its powers and thereby helping to govern its relations with the outside world. The memorandum and other documents filed with the Registrar of companies are available for examination by any member of the public and indeed it will be seen that since the facility is available, persons contemplating dealings with the company may be expected to avail themselves of it. It would appear reasonable that members, debenture holders, creditors having invested in or entrusted to the company their hard earned capital, have the right to be assured of the activities to be pursued and be able to rely on that assurance.

MEMORANDUM OF ASSOCIATION

Definition: Section 2(28) of the Companies Act defines a memorandum as, "The memorandum of association of a company as originally framed or as altered from time to time in pursuance of any previous Company Laws or of this Act". Lord Cairns in *Ashbury Carriage Company Vs. Riche* observed that the Memorandum of association of a company is its charter and defines the limitations of the powers of a company.

Form of Memorandum

According to Section 14 of the Companies Act, the memorandum of association should be in any one of the forms specified in Table B, C, D and E of schedule I to the Companies Act, 1956, as may be applicable to it, or in a form as near thereto as the circumstances permit. The forms specified in Table B, C, D and E are applicable to different types of companies, viz., Table B for companies limited by shares, Table C for companies limited by guarantee and not changing a share capital, and so forth. The company may either adopt any of these Tables applicable to it or may devise a form of its own which is as near to the appropriate Table as the circumstances permit.

The memorandum of Association must be (a) printed, (b) divided into paragraphs, numbered consecutively, and (c) signed by each subscriber who shall add his address, description and occupation in the presence of at least one witness who shall attest the signature and likewise add his address, description and occupation (Sec. 15).

Contents of Memorandum

The memorandum of association of every company shall contain the following clauses:

1. Name clause
2. Situation clause
3. Objects clause
4. Liability clause
5. Capital clause, and
6. Association or Subscription

Each of these clauses is analysed below.

1. Name clause: Under this clause the corporate name- of the company is mentioned. Any suitable name can be chosen by a company, subject, however, to the following restrictions:

(a) In the case of companies limited by shares or limited by 'guarantee, the word "Limited" or "Private Limited" must be the last word in the name of every public or private company respectively. There is, however, one exception to this rule as provided in Section 25 of the Act, which permits "charitable companies" formed to promote

commerce, art, science, religion, etc., (prohibiting the payment of dividends and applying all the profits to the promotion of their objects) under a licence granted by the Central Government to register with limited liability, but without the word "limited" as part of Its name. In the case of unlimited companies, only the name is to be given. It should be noted that the inclusion of the word "company" is not essential.

(b) As per Section 20 the name chosen must not be undesirable, in the opinion of the Central Government. The Act does not state what names shall be considered undesirable and a such gives very wide discretion to the Central Government. Ordinarily a name is considered undesirable and therefore not allowed if it is either:

- (i) too identical or similar to the name of another existing company or firm (whether registered or unregistered) so as to lead to confusion or
- (ii) misleading.

However, if through inadvertence or otherwise, a company is registered by an almost identical name, the court will grant an injunction restraining it from using that name (*Ewing Vs. Buttercup Margarine Co. Ltd.*). The Central Government may also direct a company within 12 months of its registration, to rectify the name if it is identical with or too nearly resemble the name of an existing company. The company must act according to the direction within 3 months of the direction.

2. *Situation clause:* Every company shall have a registered office from the day on which it begins to carry on business, or as from thirtieth day after the date of its incorporation whichever is

earlier. All communications and notices are to be addressed to that registered

- office. Notice of the situation of the registered office and every change shall be given to the Registrar within thirty days after the date of incorporation of the company or after the date of change. If default is made in complying with these requirements the company and every officer who is in default shall be punishable with fine which may extend to Rs. 50 for every day during which the default continues.

3. *Objects clause:* Of all the clauses in the memorandum the object clause is the most important. It should specify in unambiguous language the objects for which the company is formed. Great care should be taken in drawing up this clause, as the company will not be allowed to do any business which is not specifically mentioned here. As it is difficult to alter the objects clause later, it is necessary that promoters should include in this clause all possible types of business in which a company may engage in the future. Although it is best to state all powers in addition to the objects clause, yet if the company does anything which is incidental to and consequential upon the powers specified, such an act will not be illegal. Thus a trading company under its implied - power, though not mentioned in the objects clause, can borrow, draw and accept bills in the ordinary course of business.

According to the amendment to the Companies Act made in 1965, the objects clause of a company formed after the commencement of the Amendment Act must contain:

- (i) Main Objects of the company and objects incidental or ancillary to the attainment of these main objects;
- (ii) Other objects of the company not included above.

A statement of the objects in the Memorandum has two fold operation: It states affirmatively the ambit and extent of vitality and power which by law are given to the corporation, and it states, if it is necessary so to state, negatively that nothing shall be done beyond that ambit, and that no attempt shall be made to use the corporate life for any other purpose than that which is so specified. A company which has a main object together with a number of subsidiary objects cannot continue to pursue the subsidiary object after the main object has come.

4. *Liability clause:* This clause has to state the nature of liability that members incur. In the case of a company limited by shares, the members are liable only up to the amount paid on the shares taken by them. In the case of a company limited by guarantee, the members are liable to the amount undertaken to be contributed by them to the assets of the company in the event of its being wound up.

The liability clause is omitted from the memorandum of association of unlimited companies. Any alteration in the memorandum compelling a member to take up more shares, or which increases his liability would be null and void. According to Section 45 of the Act, if a company carries on business for more than six months while the number of members is less than, in the case of public company and less than in case of a private company, each member aware of this fact, is liable for all the debts contracted by the company after the period of six months has elapsed.

5. *Capital clause:* The memorandum of a company limited by shares must state the authorised or nominal share capital, the different kinds of shares and the nominal value of each share. The capital of a company may be divided into shares of two different classes, namely preference and equity shares. The power to issue capital in shares of different classes may be taken in the capital clause of memorandum or it may be taken in the articles. A company u/s 95 of the Act, may alter the conditions of its memorandum with respect to its share capital by ordinary resolution if authorised by the articles. According to Sec. 100 a company limited by shares or a company limited by guarantee and having a share capital may reduce its share capital by special resolution if authorised by the Articles and confirmed by the Court.

6. *Subscription clause:* Subscribers to the Memorandum express their assent to form a company and signify their agreement to associate for that purpose. The memorandum has to be signed by each subscriber in the presence of at least one witness who must attest the signatures. Under this clause we have the declaration of association which is made by the signatories of the memorandum under their signature duly attested by witnesses, that they desire to be formed into a company and that they agree to the purchase of qualification shares. There must be at least 7 signatories in the case of a public company and at least two in respect of a private company. The subscribers usually act as first directors of the company. In respect of a company which is limited by guarantee or is having unlimited liability and which has no share capital, the legal provision regarding purchase of at least one share by each subscriber does not apply.

ALTERATION OF MEMORANDUM

Section 16 provides that the company cannot alter the conditions contained in memorandum except in the cases and in the manner and to the extent for which express provision has been made in the Act.

Alteration of Name Clause

A company may, by passing a special resolution and with the approval of the Central Government signified in writing, change its name. But no such approval is required in cases of addition or deletion of the word 'Private' consequent on the conversion of a public company into a private company and vice versa.

If, through inadvertence or otherwise, a company has been registered with a name which is identical with or which too closely resembles the name of an existing company, the company may change the name by passing an ordinary resolution and by obtaining the approval of the Central Government in writing.

The change of name must be communicated to the Registrar of companies within 30 days of the change. The Registrar shall then enter the new name on the register in the place of the old name and shall issue a fresh certificate of incorporation with necessary alterations [Sec. 23(1)]. The Registrar shall also make the necessary alterations in the 'Memorandum of Association' of the Company [Sec. 23(2)]. The change of name becomes effective on the issue of fresh certificate of incorporation.

However it should be noted that the change of name shall not affect any rights or obligations of the company or render defective any legal proceedings by or against it.

Change of Registered Office

This may involve:

- (a) Change of registered office from one place to another place in the same city, town or village.
- (b) Change of registered office from one town to another town in the same state.
- (c) Change of registered office from one state to another state.

In case (a), notice is to be given within thirty days after the date of the change to the Registrar who shall record the same [Sec. 146(2)].

In case (b), special resolution is required to be passed at a general meeting of the shareholders and a copy of it is to be filed with the Registrar within thirty days. Then within thirty days of the removal of the office, a notice has to be given to the Registrar of the new location of the office.

Change of Registered Office from one State to another [Sec. 17]

A company may, by special resolution, alter the provisions of its Memorandum so as to change the place of its registered office from one state to another for certain purposes referred to in Sec. 17(1). The alteration shall take effect only when it is confirmed by the Company Law Board. (Procedure is similar to alteration of objects). The Board shall consider the objections of persons whose interests will, in the opinion of the Board, be affected by the alteration (Sec. 17(3)).

Where the alteration involves a transfer of the registered office from one state to another state, a certified copy of the order confirming the alteration shall be filed by the company with the Registrar of each of the states and Registrar of each state shall register the same. All the records of the company shall then be transferred to the Registrar of the state in which the registered office of the company is transferred [Sec. 18 (3)].

Alteration of Objects clause

Section 17 empowers a company by a special resolution duly confirmed by the Company Law Board (CLB) to alter the objects (or to change the place of its registered office from one state to another) if the alteration is required to enable the company

1. to carry on its business more economically and more efficiently.
2. to attain its main purpose by new or improved means.
3. to enlarge or change the local area of its operation.
4. to carry on some business which under existing circumstances may be conveniently or advantageously combined with the business of the company.
5. to sell or dispose of the whole, or any part of the undertaking, or
6. to restrict or abandon any of the objects specified in the memorandum.
7. to amalgamate with any other company or body of persons.

A printed or a typewritten copy of the special resolution is required to be filed with the Registrar of companies within thirty days of the passing thereof. Also a petition is to be filed to the CLB for confirmation of the special resolution. The CLB, being satisfied that the notice of the resolution was given to all persons whose interests are likely to be affected. By the alteration, including the Registrar of companies and the State Government, and having heard them, may confirm the alteration either wholly or in part.

A certified copy of the CLB's order together with a printed copy of the altered memorandum must be filed within three months of the date of the order, with the Registrar. The Registrar will register the documents and issue, within one month, a certificate which will be conclusive evidence that everything required has been done (Section 18).

Change in Liability clause

According to Sec. 38 of the Act, a company limited by shares or guarantee cannot change its memorandum as to impose any additional liability on the members or to compel them to buy additional shares of the company unless all the members agree in writing to such change either before or after the change.

Alteration of Capital

A company may alter its memorandum to increase the share capital (authorised capital) provided it is authorised by the Articles of Association. If the Articles do not authorize such alteration, the Articles must first be altered to that effect by passing a special resolution. A company may also alter its share capital by consolidation or subdivision of shares, conversion of shares into stock and vice-versa and by cancellation of unissued capital. Consolidation is the process of combining of specified number of shares into one new share having a nominal value equal to the aggregate of the shares so consolidated. Subdivision of the shares is the process of subdividing shares or sum of them into shares of smaller amount than provided in the memorandum, viz., subdivision of one Rs. 100 share into 10 shares of Rs. 10. Fully paid-up shares are converted into "stock" for the purpose of its division into fractions of any denomination. Such stock can be reconverted into shares as and when found necessary. V. A company may also diminish the amount of its nominal share capital by cancelling the shares which remain unissued at the date such decision is taken.

Alteration of share capital can be effected by the company by passing an ordinary or special resolution in general meeting, as provided in the Articles of Association. If it is a special resolution, a copy of the resolution along with the explanatory statement must be filed within 30 days of the passing of the resolution. A notice of the alteration must then be filed with the Registrar within 30 days specifying the nature of the alteration. Thereupon, the Registrar records the notice and makes necessary changes in the Memorandum and Articles of Association.

DOCTRINE OF ULTRA-VIRES

The term 'ultra' means 'beyond' and the term, 'vires' means 'powers'. Thus, ultra vires means 'doing an act beyond the powers. Any activity done contrary to or in excess of the scope of activity of directors, Articles, Memorandum or Companies Act will be ultra-vires. These activities can be categorised as follows:

- (i) an act ultra-vires the directors
- (ii) an act ultra-vires the Articles of Association
- (iii) an act ultra-vires the Memorandum of Association
- (iv) an act ultra-vires the Companies Act

If an act is ultra vires the directors, it is not altogether void, because this act can be ratified by the general body of shareholders, and on such ratification the act becomes binding on the company. However, acts which are ultra vires the Articles of Association are altogether void. In respect of Memorandum of Association when the company does any act which is contrary to the objects clause of memorandum it shall be termed as ultra vires the memorandum and it shall be wholly void or inoperative. Such ultra vires activities cannot 'be subsequently ratified or validated even by a unanimous resolution of all the shareholders. The doctrine of ultra-vires was first applied in the case of *Ashbury Railway Carriage Co. Vs Riche*. The court held that the whole body of shareholders cannot ratify an ultra vires transaction. An ultra vires contract can never be made binding on the company. It cannot become intra vires by reason of estoppel, lapse of time, ratification

etc.(The decision in *Attorney General v. The Great Eastern Railway Company*.)

Consequences or effects of ultra vires transactions

1. *Personal liability of directors:* It is the duty of directors to see that the funds of the company are used only for legitimate business of the company. If directors make an ultra vires payment, then they can be compelled to make good the funds used.

2. *Act null and void:* A contract which is ultra vires the company is wholly void ab initio and of no legal effect. It cannot even be ratified by the whole body of shareholders.

3. *Ultra vires acquired property:* If company's funds were used in acquiring some ultra vires property, the company has the right to hold the property and protect it against damage by other persons.

4. *Injunction:* A company is bound strictly by the terms of its incorporation i.e. the memorandum. Hence, whenever a company goes beyond the scope of the objects clause, any of its members can get an injunction from the court to restrain the company from undertaking the ultra vires act.

5. *Ultra vires torts:* A company shall not be liable for torts committed outside its objects. The company can be made liable for torts or crimes of its employees if (a) the tort was committed in the course of an activity which is in the purview of company's memorandum and (b) it was committed by the employee within the course of his employment.

ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

Definition

Section 2(2), of the Companies Act defines the 'articles' as, "the articles of association of a company as originally framed or as altered from time to time in pursuance of any previous company law or of this Act including, so far as they apply to the company, the regulations contained in Table A in schedule-I of this Act". The articles of association of a company and its by-laws are regulations which govern the management of its internal affairs and the conduct of its business. They define the duties, rights, powers and authority of the shareholders and the directors in their respective capacities.

es and of the company. And the mode and form in which the business of the company is to be carried out. The Articles of association of a company have a contractual force between the company and its members as also between the members' interest in relation to their rights as such members.

REGISTRATION OF ARTICLES OF ASSOCIATION

According to Sec. 26, a public company limited by shares may register the articles of association signed by the subscribers to the memorandum. If however, it does not register its own articles then the articles given in Table A of schedule I becomes applicable. Further, even if it does register articles of its own, Table A will apply automatically unless it has been excluded or modified. The articles of a company must be:

(i) printed (ii) divided into paragraphs numbered consecutively (iii) signed by subscribers to the memorandum in the presence of at least one witness who shall attest the signatures. The articles are to be stamped with requisite stamp and be filed along with the memorandum.

Contents of Articles

The articles of a company usually deal with the following matters:

- (1) The business of the company, the amount of capital issued and the classes of shares into which the capital is divided; the increase and reduction of share capital.

- (2) The rights of each class of shareholders and the procedure for variation of their rights.
- (3) The execution or adoption of a preliminary agreement.
- (4) The allotment of shares, calls and forfeiture of shares for non-payment of calls.
- (5) Transfer and transmission of shares
- (6) Company's lien on shares
- (7) Exercise of borrowing power
- (8) General meetings, notices, quorum, proxy, poll, voting, minutes.
- (9) Number appointment and powers of directors.
- (10) Dividends,
- (11) Accounts and audit
- (12) Keeping of books both statutory and others.

In addition to the foregoing regulations many companies include other matters like

1. giving the company power to adopt contracts entered into by promoters.
2. setting out how capital is to be divided into shares of two classes.
3. giving the directors power to appoint one or more of their body to the office of managing director and whole time director.

Restrictions on Content of Articles

The Articles of association shall not contain anything which (1) contravenes any of the provisions of the Act or (ii) alters or extends the terms of the memorandum.

Alteration of Articles

Subject to the provisions of the Act and to the conditions contained in its memorandum, a company may, by special resolution, alter its articles. The term alter includes additions and omissions. A copy of the alteration must be filed with the Registrar within 30 days of passing the said resolution. The alteration will be effective from the date of registration by the Registrar. However, the company cannot in any manner deprive itself of the statutory power to alter its own Articles. The Articles may be altered in such a way as to have effect retrospectively. This flexibility of the company to alter its articles is however, subject to certain limitations.

Limitations to Alteration in Articles

1. The alteration must not be inconsistent with the provisions of the Companies Act or any other statute.
2. The alteration must not be inconsistent with the conditions contained in the memorandum.
3. The alteration must not deprive any person of his rights under a contract.
4. The alteration must not contain anything which is illegal or against public policy.
5. The alteration must be bona fide for the benefit of the company as a whole.

Distinction between Memorandum and Articles of Association

1. The Memorandum is the charter of the company which defines its objects and powers. The Articles are by-laws of the company for the internal management of the affairs for achieving the objects set out in the Memorandum.
2. The Memorandum is the supreme document of the company, while the Articles are subordinate to the Memorandum. If there is any conflict between the Memorandum and Articles, the memorandum shall prevail.
3. Memorandum of Association should not contain any provisions contrary to the Companies Act. Articles must not include any provisions contrary to Companies Act as well as Memorandum of Association.
4. Every company must have its own Memorandum. But a public Company limited by shares may or may not have its own Articles. It may adopt Table A of Schedule I of the Act.
5. The Memorandum defines the relationship between the company and the outsiders, while the Articles define the relationship between the company and its members and among members themselves.
6. A new company must prepare its Memorandum and file it with the Registrar before the registration of the company is effected. But Articles are not required to be filed for the purpose of registration. A company can adopt Table 'A' if it does not prepare its own Articles.
7. Any act of the company which is ultra vires the Memorandum is wholly void and cannot be ratified even by the whole body of shareholders. But any act which is ultra vires the Memorandum can be ratified by shareholders by passing a special resolution.
8. The Memorandum cannot be altered easily. The procedure laid down in the Act

must be followed for altering the various clauses of the Memorandum. In some cases the



approval of the Company Law Board is required. But, alteration of Articles is not difficult. Articles can be altered by passing a special resolution and the approval of the Company Law Board is not necessary.

LEGAL EFFECTS OF MEMORANDUM AND ARTICLES

According to Section 36(1) of the Companies Act, the Memorandum and Articles of a company, when registered, bind the company and its members as if they respectively had been signed by the company and each member, and contained covenants on its and their part to observe all the provisions of the Memorandum and of the Articles. The Memorandum and Articles of Association constitute a binding contract between the company and its each member.

This means that the Articles bind the company to its members and members to the company and members to each other, but do not bind the company or its members to outsiders. The effect and implication of this Section may be better understood by considering how far they do bind: (1) the members to the company; (2) the company to the members; (3) the members inter se; and (4) the company to the outsiders.

1. Members to the company: Every member of the company is bound to observe the provisions of the Memorandum and the Articles as if each member had signed the same (*Hanuman Prasad Gta Vs. Hiralal*). A company can sue its members for the enforcement of these provisions and the members may also be restrained by court from committing the breach of provisions of these documents.

In *Boreland Trustees Vs. Steel Brothers & Co. Ltd.*, the Articles of the company provided that the shares of any member who became bankrupt should be sold to some other persons at a price to be fixed by directors. B became bankrupt and his trustee in bankruptcy claimed that he was not bound by the Articles of Association and could not claim the shares against the company.

In *Boreland Banking Company Vs. Briggs*, the Articles provided that the company shall have a first charge on the shares for debts due to the company by the shareholders. One of the shareholders owing money to the company borrowed money from a bank on

the security of the shares. The bank gave a notice of deposit of shares to the company. It was held that the shares deposited with the bank were bound by articles and the company will have priority because of this provision in the articles of the company.

Each member is not only bound by the covenants of Memorandum and Articles as originally framed but as altered from time to time in accordance with the provisions of the Companies Act.

Shareholders cannot among themselves enter into an agreement which is contrary to or inconsistent with the Articles of Association of the company.

2. *Company to the members:* The Company is also bound to its members by the provisions of the Articles of Association. Any member is entitled to sue the company or obtain an injunction restraining the company from committing any breach of the Articles or from doing an illegal act. The company is bound to each member in respect of their rights as members. Where a right is conferred by the Articles on a shareholder to record his vote at a company meeting, the chairman of the meeting cannot deprive him of this right.

In *Hohnson Vs. Lytle's Iron Agency*, a forfeiture of shares, irregularly effected by a company, was set aside at the instance of the aggrieved member as the company did not comply with the provisions of the Articles.

In *Wood Vs. Odessa Water Works Company*, the Articles of Association empowered the company at general meeting. Instead of paying the dividend in cash, a resolution was passed whereby the dividend was to be paid by issue of debenture bonds. A member filed a suit restraining the company from acting on the resolution. The court granted an injunction restraining the company from acting on the resolution.

It must be noted that these documents bind the company to members and vice versa in respect of their membership rights and not contractual rights of other kinds.

3. *The members inter se:* As between the members themselves, they are bound by the provisions of the Articles. The Memorandum and Articles of Association do not constitute express agreement among the members of the company, but each member is bound by these documents on the basis of the implied contract. The articles regulate their rights *inter*

se. But such rights can be enforced only through the company. Lord Herschel in *Welton Vs. Saffrey* observed, 'It is quite clear that the articles constitute a contract between each member and the company and there is no contract in clear terms between the individual members of the company, but the Articles regulate their rights *inter se*. Such rights can only be enforced by or against a member through the company or through the liquidator representing the company'.

4. *Company to outsiders*: The Articles of Association create no contract between the company and outsiders, even though outsiders are named in the Articles in some capacity other than of a member. An outsider cannot take advantage of the provisions of the Articles because he is not a party to the contract and, therefore, he cannot sue the company. An outsider is not entitled to enforce the Articles against the company for any breach of right that is conferred on him by the Articles. In *Browne Vs. La Trinidad*, the Articles provided that B was to be appointed as director till 1888. But he was removed earlier. The court held that Articles do not constitute a contract between the company and outsider and therefore B was not entitled to bring any action against the company. Similarly, where the Articles provided for remuneration to be paid to promoters, it was held that the promoters had no right of action against the company. Even a member cannot enforce provisions of Articles in some capacity other than a member.

DOCTRINE OF CONSTRUCTIVE NOTICE

The Memorandum and Articles of Association of every company are required to be registered with the Registrar of Companies. Section 610 provides that on registration, these documents become public documents. These documents are available for public inspection either in the office of the company or in the office of the Registrar of Companies on payment of one rupee for each inspection. Every person who deals with the company whether shareholder or an outsider is presumed to have read these documents and understood them in their true perspective. This is known as 'Doctrine of Constructive Notice'. Even if the party dealing with the company does not have actual notice of the contents, it is presumed that he has constructive notice' of them.

Every person dealing with the company must inspect these documents and make sure that this contract is in conformity with their provisions. Whether he actually reads

them or not, he is presumed to have read and understood them. A party, subsequently, cannot plead ignorance of the contents of these documents and seek exemption from being liable. In *Kortal Vertkatswamy Vs. Ram Murthi* the Articles provided that all deeds, etc. were to be signed by the managing director, secretary and a working director. A deed signed by the working director and secretary was held to be inoperative and the party was not allowed to seek exemption on the plea that he had not read the Articles.

Lord Hatherley observed in *Mahoney Vs. East Holyford Mining Co.*, “Every joint stock company has its Memorandum and Articles of Association open to all who are reminded to have by dealings whatsoever with the company and those whose deal with them must be affected with notice of all that is contained in these two documents”.

Accordingly, if a person deals with a company and the transaction turns out to be beyond the powers of the company or its officers as contained in these documents, he cannot enforce it against the company and he shall be personally liable to bear the consequences of such dealings.

However, the above doctrine of constructive notice is subject to one exception, that is, so far as the internal proceedings of the company are concerned, outsiders dealing with the company can safely assume that everything has been regularly done. This rule is known as the “doctrine of indoor management” which is explained below:

DOCTRINE OF INDOOR MANAGEMENT

Memorandum and Articles of Association, when registered with the Registrar of Companies, assume the character of ‘public documents’ under Section 610 of the Act and every person dealing with the company is deemed to be covered by the doctrine of constructive notice.

The doctrine of indoor management is an exception to the rule of constructive notice. The doctrine of indoor management imposes an important limitation on the doctrine of constructive notice. Persons dealing with the company should read these documents and satisfy themselves that the company has the power to enter into the contract, and they are required to do no more. He is not required to examine whether the internal proceedings have been complied with or not. The details of internal procedure are not open to public inspection as the Memorandum and Articles are. Thus, every person

dealing with the company is entitled to assume that everything has been done regularly so far as the internal proceedings of the company are concerned. In other words, outsiders can safely assume that provisions of the Articles have been complied with by the company in its internal working. This doctrine seeks to protect the outsiders against the company.

If the Articles of the company give powers to borrow with the sanction of an ordinary resolution in a general meeting, a lender need not enquire whether the general meeting was convened on proper notice, or whether a proper quorum was present at the meeting, or whether the necessary resolution was properly passed. He is entitled to assume that what has been done has been done regularly and can hold the company liable even if internal formalities are found not to have been complied with, the contract shall be binding on the company and it shall be liable to outsiders. This rule is known as the doctrine of indoor management.

The rule was first laid down in *The Royal British Bank v. Turquand*. In this case, the directors of a company issued a bond to T. They had the power to issue such bonds but only subject to the resolution passed at a general meeting of the company. In this case no such resolution had been passed. It was held that T could recover the amount of the bond from the company on the ground that he was entitled to assume that the resolution had been passed. Lord Hatherly observed: "Outsiders are bound to know the external position of the company, but are not bound to know its indoor management".

The doctrine of indoor management is of great practical value. This rule is based on business convenience and justice. First, no business could possibly be carried on if a person before dealing with the company was required to find out whether all the internal rules and regulations have been duly complied with. Secondly, an outsider dealing with the company is presumed to know the constitution of the company, but not what may or may not have taken place within the doors that are closed to him.

In *Premier Industrial Bank Ltd Vs. Corlton Mfg. Co. Ltd.*, the doctrine of indoor management was summed up as under: "If the directors have power and authority to bind the company, but certain preliminaries are required to be gone through on the part of the company before that power can be duly exercised, then the person contracting with the directors is not bound to see that all these preliminaries have been observed. He is entitled to presume that the directors are acting lawfully in what they do".

Exceptions to the Doctrine

The doctrine of indoor management is subject to the following limitations:

1. *Knowledge of irregularity:* The protection under the rule of indoor management cannot be claimed by a person who has the knowledge of the irregularity or constructive notice

of irregularity [*Narayandas Somani Vs. Sangli Bank Ltd.*]. In *Howard Vs. Patent Ivory Manufacturing Co.*, the directors had the power under the Articles to borrow on behalf of the company up to 11,000. And for any amount exceeding this sum, the sanction of the shareholders in the general meeting was required. The directors themselves lent 3,500 to the company without the sanction of the shareholders in the general meeting. It was held that the company was liable for 1,000 only.

2. *Negligence on the part of the outsider:* Where the circumstances are of a suspicious nature as to invite further inquiry and the person has failed to enquire into it, he shall not be entitled to protection under this rule. Where a director deposited cheques drawn in favour of the company in his own account, the bank was held liable because the act of the director was of an unusual nature, which should have put the bank on alert.

Similarly, where the transaction is of an unusual nature, the outsider must make detailed inquiries. In *Anand Bihari Lcd, Vs. Dinshaw & Co.* the plaintiff accepted a transfer of the company's property from its accountant; the transfer was held void. The plaintiff should have seen the power of attorney executed in favour of the accountant by the company.

3. *Forgery:* The protection under this doctrine shall not be available where the outsiders have relied upon a forged document, because forgery is a nullity. A company is not liable for forgeries committed by its officer. In *Ruben Vs. Great Fingall Ltd.*, the secretary of the company issued a share certificate by forging the signature of two directors under the seal of the company. The holder of that share certificate claimed to be a registered member of the company, but the company refused to accept him as a member of the company. Ruben claimed damages relying on the Turquand rule. It was held that he could not do so because the rule did not apply where the document was forged.

4. *No Knowledge of the Articles:* The doctrine of indoor management cannot be invoked in favour of a person who had no knowledge of the Articles of Association of the company.

The Turquand's rule is based on the principle of estoppel and, therefore, it cannot be applied in favour of a person who did not in fact consult the Memorandum and Articles of the

company and consequently did not act on relying on these documents.



In *Rama Corporation Ltd. Vs. Proved Tin and General Investments Ltd.*, T was a director in the investment company. He proposing to act on behalf of the company entered into a contract with the Rama Corporation and took a cheque from the latter. The Articles of the company did contain a clause that the directors could delegate their powers to one of them. But Rama Corporation never read the Articles. Later it was found that the directors of the company did not delegate their power to T. Plaintiff relied on the rule of indoor management. It was held that a person who at the time of entering into a contract with a company has no knowledge of company's Articles, he cannot rely on those Articles.

5. Acts outside apparent authority: An outsider will not be protected by the rule laid down in *Turquand's* case if the act of an officer of a company is one which would not ordinarily be within his powers simply because under the Articles power to do the act could have been delegated to him. In *Kreditbank Cassel Vs. Schenkers Ltd.*, the branch manager of the bank drew and endorsed some bills of exchange on behalf of the company without having received any authority from the company. It was held that the company was not liable on these bills of exchange.

UNIT V PROSPECTUS

Definition

A prospectus as per Section 2(36) and along with Sec. 58A means any document described (or) issued as prospectus and includes any notice, circular, advertisement or other document inviting deposits from the public or inviting offers from the public for the subscription or purchase of any shares in, or debentures of, a body corporate.

Thus, a prospectus is not merely an advertisement; it may be a circular or even a notice. A document shall be called a prospectus if it satisfies two things;

1. It invites subscription to shares or debentures or invites deposits; and
2. The aforesaid invitation is made to the public.

Section 67 lays down two-way criteria as to what shall constitute an invitation to the public:

1. An invitation to the public shall include an invitation to any section of the public, whether selected as members or debenture holders of the company concerned or as clients of the person issuing the prospectus or in any other manner. However, a document by way of invitation to existing members or debenture holders to subscribe to shares, or debentures by way of right is not prospectus [Sec. 56(5)].

2. An invitation shall not be an invitation to the public if it cannot be calculated to result directly or indirectly, in the shares or debentures becoming available for subscription or purchase by persons other than those receiving the invitation. Thus, it will not be an invitation to public where B, a friend of A who receives the invitation, also desires to subscribe, but his offer shall be refused because he was not invited to make the same. On the other hand it will become an invitation to public where his (B's) offer shall also be accepted.

Any document to be construed as prospectus should have the following essentials:

- (a) There must be an invitation offering to the public,
- (b) The invitation must be made by or on behalf of the company or in relation to an intended company
- (c) The invitation must be to subscribe or purchase;
- (d) The invitation must relate to shares or debentures.

A prospectus is required to meet the following legal requirements:

1. Issue after Incorporation

A prospectus is generally issued after incorporation of the company. However, *Section 55* permits the issue of a prospectus in relation to an intended company.

2. Dating of Prospectus

[Sec. 55]: A prospectus must be dated and that date, unless the contrary is proved, shall be taken as the date of publication of the prospectus.

3. Registration of Prospectus

Section 60 provides that no prospectus shall be issued by or on behalf of a company or in relation to an intended company unless on or before the date of its publication, there had been delivered to the Registrar for registration, a copy thereof. The copy of the prospectus so delivered must be signed by every person who is named therein as a director or proposed director of the company or by his agent authorised in writing.

The copy of the prospectus should be accompanied by the following documents:

1. Consent of the expert to the issue, if a statement made by him is to be published.
2. Written consent of all those persons whose names are mentioned in the prospectus as auditors, legal advisers, solicitors, bankers, brokers etc.
3. A copy of every contract appointing or fixing remuneration of a managing director or manager.
4. A copy of every other material contract not being a contract entered into in the ordinary course of the business carried on or intended to be carried on by the company or a contract entered into more than 2 years before the date of the prospectus.
5. A written statement by the persons making any report required by part II of schedule II relating to the adjustments, if any, as regards the figures by any profits or losses or assets and liabilities dealt with by the report set out in the prospectus in pursuance of part II of schedule II, giving reasons therefor.
6. Consent of Director under Sec. 266 to act in that capacity. It is relevant in case of new director only.
7. A copy of the underwriting agreement, if any.
8. Where a prospectus is issued in more than one language, a copy of it as issued in each language should be delivered to Registrar of companies.
9. The prospectus must be issued within 90 days after the date on which a copy thereof has been delivered for registration. If a prospectus is issued subsequently after the expiry of this period, it shall be deemed to be a prospectus, and if a copy of which has not been delivered to the Registrar and the company, as well as every person who

is knowingly a party to such an issue of prospectus shall be liable to a fine which may extend to Rs.5,000 [Sec. 60].

- 10. Expert to be unconnected with the information or management of the company [Sec.57]:** A prospectus must not include a statement purporting to be made by an expert. Unless the expert is a person who is not, and hasn't been, engaged or interested in the formation or in the management, of the company.

Terms of contract mentioned in prospectus not to be varied with the legal act. A company cannot vary the terms of a contract referred to in the prospectus except subject to the approval of, or except on authority given by, the company in general meeting. CONTENTS OF A PROSPECTUS

Section 56 of the Companies Act lays down that the matters and reports stated in Schedule II to the Companies Act must be included in a prospectus. The format of Schedule II was revised by the Government vide its notification dated 3.10.1990. The revised format of prospectus requires the prospectus to be divided into three parts.

In the first part particulars are to be given about matters detailed below:

Part I of Schedule II

1. General information: Under this head, information is given about

1. Name and address of registered office of the company.
2. Name(s) of stock exchange(s) where application for listing is made.
3. Declaration about refund of the issue if minimum subscription is not received, within 90 days from closure of the issue..
4. Declaration about the issue of allotment letters/ refund within a period of 10 weeks and interest in case of any default in refund at the prescribed rate under Sec.73.
5. Date of opening of the issue.
6. Date of closing of the issue.
7. Name and address of auditors and lead managers.
8. Whether rating from CRISIL or any rating agency has been obtained for the proposed debentures / preference shares issue.
9. If no rating has been obtained this should be answered as 'No'.
10. Names and addresses of the underwriters and the amount underwritten by them.

2. Capital Structure of the company:

1. Authorised, issued, subscribed and paid-up capital.
2. Size of the present issue, giving separately reservation for preferential allotment to promoters and others.

3. Terms of the present issue

1. Terms of payment.
2. How to apply
3. Any special tax benefits

4. Particulars of the issue

1. Objects
2. Project cost
3. Means of Financing (Including contribution of Promoters)

5. Company management and project

1. History and main objects and present business of the company
2. Promoters and their background
3. Location of the project
4. Collaborations, if any
5. Nature of the product(s), export possibilities.
6. Future prospects
7. Stock market data.

6. Certain prescribed particulars in regard to the company and other listed companies under the same management which made any capital issued during the last 3 years.

7. Outstanding litigations relating to financial matters or criminal proceedings against the company or directors under Schedule XIII.

8. Management perception of risk factors (e.g. sensitivity to foreign exchange rate fluctuations, difficulty in availability of raw materials or in marketing of products, cost/time over-run etc.)

Part II of Schedule II

Requires the company to give detailed information. This part is further subdivided into three parts, viz., General information, financial information and statutory and other information.

General Information shall include information on matters like

1. Consent of directors, auditors, solicitors, managers to the issue, Registrars to the issue, Bankers of the company, Bankers to the issue and experts.

2. Change, if any, in directors and auditors during the last 3 years and reasons therefor.

3. Procedure and time schedule for allotment and issue of certificates.

4. Names and addresses of company secretary, legal advisor, lead managers, co-managers, Auditors, Bankers to the issue and Brokers to the issue.

Financial information includes

1. Reports of the auditors of the company with respect to its profits and losses and assets and liabilities and the dividends paid during the five financial years immediately preceding the issue of prospectus.

2. Report by the accountants on the profits or losses for the preceding five financial years and on the assets and liabilities on a date which must not be more than 120 days before the date of the issue of the prospectus.

Statutory and other information includes information about

1. Minimum subscription

2. Expenses of the issue

3. Underwriting commission and brokerage.

4. Previous public rights issue giving particulars about date of allotment, refunds, premium/discount etc.

5. Issue of shares other than for cash.

6. Particulars about purchase of property, if any.

7. Revaluation of assets, if any.

8. Material contracts and time and place where such documents may be inspected.

Part III of the Schedule Gives explanation of certain terms and expressions used under Part -I and Part —II of the schedule.

Is the Issue of Prospectus Compulsory?

No. Issue of prospectus by a company is not compulsory in the following cases:

1. A private company is not required to issue a prospectus.
2. Even a public company need not issue a prospectus if the promoters or directors feel that they can mobilise resources through personal relationship and contacts. In such cases the company is required to file a statement called "statement in lieu of prospectus" with the Registrar of companies.
3. As per the Amendment Act, 1988 a company may issue any form of application for shares or debentures of a company accompanied by a memorandum containing the prescribed salient features of a prospectus (instead of a prospectus). However, in such a case, a copy of the prospectus must be made available to any person on request [Sec. 56(3)].
4. Where the application form is issued in connection with a bonafide invitation to a person to enter into an underwriting agreement with respect to the shares or debentures [Sec. 56(3)].
5. Where the application form is issued in relation to shares or debentures not offered to the public [Sec. 56(3)].
6. Where the shares or debentures are offered to existing holders of shares or debentures (i.e. rights issue) with or without the right of remuneration in favour of other persons [Sec. 56(5)].
7. Where the issue relates to shares or debentures which are to be uniform in all respects with shares or debentures previously issued and dealt in or quoted on a recognised stock exchange [Sec. 56(5)].
8. Where invitation to the public for subscription to the shares or debentures of a company is made in the form of an advertisement ordinarily called as 'prospectus announcement' [Sec. 66].

Abridged Prospectus

The Central Government had vide SR No. 6/14 (E) dated October 3, 1991 prescribed the memorandum containing the salient features of abridged prospectus by adding Form 2A to the Companies (Central Government's) General Rules and Forms 1956. The Department of Companies Affairs has vide F.No. 1/6188 - CCV, circular No. 1/92 dated January 9, 1992 notified that share application forms should be a part of an abridged prospectus being attached to it along a perforated line. The prospective investors

are guided, as it provides an opportunity to study the contents of the abridged prospectus before submission to the designated bankers/same company. The particulars that are required to be furnished in the prescribed format Form 2A according to rule 4CC of Companies (Central Government) General Rules & Forms 1956, are enumerated below;

1. General information; (2) capital structure of the company; (3) Terms of the present issue; (4) particulars of the issue; (5) company management and projects; (6) schedule of implementation of the project, (7) products to be manufactured, future prospect etc., (8) payment! refunds. (9) particulars of companies under the same management; (10) Management's perception of risk factors.

Refusal of Goods for Registration of Prospectus

The Registrar is empowered to refuse registration of the prospectus under the following grounds: (i) if the prospectus is not dated; (ii) if the prospectus contains a statement purported to be made by an expert without a statement that he has given and has not withdrawn his consent; (iii) if it does not contain consent in writing of directors; (iv) if a copy of the documents mentioned u/s 60(1) has not been filed.

Voluntary Disclosure

The prospectus is the window through which an investor can look into the soundness of the company's venture. The prospective buyer of shares is entitled to all true disclosures in the prospectus. It should not conceal any matter which ought to be revealed. In a nutshell the prospectus shall tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth. This ruling is called 'the golden rule' for framing a prospectus. This ruling was laid down by *V.C. Kindersley in New Brunswick and Canada Railway and Land Company Vs. Muggeridge (1860)*

Deemed Prospectus

According to Sec. 64, an offer for sale is a prospectus and it is deemed to have been issued by the company. Sec. 64 provides that where a company allots or agrees to allot any shares or debentures of the company with a view to allot any of those being offered for sale to the public, the document by which the offer of sale to the public is made shall for purposes be deemed to be a prospectus issued by the company. Unless the contrary is proved, it is evidence that an allotment of, or an agreement to allot shares/debentures was made with a view to, allot the shares/debentures being offered for sale to the public, if it is shown

(a) that an offer for sale was made within 6 months of allotment or agreement for allotments, OR

(b) that at the date of the offer for sale, the company had not received the whole consideration for the shares/debentures.

The document containing the offer for sale must contain the following particulars;

(i) the net amount of the consideration received or to be received by the company in respect of the shares/debentures offered for sale.

(ii) The place and time at which the relevant contracts may be inspected. The persons making the offer for sale to the public are to be deemed directors of the company for the purpose of registration of the prospectus.

Statement in Lieu of Prospectus (Section 70)

If a public company makes a private arrangement for raising its capital, then it must file a statement in Lieu of Prospectus with the Registrar at least three days before any allotment of shares or debentures can be made.

Schedule III contains a model form of a statement in lieu of prospectus in pursuance of Section 70; Schedule IV contains a model form of a statement in lieu of prospectus when a private company is converted into a public company in pursuance of Section 44. If allotment of shares or debentures is made without filing the document, the allottee may avoid it within two months after the statutory meetings or where no such meeting is to be held, within two months of the allotment. Contravention also renders the company and every director liable to a fine up to Rs. 1,000.

MIS-STATEMENT IN PROSPECTUS

According to Sec 62(3), the offer for sale must set out all the details required to be inserted in a prospectus. It should also specify the net amount of consideration received by the company on the shares or debentures to which the offer relates, and specify the place and time at which the relevant contracts may be inspected. As all the provisions which apply to prospectus issued by a company apply to such a document, it must disclose everything truthfully. When a prospectus contains false/fraudulent statement of facts, it can be termed as mis-statement in prospectus. According to Section 65 of the Act, a statement included in a prospectus shall be deemed to be untrue, if the statement is misleading in the form and content in which it is included. It also provides that where the omission from a prospectus of any matter is calculated to mislead, the prospectus shall be deemed, in respect of such omission to be a prospectus in which an untrue statement is included.

Liability for mis-statement in Prospectus

A person who makes the offer will be liable for any mis-statement in that document in the same manner as persons who authorise the issue of a false prospectus. When there is mis-statement of material fact in a prospectus, there may arise

(I) Civil Liability (ii) Criminal Liability: Every person who is a director of the company at the time of the issue of the prospectus, every promoter of the company and every person including an expert, who has authorised the issue of a prospectus shall be liable.

For any untrue statement or mis-statement in the prospectus, a person who has subscribed for any shares or debentures on the faith of the prospectus and has sustained any loss or damage may sue for compensation all or any of the following:

(i) the company (ii) every director (iii) every person whose name appeared in the prospectus as a proposed director (iv) every promoter (v) every person who has authorised the issue of the prospectus.

The Golden Rule

It is the duty of those who issue the prospectus to be truthful in all respects. This Golden Rule was enunciated by Kindersley, V.C. in *New Brunswick, etc., Co Vs. Muggersidge* and has come to be known as the golden legacy.

The burden of proof in a suit by an allottee that he has been misled by the mis-statement in the prospectus lies on the allottee. He must prove the following:

- (i) it was a fact
- (ii) it was in respect of a material fact.
- (iii) he acted on the misrepresentation and
- (iv) he has suffered damages in consequence.

Civil Liability

A person who has been induced to subscribe on the faith of an untrue statement in the prospectus has remedies against the (i) Company (ii) the directors and promoters and experts.

Remedies for mis-representation in prospectus: A company is responsible for a statement in prospectus only if it is shown that the prospectus was issued by the company. The company is liable if though the prospectus is issued by the promoters, the Board ratifies and adopts the issue, for the prospectus is the basis of the contract for shares.

Remedies against the company

(i) *Rescission of the contract:* Where a prospectus contains mis-statement whether innocent or fraudulent, the agreement to take up shares is voidable at the option of the aggrieved shareholder. He may apply to the court for the contract to be set aside, and his name to be struck off from the Register of members. He may also claim his money

(ii) *Action for damages:* The allottee may recover damages from the company for any loss he may have suffered if the invitation to buy the shares is emanating from the company and the persons making it on behalf of the company have fraudulently misrepresented material facts. Damages are generally claimed from the directors, promoters and other persons who authorised the issue of the prospectus.

Remedies against Directors, Promoters and Experts

(i) *Damages for misrepresentation:* According to Section 62, every director, promoter or any other person who authorised the issue of the prospectus is liable to compensate any misled allottee of shares for any loss sustained by him because of the untrue statement in the prospectus. However, the directors will not be liable for damages for mis-statement if they believed them to be true.

(ii) *Liability for omission:* An omission from a prospectus of a matter required to be stated under Section 56 may give rise to an action for damages at the instance of a

subscriber for shares, despite the omission does not make the prospectus misleading. However, a director or other person sued u/s. 56 may defend himself by proving

- (i) that he had no knowledge of the matter not disclosed, or
- (ii) that the contravention arose out of an honest mistake of fact.

Liability under the General Law

Persons who authorise a false or misleading prospectus can also be held liable in action for deceit under general law as provided in the Contract Act. The aggrieved subscriber can claim full compensation for the loss sustained by him but he should prove the points mentioned below:

- (i) There was a fraudulent mis-statement.
- (ii) The representation related to material fact.
- (iii) The aggrieved party i.e. the original allottee actually relied on the mis-statement and was actually deceived.

However, civil liability can be avoided under the following circumstances as specified u/s 62(2) of the Companies Act, if he proves:

- (a) that having consented to become a director, he withdrew his consent before the issue of prospectus and it was issued without his consent.
- (b) that the prospectus was issued without his knowledge or consent and on becoming aware of its issue he immediately gave reasonable public notice of that fact.
- (c) He withdrew his consent after the issue of the prospectus but before allotment and public notice was given.
- (d) He had reasonable ground to believe that the statements were true and believed them to be true.

Criminal Liability for mis-statement in Prospectus

According to Section 63 of the Companies Act, where a prospectus includes any untrue statement, every person who has authorised the issue of the prospectus shall be punishable with (a) imprisonment for a term which may extend to 2 years or (b) fine up to Rs. 5,000 or both, But he can escape from the liability if he can prove that the statement was immaterial or that he had reasonable ground for believing that it was true.

Penalty for fraudulently inducing to invest money: According to Section 68, any person guilty of fraudulently inducing persons to invest money in a company shall be punishable with imprisonment up to 5 years or fine up to Rs. 10,000 or both.

Prohibition of allotment of shares in fictitious names: Section 68A makes the following acts punishable with imprisonment for a term extending to 5 years:

- (a) making an application to a company for acquiring or subscribing for any share therein under fictitious name or (b) inducing a company to allot or register any transfer of share therein to him or any other person in a fictitious name.
- It is obligatory for every company to prominently display the above provisions in every issue of a prospectus as well as in the forms of application for shares.
- *SEBI now authorised to institute prosecution:* The Department of Company Affairs has by its two notifications issued on November 24, 1993 and February 15, 1994 authorised the Securities and Exchange Board of India (SEBI) to institute prosecutions in respect of certain offences under Sections 56, 57 and 58 of the Companies Act, 1956.

Share capital

Meaning of Share Capital:

The term capital usually means a particular amount of money with which a business is started. In Indian Companies Act, it has been used in different senses in various parts of the Act, but in general it means the money subscribed pursuant to Memorandum of Association of the Company. Capital, in fact, represents the assets with which the undertaking is carried on.

The sum total of nominal value of shares of a company is known as its share capital. In case of companies, the terms 'capital' and 'share capital' have been held to be synonymous. Capital to be stated in the Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association of the Company.

Types/Nature of Share Capital:

The share capital of company may be of the following types:

1. Registered, Authorised or Nominal Capital:

The Memorandum of Association of every company has to specify the amount of capital with which it wants to be registered. The capital so stated is called Registered, Authorized or Nominal Capital. The Registered Capital is the maximum amount of share capital which a company can raise by way of public subscription.

2. Issued Capital:

The company may not issue the entire authorised capital at once. It goes on raising the capital as and when the need for additional fund is felt. So, issued capital is that part of Authorised/Registered or Nominal Capital which is offered to the public for subscription in the form of shares.

3. Unissued Capital:

The balance of nominal capital remaining to be issued is called Unissued Capital.

4. Subscribed Capital:

It is that part of “issued capital” for which applications are received from the public. The subscribed capital is allotted to the respective subscribers as per resolution passed by the directors of the company.

5. Called up Capital:

It is that part of subscribed capital which has been called up by the company. A company does not call at once the full amount on each of the shares it has allotted and therefore, calls up only such amount as it needs.

6. Uncalled up Capital:

It is the uncalled portion of the allotted capital and represents contingent liability of the shareholders on the shares.

7. Paid up Capital:

It is that part of called up capital against which payment has been received from the members on their respective shares in response to the calls made by the company.

8. Reserve Capital or Reserve Liabilities

By Reserve Capital we mean that amount which is not callable by the company except in the event of the company being wound up. The company cannot demand the payment of money on the shares to that extent during its life time. Reserve capital may be created by means of a special resolution passed by the company in its General Meeting by three-fourths majority of those voting on it.

When once the Reserve Capital has been so created the company cannot alter its Articles of Association so as to make the reserve liability available at any time. The Reserve Capital cannot be charged as security for loans by the directors. It cannot be turned into ordinary capital without the order of the court. It cannot be cancelled at the time of reduction of capital.

9. Fixed Capital:

The fixed capital of a company is what the company retains in the shape of fixed assets such as land and buildings, plant and machinery, furniture

10. Circulating Capital:

The circulating capital is a part of subscribed capital which is circulated in business in the form of using goods or other assets such as book debts, bill receivables, cash, bank balance, etc.

Classes of Share Capital:

The share capital of a company limited by shares may be of the following two kinds:

1. Preference share capital, and

2. Equity share capital.

1. Preference Share Capital:

It means that part of the capital of the company which:

(a) Carries a preferential right as to payment of dividend at fixed rate during the life time of the company.

(b) Carries, on the winding up of the company, a preferential right to be repaid the amount of the capital paid up.

2. Equity Share Capital

It means with reference to a company, limited by shares, all share capital which is not preference share capital.

ALTERATION OF SHARE CAPITAL

Increase or decrease of authorized share capital of a company is known as alteration of share capital. There are two types of share capital of a company:- equity share capital and preference share capital. Power of a company to alter its share capital is defined and explained in section 61 of the Companies Act, 2013. It can be done by ordinary resolution of the company, thus it is not necessary to confirm the alteration of the share capital of the company from the tribunal.

The Companies Act 2013 allows the companies to alter and make some changes in its authorized share capital with certain specified procedures for alteration of share capital is specifically mentioned in the

Companies Act, 2013. Alteration in the share capital can be done only if it is so authorized by its Articles of Association to alter the capital clause of its Memorandum of Association.

Basic and Mandatory Rules Necessary to Follow

First step: It is important for a company to check and evaluate whether the company on the first face is authorized by the Articles of Association to increase the share capital if company's Article of Association does not permit or authorize, then it is to be done with the objective of altering them.

Second step: The company has to take the confidence of other individuals. The board meeting has to be conducted for enabling the board to call for the extraordinary general meeting, it is mandatorily required to get approval from the shareholders for increasing the authorized share capital.

Third step: The company then calls for an extraordinary general meeting of the shareholders of the company by sending them a notice with clear agenda and proper explanatory statements, explanation, with a proper reasoning along with the resolutions to be passed to alter the Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association which are to be altered for the purpose of increasing the authorized share capital.

Fourth Step: Thereafter, resolutions for increasing the authorized share capital of the company and corresponding alterations in the Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association by resolution. After completing the whole procedural part, the company authorizes the board to file necessary forms and resolutions with ROC having jurisdiction.

The company, if thinks necessary and suitable for the growth, can increase its share capital by issuing new shares. A company may consolidate and divide all or part of its share capital into shares of a large amount. A company may also sub-divide its shares of lower denomination. It may cancel those shares which have not been taken by any person and reduce its share capital. Thus, the right to alter share capital must be given in the article of association of the company.

Procedure for alteration of share capital:

- Authorized by article
- By resolution
- Notice to registrar

Notice To Registrar

Notice of alteration is required to be given from the hands of the company to the registrar within a period of 30 days, if the company fails to do there are provisions for a hefty penalty under the statute.

Alteration of Share Capital with Different ways of Journal Entries

Increase in share capital by making a fresh issue of shares, if a company wants to increase the share capital beyond the amount of share capital, it must increase its authorized capital by the number of new shares. The company can convert all or any of fully paid up shares into stock or reconvert stock into fully paid up shares of any denomination.

Provisions Relating to Alteration

The capital clause can be altered if the Article of Association contains the provision for such alteration otherwise, the first basic step is to alter Article of Association by passing a special resolution. The basic principle for alteration is that the alteration of share capital be bonafide & in the interest of the company. Generally, ordinary resolution is enough to alter the capital clause, thus notice of alteration to be given to the ROC, when share capital automatically stands increased. Loan taken from central government also increases the capital of a company.

Condition for Alteration of Share Capital

The company should be limited by shares, the company limited by guarantee having a share capital, the Article of Association must permit the company for alteration of share capital.

Alteration of Share Capital can be done by

Alteration of share capital can be done by issuing new shares of the company in the market, by consolidating the shares, the company can do the alteration in its capital by the conversion of previous shares, the company can subdivide its share in the market, the company can cancel its unused shares from the market.

Share Capital may Automatically be Increased

When government by its order states that any debenture issued to any government by a company or any part under such circumstances, the debenture be converted into shares on the transfer of capital issued by the company.

Reduction of Share Capital

After confirmation by the tribunal on an application by the Company, limited by shares and guarantee, having a share capital and share capital of a company can be reduced by special resolution. The reduction of share capital is governed by Section 66 of the Companies Act, 2013. It is necessary to confirm it by the decision of the tribunal in writing.

For reduction of share capital, the company is required to conduct the board meeting, a notice for the general meeting is necessarily required to be given to every shareholder and concerned person

Every notice of a meeting shall specified by mentioning the place, date, day and the hour of the meeting and shall contain a statement of the business to be transacted at such meeting. A notice is required to be proper and elaborate, the material facts concerning each item of special business to be transacted at a general meeting, such as the nature of concern or interest, financial or otherwise to every director and the manager every other key managerial personnel and their relatives.

A notice which is given to the concerned person should itself be self-explanatory

After the notice, the Extraordinary General meeting is called and the concerned members vote in favor or against of the authorized share capital of the company. The votes of the members play a crucial role in taking appropriate decision. Thus, during the course of meeting the members decide the alteration in the memorandum of association. Thus, after considering all the facts in the welfare of company, the board members by utilizing their powers pass an ordinary resolution.

Reduction in Share Capital Not Allowed

Reduction in share capital is not allowed in the case where there are arrears in the repayment of interest and thereon. The creditor of a company has a right to object against the reduction in its share capital. For reduction in the share capital of the company, the registrar shall issue a certificate to the company for reduction.

Reason for Reduction in Share Capital of a Company

There are many reasons for the reduction of share capital of a company some are as follows.

- If there is a returning of surplus capital of a company.
- The company wants to smooth its capital structure by simplifying it.
- Sufficient reserve is also one of the reasons for the reduction in share capital.

Assets of the company also play a vital role in the variation of the share capital of the company.

Altering the Memorandum of Association and Articles of Association

Section 61 of the Companies Act, 2013 states about Power of limited company to alter its share capital, sub-clause further states that (1) A limited company having a share capital may, if so authorised by its articles, alter its memorandum in its general meeting to— increase its authorised share capital by such amount as it thinks expedient. A company can increase its authorised share capital by altering the

memorandum of association. Section 13 of the Companies Act deals with altering the memorandum of association and section 14 of the above-said act deals with altering the articles of association.

Section 13 of the Act states that as provided in Section 61, a company may, by a special resolution and after complying with the procedure specified in this section, alter the provisions of its memorandum.

If there is no provision to alter the provision of section 14 of the companies act, 2013, then the company is required to make suitable application to the concerned stock exchange, where the company is listed in a prescribed form.

Ratio

Powers to alteration must be exercised in the interest of the company and not for the welfare of some particular members

Conclusion

The company is bind to follow each and every part of the procedure as explained and mentioned in the statute failing which, the company shall be punishable with a hefty penalty. The procedural part mention in the statute for alteration of share capital also give security to its shareholder.

However, the increase in capital of the company, in the long run, benefit its investors in the form of increased return on equity through capital gains, an increase in dividend payouts or both.

Bank also usually prefer to give a loan to the company depending upon the authorized capital. Thus, authorized capital is also helpful for a company in growth. It is helpful in avoiding unnecessarily implications.

DIVIDENDS

The profits of a company, which can be legally distributed among its shareholders, are called dividends. Dividends are that portion of profits of the company to be distributed to shareholders in proportion to their shares held by them. The term 'dividend' is not defined in the Companies Act, 1956. Out of the profits of the Company, the divisible profits are determined subject to the provisions of the Companies Act and the Articles of Association. The law does not give the meaning of profit. It also does not state that

only true profits can be distributed. However, Sec. 205(2A) of the Companies Act, provides that no dividend should be declared or paid out of the profits of the company arrived at without providing for depreciation. The depreciation should be provided as per the requirement of sub sec. (1) of Sec. 205 of the Companies Act and as per the Sec. 350. Dividend can be declared by a company for any financial year only after the transfer to the reserves of the company of such percentage as prescribed by the Central Govt. Rules 1975, [Sec. 205(2A)]. But the transfer of profit to the reserves of the company should not exceed 10%. However, it can transfer higher percentage of profit also. Payment of dividend depends primarily upon a company's profit of the current year or of the undistributed profits of the previous years, dividends can also be declared out of the funds, provided for the purpose of declaring dividends by the Central or State Governments in pursuance of the guarantee given by such governments.

Dividend to be declared at the annual general meeting

The Companies Act does not grant any specific power to the companies registered there under to declare and pay any dividend. The Articles of Association generally regulate the method in which dividends are to be declared. Generally the dividend is recommended by the directors as per the articles of association [Sec. 173(1)(a)]. It is approved and declared in the annual general meeting by the shareholders [Reghunadan Newtia V. Swadeshi, cloth dealers Ltd. (1964), 34.com. cos. 574(cal)] only when there is adequate divisible profit, and the financial position of the company is sound [Kantilal Manilal V. Commr. of Income Tax (1957) 26. com. cas. 57(Bom)].

The declaration of dividend is the internal matter of the company. It is subject to certain legal requirements and the provisions of the Articles of Association of the company. Even the court does not have power to interfere with the authority of the directors and shareholders [Burland V. Arle. (1902) A.C. 831. But dividend shall not be paid out of capital.

When the profit of the company is inadequate the dividend can be declared out of the accumulated profits of the company and transferred to its Reserve Account, under Sec.205A(3). This declaration should be made as per the rules, prescribed for the purpose by the government. The same procedure can be adopted for the declaration in the case of absence of profits by the company in any year.

Declaration of Dividend on Preference and Equity Shares

(i) *Dividend on preference shares:* The dividend can be declared on the preference shares according to the provision of the Companies Act, the Rules, articles of association and the terms and conditions of the issue. The preference shareholders shall have preferential right for the payment of dividend over the equity shareholders. The preferential right in respect of dividend may be either for a fixed amount or fixed rate as agreed upon. When no dividend is declared in any year these holders have to go without any dividend. But the cumulative preference shareholders have the right to demand even the unpaid dividend of any year, during the subsequent years or in any year at which profits are available for distribution.

(ii) *Dividend on equity shares:* The equity shareholders do not have the right for fixed amount or fixed rate of dividend for their shares as the preference shareholders. The directors have discretion to recommend declaration of any dividend, which they think reasonable under Section 217(1)(c). Sometimes they may refuse to declare any dividend to the equity shareholders. In such case, the shareholders cannot insist on the payment of dividend [Board V. Barrow Haematite Steel Co. (1902)(1)ch.3531. A company which fails to comply with the provisions of 80A i.e. have not redeemed the redeemable preference shares, should pay dividend till the default continues for equity shares.

Once the dividend is declared it becomes a debt payable by the company to the shareholders [Batcha F. Guzdar V. Commr. of Income Tax, Bombay, (1955, 1 SCR. 876: AIR. 1955. Sec. 74 (1955) 25 corn. cos 1]. The shareholders have the right to recover the declared dividend within three years from the date of declaration when any company has declared dividend in respect of any year at its annual general meeting. No further dividend, for the same year can be declared by the company [Biswanath Prasad Khaitan V. New Central Jute Mills (co) Ltd. (1961) 31 comp. cas. 1251. A company which could not declare dividend at any annual general meeting can do so at the subsequent general meeting.

Special provisions have been made for the payment of dividends under Sections 93 and 205 to 207 for the payment of dividends. The Act provides that the dividend shall be paid only in cash. The Central Government may if it thinks necessary to do so, in the public interest allow the company to declare and pay dividend for any financial year or any previous financial years without providing depreciation.

Sec. 205A and 205B are the two new provisions relating to the payment of dividend introduced in the Companies Act 1974 through Amendment. Sec. 205A deals with the transfer of unpaid dividends within 7 days of the expiry of 42 days from the date of declaration of the dividend to a special account with a scheduled Bank under the name 'Unpaid Dividend Account of Co. Ltd/Co. Private Ltd. If the company fails to transfer the amount of unpaid dividends within the given time, it has to pay an interest at the rate of 12 percent per annum. Any such amount transferred to the Unpaid Dividend Account and which remains unpaid or unclaimed for a period of three years from the date of such transfer shall be transferred to the "General Reserve Account". All the claims must then be made to the Central Government. After this transfer the central government will settle such claims.

On failure of complying with any of the above requirements, the company or any officer who is at fault is punishable with a fine up to Rs. 500 for every day on which the default continues.

Liabilities of Directors in case of Payment out of capital

When the company has wrongly paid the dividend out of capital, it may reduce the capital. The directors who are responsible for such payment are jointly and severally liable to repay the amount to the company with interest. However the directors are entitled to recover the amount from the shareholders who received the dividend.

Payment of Dividend to Registered holders

Dividend can be paid only to the registered shareholder or to his order or to his bankers or to the producers of coupons in respect of share warrants.

The declared dividend is to be paid by the company, within 42 days from the date of declaration to the shareholders. If there is any default, the director, who has knowingly failed to pay, is liable for imprisonment upto 7 days and with a fine, under Sec. 207.

The following are some of the important provisions regarding dividends:

1. Dividends can be paid for any financial year only out of the profits of the current year or previous year.
2. Dividends can be paid only in cash, but during the capitalisation of profits or reserves, the bonus share can be issued as fully paid up.
3. The capital profits can be distributed by way of dividend when: (i) the assets are revalued, (ii) the capital profits remain after the revaluation of all the assets; and (iii) the distribution of a dividend of such profits is allowed by the Article of the company.
4. The company must transfer certain percentage of profit not exceeding 10 percent or as may be prescribed by the Central Govt. to the reserves of the company before the declaration and payment of dividend. However, they may also transfer an higher percentage of profits voluntarily to the reserves (Sec. 205). If the proposed dividend is less than 10% no transfer is necessary.
5. The company must provide for depreciation, including for arrears before declaring dividend [Sec. 205(3)].
6. A company which fails to redeem the irredeemable preference shares cannot declare dividend till the redemption [Sec. 80A].
 7. In case of absence of or inadequacy of profits in any year, the dividend can be declared out of the accumulated profits and reserves in accordance with Rules framed by the Central Govt.
 8. The shareholders declare the dividend in the annual general meeting, which is recommended by the board of directors.
 9. The payment of dividends should be made or the dividend warrants are to be posted to shareholders within 42 days from the date of declaration (Sec. 207).
 10. The directors are empowered under its articles to declare Interim dividends with the consultation of the auditors.
11. According to company law only the registered shareholders of a company are eligible to receive dividend and offers for rights or bonus shares. In the case

of transfer of shares the transferor should take - a mandate to the transfer as per the Companies (Amendment) Act, 1988 of Sec. 206A. In the absence of such mandate, it is obligatory on the part of the company to transfer the dividends accruing on such shares to the Unpaid Dividend Account. The right alone will be kept in abeyance till the title to the shares is decided.

12. Sec. 208 provides an exception to Sec. 205 which enables the company to declare dividend only out of profits. It provides that where shares are issued to raise money to defray the cost of works of building or plant which cannot be made profitable for a long period the company may pay at the rate of 4% interest on the amount of capital paid up in respect of such shares as per its articles and with sanction of Central Govt.
13. After the declaration of dividend by the company if it has not been paid or claimed before 42 days from the date of declaration the company has to transfer such dividend to a special account within 7 days from the date of expiry of the 42 days with any scheduled bank (Sec. 205A(1)). The amount can be claimed by the shareholders within a period of 3 years from the date of transfer.
 14. In case of failure by the company for the transfer of the unclaimed dividend to the "Unpaid Dividend Account", the company shall pay interest at the rate of 12 percent per annum [Sec. 205A(4)].
 15. On completion of three years from the date of transfer, which remains in the "Unpaid Dividend Account" shall be transferred to the general revenue account of the Central Govt. The person entitled for the dividend can claim from the Central Govt. [Sec. 205-B].
16. The company should give the following information in the statement when it transfers to the general revenue account: a) the nature of the amount, b) the names and address of the persons entitled for the dividend, c) the entitlement of each person and d) the nature of his claim thereto and such other particulars as may be prescribed (Sec. 205-A(6)).
17. If the co. fails to follow any of the requirements of Sec. 205A, the company and every officer who is at fault shall be punishable with a fine up to Rs. 500 for every day during which the failure continues [Sec. 205-A(8)].
18. The dividend shall be paid only to the registered shareholder, to his agent or to his banker. When share warrant has been issued the payment can be made to the

bearer of such warrant or to his bankers [Sec. 206(1)].

Penalty for defaulting directors: When the directors of the company fail to pay the dividend or post the warrant within 42 days from the date of declaration, every director at default is liable for a punishment of simple imprisonment up to 7 days and with a fine [Sec. 207].

Generally the capital profit will not be distributed amongst shareholders, as dividend. The non-trading profits are capital profits. But in certain cases the capital profit can also be declared as dividend and paid to the shareholders of the company (*Lubbock V. British Bank of South America* (1892)).

Meetings - Kind of Company Meetings.

Meeting is not defined under any provisions of **Companies Act of 2013**, but taking references from common business and market parlance and also from some of the decided case laws like *Sharp vs. Dawes*, as decided in 1971, and through citations of various renowned authors, we can gather that a 'Company Meeting' is basically coming together of at least two persons to either transact any ordinary or special business for lawful purposes.

Therefore they are broadly classified as follows:

1. Statutory meeting:

Statutory meeting is the meeting of shareholders of a company. According to Sec 165 of the companies act, every public limited by shares or limited by guarantee, having share capital must hold this meeting. This meeting is held once in the lifetime of a company. A private company need not hold this meeting.

Objectives of meeting :

1. *To comply with the provision of section 165 of the companies act 1956*
2. *To approve the statutory report*
3. *To inform the shareholders about the formation of company and made by the company.*
4. *To inform the shareholders about preliminary expenses made by promoters before incorporation of company*
5. *To inform the shareholders of any contract entered into by the company.*
6. *Appoint office bearers.*

2. Shareholders Meeting:

a. Annual General Meeting – This is defined u/s 96 of CA'13, wherein every company, whether public or private, except One Person Company, is required to convene first AGM within 9 months from the end of first Financial Year to decide the overall progress of the company as well as to plan future courses of action.

Place: Such meeting is called at Registered Office of the Company or any other such place in the city where such Reg. Office is situated.

Time Hours: Between 9.00 am – 6:00 pm., and not on any public holiday as so declared by Central or State Government.

Quorum: In case of Public Company–

5 if members are less than 1000

15 if between 1000-5000

30 if more than 5000 members

In case of Private Company, then only 2 that are present will be the quorum.

Time Gap: Gap between two meetings not more than 15 months, and after conducting first AGM, the subsequent AGMs need to be conducted within 6 months from the end of Financial Year, and if there any urgent circumstances or emergency situations arises, when company wasn't able to conduct the AGM, then the Tribunal may grant the extension of 3 months, but said extension not available in first AGM, and therefore first AGM must be conducted within 9 months from end of F.Y.

Power of NCLT: May call or direct to conduct such meeting u/s 97 of CA'13, when an application is filed by a member if meeting not conducted in due time.

Punishment on default: u/s 99 – For Company and every such defaulting Officer – Rs. 1 Lakh, and if default continues then Rs. 1000 per day.

b. Extraordinary General Meeting: Certain matters are so much important that they require an immediate attention of the members, and that's where the Board has been granted to call for such EGM u/s 100 of CA'13.

It can be called through the following ways:

By Board, on suo-moto basis, and the same can be held at any parts of the country.

By requisition of eligible members, wherein the company if having Share Capital, then members holding at least 1/10th of such Share Capital, and if not having Share Capital, then

members holding at least 10% of the total voting powers in that company can request to call for such meeting. Such notice has to be well written and specify the nature of business, and duly signed by all the members or any one authorized person acting on behalf of all. And Board need to call meeting within 21 days of getting such request or maximum of 45 days, by giving such notice to such members prior to 3 days of conducting such meeting.

By Requisitionist (provided if Board fails to do so), if Board failing to conduct meeting within 45 days, then the members can call for meeting within 3 months of from the original request made to Board at first instance, and here the members have all the rights to have their name on the main list of members and Board can't deny this, and also need to accept such changes that might have occurred between 21st to 45th day of date of notice provided to Board at first instance.

By Tribunal u/s 98, whereby it can conduct meeting on its own or on any request received by the member of such company.

Place: At Reg. Office or any such place in the city where such Reg. Office is situated

Notice: To all the members in writing or through an electronic mode of at least 21 Clear Days before convening such meeting, and one important thing here is that if meeting is called up by the requisitionists, then there's no formality of attesting explanatory statement to it

c. Class Meeting: Such meeting is convened by a particular class of shareholders only and only if they think that their rights are being altered or if they want to vary their attached rights, as mentioned u/s 48 of CA'13, and u/s 232 also, if under Mergers and Amalgamation scheme, meetings of particular shareholders and creditors can be convened if their rights/privileges are being varied to their interests in such company.

2. Directors Meeting:

a. Board of Directors Meeting: As per Sec. 173 of CA'13, every company needs to convene first board meeting within 30 days of its incorporation, and then minimum four meetings in each calendar year, with time gap of not more than 120 days(at present it is 180 days because of COVID-19) between two board meetings

In case of OPC, Dormant Company, Small Company, Sec. 8 Company or any private company(Start-Up), then required to hold two board meetings in each half of calendar year with time gap of at least 90 days.

In case of Specified IFSC Private & Public Company, then to hold first board meeting within 60 days of incorporation and then hold one meeting in each half of calendar year.

Meeting can be attended by directors either in person, or through audio-visual mode or through video conferencing, subject to the nature of meeting being discussed and after complying with necessary formalities as specified in Sec.173 r/w such rules.

Here notice of **at least 7 days** is necessary to be given to directors at their registered address with company and also to be provided through e-means, if not possible hand delivery or post delivery, and there is one exception wherein a shorter notice can be called off for transacting a very urgent matter provided one independent director is present at such meeting.

Quorum: 1/3rd of total directors or two directors, whichever is higher

In case of OPC, 1/4th of total strength or 8 members, whichever is higher

Matters that can't be dealt here: E.g. Approving Prospectus/ Boards Report/ Annual Financial Statements, scheme of Merger, Amalgamation, Demerger, etc.

3. Other Meetings:

Creditors Meeting (Sec. 230) / Debenture Holders Meeting with the Board of Directors

Audit Committee Meeting (Sec. 177)

Nomination and Remuneration Committee Meeting (Sec. 178)

Any other committee meetings with the respective Board of Directors of the Company, as and here specified under Companies Act of 2013

